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A short history of two hundred years of Hong Kong migration and identity

Origins of Hong Kong identity

In order to understand the experiences of return Hong Kong immigrants in 1999, one needs to examine the complexity of their Chinese identity, which began to form in 1841. The British had claimed the island of Hong Kong at the terminus of their first Opium War with the Chinese. Yet while the battles ceased more than 150 years ago, the identity turmoil continues today. To comprehend the early development of the identity of the Hong Kong Chinese, though, one must first look at Hong Kong’s location, bounded by the sea and adjacent to a vast continent. In his groundbreaking book *Guns, Germs and Steel,* Jared Diamond, a professor of physiology, develops the notion that culture (and identity) was first and foremost...
a consequence of geographic location, climate, and natural resources. He suggests that topography, the ratio of sun and rain, accessibility to rivers and the sea, and the ways in which a population sustains itself all shape ideas of culture and self. Hong Kong political scientist Michael DeGolyer agrees, asserting that “Hong Kong exists solely because of a gift of geography: its deep water harbor. There would be no city without that central geographic feature.” That feature, the sea and its harbor, has shaped the way Hong Kongers traditionally and currently craft a living as fishermen, boat workers, international global port employees; the way Hong Kong interacts with a continuous flow of seaborne invaders, travelers, traders, and immigrants; the way Hong Kong protects itself from typhoons and creates its neighborhoods; and the way in which Hong Kongers create an identity.

More essential than Hong Kong’s reliance on and struggle with the sea and what it brought, was its status as an island of rocky, barren mountains with limited land on which to grow food and to house inhabitants. Hong Kongers have one of the highest population densities on earth, and they typically live in tiny, cramped dwellings. The survival of Hong Kong’s residents is due to their persistence, hard work, shrewdness, and cooperation.

The land and its location shaped Hong Kong culture and identity in another way: the island’s proximity to the great landmass of China has created a complicated and evolving relationship between the two regions. Initially severed by war, and officially kept separate by first the British and then the Chinese governments, China and Hong Kong have maintained a continuous, albeit unofficial, flow between their territories. The movements of goods and information were at times reciprocal; the movement of people has historically been one-way but is increasingly bidirectional. This ever-changing relationship has also forged the culture and identity of Hong Kongers.

Once located within the sea and by land, Hong Kong identity is influenced by another set of variables, connected to time and events, with four distinct historical periods shaping each of the identity layers that envelop the Hong Kong residents. These historical/identity events can be divided into the era prior to the Opium Wars; the postwar British sovereignty period; the prehandover period (1984–97), which included large-scale emigration; and the posthandover, remigration period.

Before 1841, four separate Chinese indigenous communities of farmers and fishermen existed in Hong Kong, governed loosely by a
A short history of two hundred years of Hong Kong migration and identity

fifth, landowning group, the Cantonese, who were former residents of
the southern Chinese province of Guangdong. The indigenous and
immigrating settlers brought with them to Hong Kong more than the
Cantonese dialect. They brought the core Chinese values, rooted in
Confucian teachings and transmitted by parents and teachers, that have
recently been highlighted by social science research.

One category of these values focused on relationships within the family.
Individuals defined themselves according to their obligations to their
families and limited their associations with groups outside of the family.
Social structures and hierarchies were fixed according to factors such as
age, gender, and ranking within the family, but individual behavior could
be flexible, depending on the environment in which the interaction takes
place (researchers refer to Chinese culture as “high context,” meaning
that behavior varies based on the situational context).

A second grouping of values, focused on nonfamilial interpersonal
relationships, demonstrated a preference for harmonious social relations
and the avoidance of conflict. This was accomplished by valuing tradition
and by restraining emotional responses, as well as through conformity to
group practices and obedience to authority figures. A third category of
values focused on a pragmatic approach to learning coupled with social
discipline. These traits were inspired and reinforced by the moral teachings
of social, educational, and political leaders. Many of these Chinese values
can be summarized as a collectivist approach to life, defined as emphasizing
loyalty to and the well-being of family and friends. Thus the needs of the
social group take precedence over individual needs and desires.

Immigrants from Guangdong also carried with them to Hong Kong
traditional Chinese ways of thinking, or what is known as cognitive style.
This style features a particular pattern for the interpretation of other
people’s behavior. The inclination is to attribute the motivation behind
people’s actions to group norms and beliefs rather than to individual
preferences or personality types. Chinese cognitive style also tends to
perceive objects and events as continuous and whole rather than as discrete
and separate. Finally, in contrast to Western thinking, which stresses an
“either-or” preference to decision making and conflict, Chinese thinking is
categorized as dialectical or accepting of contradictions as a step toward
harmonious goals.

By the mid-nineteenth century, Hong Kong was serving as an entrepôt
or transit point of emigration from Mainland China through the Pearl
River Delta and onward to the global market, bringing to the territory
thousands of individuals seeking economic gain. The worldwide demand for laborers drew men from the far reaches of China. The majority were Cantonese speakers, but the influx included Fujianese-, Chaozhou-, Shanghainese-, and Hakka-speaking groups.\textsuperscript{14} Their intended destination may have been Singapore, Canada, or the United States, but many found the opportunities in Hong Kong alluring and their immigration journey ended there. Although the core identity of these migrants was Chinese, their primary identification remained with their regional and dialect-based groups, especially among the non-Cantonese-speaking population. A speaker of the Chaozhou dialect, for example, would refer to himself as a Chaozhou person.

British sovereignty over Hong Kong Island in 1841, at the end of the first Opium War, began the next historical identity era. As Kowloon, by treaty (1860), and later the New Territories (1898), by long-term lease, also came under British rule, Hong Kong’s foreign population grew. However, then as now, the population of Hong Kong remained 98\% Chinese in origin and language. Its role as an entrepôt intensified as the island’s infrastructure in support of immigrant needs developed: steamship companies were created and expanded; employment brokers matched emigrants with labor needs throughout the world; government bureaucrats ensured that territorial immigration policies were upheld; hospitals and aid societies tended to the social service needs of the lost, sick, and lonely; and businessmen, the honest and the unscrupulous, moved payments and formed nascent banking systems. It has been calculated, using the detailed records maintained by British harbormasters, that between 1868 and 1939 more than 6 million Chinese emigrated through and from Hong Kong.\textsuperscript{15} Local residents, primarily from the small villages in the New Territories, joined in the emigration as well. Records summarized by the historian Elizabeth Sinn indicate that villagers from Yuen Long, Tsuen Wan, Sha Tau Kok, and Sha Tin immigrated in the late nineteenth century to the United States and Australia but also to Panama, Peru, Borneo, Jamaica, and Singapore.\textsuperscript{16}

Amid the mass departures from Hong Kong, both voluntary and forced repatriations to Hong Kong began. One of the first reports of a returnee was for a Chinese woman who had been taken by an American family to Hawaii in 1837 and who returned to Hong Kong in 1843. She also represents the beginnings of the cyclical migration and the globalization of Hong Kongers, as traveling with still another American family, she left the island again in 1848, settling in California.\textsuperscript{17} Forced repatriation
to Hong Kong ebbed and flowed in response to world conditions. Sinn reports that owing to the Great Depression more than 28,000 persons were repatriated to Hong Kong in 1931. These early experiences of emigration and repatriation formed the next strata of the Hong Kong psyche. As Sinn states, “Emigration both as practice and idea had become commonplace to the people in Hong Kong … emigration was accepted as a way of life. In sum, not only did the practice of emigration become an essential part of Hong Kong’s material life, but the idea of emigration, one might say, had also become a basic element of Hong Kong’s common mentality.”

The permanent population of the island grew slowly during the first 100 years of British sovereignty (1841–1941), shrinking to a low of 650,000 during the Japanese occupation (1941–45) and rebounding to 1.8 million by 1949. The territory experienced dramatic growth beginning in 1949, on the heels of the Chinese Communist Revolution, and then again following several cataclysmic events in China, including the Great Famine (1959–61) and the Cultural Revolution (1965–76), reinforcing the fates of these two locales. Hundreds of thousands of Chinese, many from southern China, poured into Hong Kong and became the workforce that transformed Hong Kong from an entrepôt of Chinese and foreign goods to an independent manufacturing, production, and financial center.

In the 30 years following World War II, Hong Kong’s Chinese population doubled. Small-scale emigration from Hong Kong, estimated to be 2,000 to 3,000 people annually, many of whom were again drawn from the villages of the New Territories, continued through the postwar period. Despite their intentions to return to their home villages, many of these emigrants did not do so, and in time, entire villages were emptied of their residents.

The movements of other Hong Kongers were circular throughout this period, although temporary. During such major holidays as the Lunar New Year, hundreds of thousands of Hong Kongers returned to their villages and towns in China. By the 1990s, more than one million were making the annual trek back to their ancestral villages. This circular movement was primarily Hong Kong-based until the late 1980s when China-based tourism and short-term visits reached larger proportions.

**Modern identity**

From 1841 to 1984, the colonial administration of the territories brought to Hong Kong thousands of British citizens, who ran the territory’s
government, schools, businesses, entertainment, sports, and so on. Other European expatriates also lived and worked in the colony. Collectively, they brought with them values, social and behavioral structures, communication styles, and cognitive preferences common to British and northern European culture. These Western values can be categorized in several ways. Some values were related to conceptions of the self in which the person was viewed as independent from his or her family and friends in decision making and in the pursuit of individual life goals. A person’s actions were propelled by the need to increase self-esteem and toward individual success and achievement. Furthermore, rather than being shaped by situational contexts, each person’s behavior depended on the distinctive attributes that the individual possessed. Other values focused on social relationships and the Western preference for an egalitarian style. However, if the relationships were unequal, the person’s inclination would be to assume the superior position. Cognitive values tended toward logical, rational thinking and the classification of people, objects, and events. These Western concepts became layered over the Hong Kongers’ core Chinese identity. Chinese and British values were not always incompatible; both cultures had long histories of successful and creative entrepreneurship with elaborate trade relationships beyond their borders.

Although the British were a numerical minority in Hong Kong, as its colonial rulers they established institutions and public laws, and rites and rituals that reflected their Western cultural preferences. The Chinese population, during the nearly 150 years between 1841 and 1984, when the Sino-British treaty was signed stipulating that Hong Kong would revert to the political control of the People’s Republic of China, was exposed to a dual system of Chinese and Western cultural elements. Chinese values and behaviors were primarily operational in the home among family and friends while Western values and behaviors were displayed in the public realm. Many residents saw themselves as “sampling the best from both cultural traditions, the Chinese providing the spiritual grounding, the Western, the technical prowess.” Identity was often described in oppositional terms as “we” (Chinese) versus “they” (British), but behaviorally a hybridization was emerging. Partly in response to industrialization and to higher levels of education, Hong Kongers incorporated Western values of personal competence and autonomy, signaling a shift toward more individualism and less collectivism. H. F. Siu has suggested that the Chinese of Hong
Kong avoided rigidly defined identities; they were comfortable with their multicultural qualities and had learned how to “be flexible in themselves.”28 Similarly, S. M. Cheng and S. H. Ng have observed that the movements of people in and out of Hong Kong created “a highly mobile and culturally cosmopolitan society.”29

Western values and behaviors became more salient for and infused into the lives of the middle- and upper-class families who chose to have their children educated in the West. In the latter half of the twentieth century, thousands of Hong Kong Chinese children attended high schools and universities in the United Kingdom, in Australia, and, to a lesser extent, in Canada and the United States. In a 2003 survey of 863 Hong Kongers, 23% indicated that they had lived outside Hong Kong for one year or more,30 and many others aspired to international experience. These sojourner transitions deepened and broadened the Western cultural layer surrounding the individual.

Exposure to Western culture was also pervasive for Hong Kong residents who did not leave Hong Kong but found themselves part of the local workforce employed by international companies on the island and thus spent their working days communicating in the Western corporate style with Western managers and, to a lesser extent, with Western coworkers. A comparative study of managers in Hong Kong, China, and the United States found that the penchant for blended identities extended to managerial styles and values.31 The responses of Hong Kong managers reflected more Western values than did the responses of Chinese managers. However, those same managers also embraced more Asian values than did the American managers.

**Hong Kong identity: 1984 and migration decisions**

By 1984, the specter of Britain’s 1997 handover of political control of Hong Kong to China was becoming a reality. While Hong Kong and the world discussed the political and economic consequences of this move, a more personal cultural identity crisis was brewing. Not prepared to abandon their sophisticated, urbane behaviors and cultural identities for the drab and autocratic Chineseness of the People’s Republic of China (PRC), Hong Konger began to develop a new identity, or *Xianggang ren* identity,32 this time in opposition to the Chinese rather than to the British.33 Utilizing her optimal distinctiveness theory, the social psychologist Marilyn Brewer
suggests that Hong Kong Chinese needed to fulfill the twin motivations of being connected to the Chinese (through ethnicity and origin) yet of remaining distinctive from them. That is, Hong Kongers’ Chinese cultural identity served to differentiate them from their Western identity without their having to embrace the political aspects of the Chinese identity. Other social scientists have found evidence of the narrowness of the core Chinese identity. Hong Kong research participants showed favoritism to people who shared their Hong Kong identity but not to all Chinese (e.g., mainland Chinese). Thus the modern Hong Konger identity appeared to be made up of three nested identities: a core Chinese identity surrounded by Western economic and civic values encased in a regional geographic identity. Another research study found that Hong Kong Chinese identified more with, were more similar to, both the British and PRC Chinese than the British identified with either PRC or Hong Kong Chinese. Others perceived this same duality. Siu reports that designers of the Hong Kong Room in the Great Hall of the People in Beijing debated whether English and Ming furniture should be juxtaposed.

As the 1997 handover approached, the motivation for keeping Hong Kong Chinese identity and PRC Chinese identity distinct became more salient and polarized yet the identity itself was unstable and fluctuating. A 1985 survey indicated that more than 60% of respondents identified themselves as “Hong Kongers” compared to approximately 36% who described themselves as “Chinese.” In a later survey (1988), investigators found a widening of this gap, 64% compared to 29%.

S. L. Wong suggests that Hong Kong identities are rooted in family experience within China and Hong Kong and that individual preferences for cultural identity reflect that family history. In a 1991 survey, he found that 45.9% of the population defined themselves as Chinese, while 48.4% chose the Hong Kong label. Wong defined the emerging Hong Kongese identity as characterized by the person’s being mobile, pluralistic, flexible, situational, and pragmatic.

The political handover provided a unique opportunity for social scientists to track changing ideas and attitudes held by Hong Kongers. In 1989, a multi-university consortium established the Hong Kong Transition Project (HKTP) to chart a wide range of social and political attitudes in the years prior to and following the handover. Using sophisticated sampling and polling methodology, the project conducted surveys and collected interview data, in Cantonese, Mandarin, Hakka, and English, two to four
times per year. The number of respondents in each survey ranged from 550 to 1,200. Of particular note in the massive amounts of data collated and analyzed by the project staff, are the substantial swings in cultural identity from 1995 to 1997. Respondents were asked “How might you describe yourself?” and were given a choice of six responses: Chinese, Hong Kong Chinese, Hong Kong people (Xianggang ren), Hong Kong British, Overseas Chinese, and Other. Less than 5% of the respondents chose any one of the latter three descriptions. The percentage choosing the option of “Chinese” was initially 20%, jumped to 30% in 1996 and 1997 (surrounding the handover date), and has since settled at approximately 23%.

Most interesting is the identity shift among those Hong Kongers who combined Chineseness into their identity. In 1993, an equal number of respondents (36%) identified themselves as either Hong Kong people or Hong Kong Chinese (similar to the Wong study mentioned earlier). By 1994, respondents diverged — with 40% identifying as Hong Kong Chinese and 28% as Hong Kong people. These percentages flipped dramatically in late 1996, with 45% identifying as Hong Kong people and 20% as Hong Kong Chinese. Just prior to the handover, there was a modest convergence, with 30% identifying as Hong Kong Chinese and 35% as Hong Kong people. On the evening of July 1, 1997, a resident of Yuen Long remarked, “Ten years ago I called myself British because no one supported us [Chinese]. If you said you were a Hong Kong person your image was downgraded. But China is great now and more open internationally. Last year, I started calling myself Chinese.” Following the handover in July 1997, identity categorizations stabilized, with 45% of the respondents describing themselves as Hong Kong people and 25% as Hong Kong Chinese, the largest discrepancy yet recorded.

Ying-yi Hong and her colleagues, who measured social identity and attitudes toward Mainland China four times between 1996 and 1998, found that social identity on average did not change. This was in contradiction to the Wong and HKTP results. However, Hong did find that, as a whole, Hong Kong university students showed a more positive attitude toward Mainland China after the handover than before the handover. Moreover, the researchers found that social identity (measured in October 1996) was associated with a pro-China attitude (in March 1997) such that a Chinese identity predicted a positive attitude toward Hong Kong’s merging with China. These results were limited to the prehandover time period. After the handover, negative attitudes toward Mainlanders were associated with stronger Hong Konger identity at a later time period.42
In an ambitious longitudinal study, the sociologists Janet Salaff (University of Toronto) and Wong Siu-lun (the University of Hong Kong) interviewed 30 Hong Kong families repeatedly during the six-year transition period from 1991 to 1997. The families, initially drawn from a random sample, were then selected to represent both a social class and the decision to emigrate or not. The study’s fundamental aim was to understand Hong Kongers’ attitudes toward the handover. However, the investigators quickly discovered that political attitudes, that is, the extent to which individuals felt connected either to the Mainland or to Hong Kong, were closely linked to cultural identity. Through intensive interviews and ethnographies, four identity types were uncovered: China “loyalists,” Hong Kong “locals,” “waverers,” and China’s “class enemies.”

While all interviewees were ethnically Chinese, each varied in his or her extended family’s relationship to China (e.g., loyalists had more extended family currently living in China). Loyalists accepted the idea of Hong Kong’s reverting to China, attributed much of Hong Kong’s economic success to Chinese values, and had no plans to emigrate. Several, in fact, purchased homes in China. They did, however, hold negative stereotypes about Mainlanders and enjoyed their life and freedom in Hong Kong. Middle-aged men born in China were often “loyalists.” Locals were primarily born and raised in Hong Kong and felt no connection to China. They did have a positive outlook on Hong Kong’s economy, although a few families applied to emigrate as “insurance” against possible economic chaos. Importantly, this group had few family members who had emigrated; Hong Kong was the center of their lives and identity.

In opposition, those individuals in the latter two categories, waverers and China’s class enemies, frequently had relatives who either lived abroad or planned to emigrate, and all made application to emigrate themselves. Among the waverers, though, while preferring the British to the Chinese, only one family actually migrated during the study’s six years. The class enemies group feared the handover and the anticipated crackdown on civil liberties, corruption, and lawlessness. Families in this group were frequently victims of Mainland political upheavals (e.g., the Cultural Revolution) although few had kinship ties any longer to China. Being members of Hong Kong’s upper-middle or upper class and having embraced British values to a greater extent than the other three identity groups, they believed that they had the most to lose both economically and culturally during the handover. Most of the class enemies group methodically planned for their emigration and carried it out sometime over the course of the study.
Hong Kongers on the move: Outbound migration

The handover of sovereignty for Hong Kong from Great Britain to the People’s Republic of China was a unique historical phenomenon. The anticipated change from a frenetic capitalistic environment ruled by a democratic, Western country to a communist country with limitations on individual rights of speech and private property created fear and anxiety about what the future might bring under Chinese control. Gary Hamilton encapsulated these feelings when he stated that the entrepreneurs and professional classes needed to “assess whether the risks of being grounded in China … outweigh[ed] the opportunities that might ensue from becoming China’s broker to the world.”

As the Saloff and Wong study indicated, the decision-making process was family-focused and was influenced by identity labels. Cultural identity was more than a descriptive category; it had behavioral consequences. Among those interviewees identifying themselves as Chinese, 60% were committed to staying in Hong Kong, whereas among those claiming a Hong Kong identity, only 45% said that they would definitely stay after the handover.

Thousands of Hong Kong residents decided that the risks of staying put were too great; migration soared from 22,400 in 1980 to a high of 66,000 in 1992, although demographer Ronald Skeldon suggests that this government figure underestimates the emigration by 10–15%. He estimates that total outflow of Hong Kongers from 1987–92 was more than 300,000. More inclusive figures from 1984 to 1997 indicate that close to 600,000 emigrated from Hong Kong (Hong Kong government). The latest data collected from the Australian, Canadian and US governments plus estimates of emigration to other countries puts the grand total at approximately 800,000 (see Table 1.1).

Late twentieth-century emigration differed from earlier emigration in more than its quantitative dimension. Nineteenth- and early twentieth-century immigrants were primarily rural, lacked formal education, and represented the poor or working class. These were the Hong Kongers who built the railroads in the West and established the world’s Chinatowns. In contrast, immigrants in the 1980s and 1990s were primarily urban, highly educated, middle or upper class. For example, in 1993, 5.2% of all Hong Kongers held university degrees, yet 15% of those Hong Kongers who emigrated were university graduates. Similarly, 12% of the total population was employed in high-level occupations, yet 35.5% of the immigrants were
Table 1.1 Immigrants to major destinations whose last previous residence was Hong Kong, 1984–97

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>3,691</td>
<td>7,696</td>
<td>12,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>5,136</td>
<td>7,380</td>
<td>10,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>4,912</td>
<td>5,893</td>
<td>9,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>5,140</td>
<td>16,170</td>
<td>8,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>7,942</td>
<td>23,281</td>
<td>11,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>9,998</td>
<td>19,994</td>
<td>12,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>11,538</td>
<td>28,825</td>
<td>12,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>16,747</td>
<td>22,340</td>
<td>15,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>15,656</td>
<td>38,841</td>
<td>16,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>8,111</td>
<td>36,571</td>
<td>14,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>4,075</td>
<td>44,146</td>
<td>11,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>5,139</td>
<td>31,737</td>
<td>10,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>6,187</td>
<td>30,007</td>
<td>11,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>5,340</td>
<td>21,597</td>
<td>7,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>109,612</td>
<td>334,478</td>
<td>167,554</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total: 611,644

Estimated Total Emigration to All Countries: 795,137a

Sources:


Note:

aSkeldon (1994) estimated that emigration from Hong Kong to all countries (including the United Kingdom, Singapore, New Zealand, China, and Taiwan) may have been 35% higher than emigration to Australia, Canada, and the United States together. We have used a more conservative estimate of 30% to calculate total emigration during these years.

professionals. Handover emigrants also differed from the emigrants of earlier periods by frequently including three generations of a family rather than a husband migrating alone.47

Immigrant families obtained visas to traditional “settler” countries including the United Kingdom, United States, Canada, and Australia, along with neighboring countries such as Singapore and more exotic
locales like Fiji, Panama, and Lesotho. Decisions to depart from Hong Kong coincided with changes in immigration policies in a number of countries that served to funnel the immigrants either toward or away from those destinations. One example of a country experiencing the effects of the liberalization of immigration policy was New Zealand, which enacted new laws in 1986. Soon thereafter, New Zealand became the fifth most popular country of destination, receiving 13,530 Hong Kong immigrants between 1987 and 1992.48

Combined, Canada, Australia, and the United States accounted for more than 80% of the total number of immigrants during the initial period of immigration (1987–93). Canada received more than 185,000 Hong Kongers during that time period, quadrupling the immigration from the prior seven years.49 Australia received 75,000, increasing its number of Hong Kong immigrants threefold. The United States received 92,000, only a minor increase over the prior period, at a rate of 10,000 permanent visas granted per year under the Family Reunification Act.50 Despite the commonalities among these settler countries, the Hong Kong emigrant experiences varied widely.

**Hong Kongers on the move: Settling in**

In general, emigrants found themselves in countries that differed dramatically from Hong Kong in many ways. One significant physical difference between Hong Kong and the Western countries of emigration is the density levels. Hong Kong is among the most densely populated territories on earth, averaging 6,380 people/square kilometer. The United States averages 31/square kilometer. And the countries that experienced the most immigration from Hong Kong are among the most sparsely populated: both Canada and Australia average 3/square kilometer.51 Other features were distinctive by country as the Hong Kong newcomers began their adaptation.

**Canadian settlement**

As the recipient of the largest number of Hong Kong immigrants, Canada found itself in the midst of the largest single-country influx in its history. Hong Kong moved from a tenth place ranking in 1971 as an immigration source country to first place in 1987,52 and immigration category shifted from family reunification to economic. The sheer number was multiplied
in its effect in that the majority of Hong Kong immigrants moved either to the west coast province of British Columbia or to Ontario, in the middle of the country. While the Canadians needed to adjust to their new neighbors, Hong Kongers needed to adjust to a cold climate, mountainous regions, a new language, and a new culture. Chinese culturally derived social networks were the first line of assistance. Voluntary Chinese associations, initially formed to provide aid to the rural, unskilled mid-nineteenth-century gold rush immigrants, continued their efforts to assist the well-educated urban professionals during the difficult preliminary adjustment period in Vancouver, Toronto, and other cities that experienced large-scale immigration. These associations were modeled on those found in villages throughout China.

The shift from the unaccompanied male immigrants in the nineteenth century to multi-generation families in the 1980s led to varied adjustment patterns during the acculturation process. Children straddled two cultural milieu: adapting to the cultural values of their school environments and newly made local friends while maintaining the ethnic values of their parents at home. Inevitable conflicts arose. Husbands and wives had to make differential adaptations as well. Men needed to adjust to a workplace that required more openness in expressing opinions, a flatter organization (fewer managers) with minimized status differences between managers and subordinates, and a slower and less driven work style. Women were thrust into domestic situations without the assistance of domestic help or extended family, resulting in their having increased responsibility for child rearing and for shopping and running a household, mostly in English.

A recent study compared similarities and differences in acculturation among parents and children of immigrant Chinese families in Canada. Three domains of acculturation were investigated. Behavioral practices compared, among other overt actions, Chinese and Canadian language use and media preferences. Ethnic identity assessed the strength of the immigrants’ feelings of belonging to their Chinese ethnicity. Cultural values measured the extent to which the families embraced Chinese or Canadian qualities (e.g., family cohesion vs. individualism). Overall, and not unexpectedly, children were more open to adopting the behaviors (speaking English and using English media) and values (more independent) of the Canadians than were their parents. Surprisingly, though, parents were only marginally more committed to Chinese culture than their children were. The families exhibited the most similarities to each other in the ethnic identity and Asian value dimensions, suggesting that, at home, parents
were particularly concerned about transmitting aspects of Chinese culture. Yet while the children received and integrated Chinese values into their identities, their behavior frequently reflected Canadian ideals, an example of the duality and integrative flexibility of Chinese self-concept.

Demographic distinctiveness did not break down only along age lines, as the adults themselves did not hold uniform values or beliefs. One unexpected finding was the difference between immigrant mothers and fathers on most of the cultural dimensions. The mothers were more oriented toward Chinese culture and identity, while the fathers favored Canadian ones. These results had consequences for familial relationships, particularly in light of the differential residency in Canada of mothers versus fathers. As we will see, the mothers were more likely to be the sole caretaker of the family in Canada, whereas the fathers returned to Hong Kong.

Immigration has multiple constituents, both individual and institutional, social and cultural. Acculturation to Canada by Hong Kong immigrants must be seen against the backdrop of the prevalent Canadian philosophy of multiculturalism. The tenets of this concept permitted and subtly encouraged the private maintenance of ethnic values while simultaneously insisting on minimal public adherence to Canadian behaviors and values. An example of the outcome of this model is found in a study of immigrants from the Netherlands to Canada compared with those who immigrated to Australia and the United States. Dutch immigrants to Canada were far more likely to identify themselves as Canadian and with an integrative acculturation strategy (i.e., maintaining both home and host culture values and attitudes) and be less marginalized than Dutch immigrants to the other two countries. In a similar study, Punjabi Sikhs were better acculturated to Canada than to Australia or the United Kingdom.

K. B. Chan, a prominent Hong Kong sociologist, argues, however, that within the Hong Kong Canadian community, women and younger immigrants in particular preferred an alternative to multiculturalism. According to Chan, “The hyphen remains a hyphen forever. The multicultural policy has effectively prevented the hyphen (Chinese-Canadian) from being removed and replaced by an arrow (Chinese → Canadian).” Chan advocates for a philosophy that would allow Hong Kong immigrants to better integrate and fuse Chinese and Canadian values, to experience “inclusion and exclusion, togetherness and separation, certainty and adventure, living out … life at the borders” through a hybridization of behavior, identity, and values.
Canada and Canadians also have been transformed by the Hong Kong migration in both small, intimate, and large, structural, ways. New urban development projects such as Pacific Place connect Hong Kong and Vancouver and shift Canadian linkages from northern Europe to the Pacific Rim. The Canadian preference for modest homes surrounded by lawns, trees, and shrubbery reflected the national value placed on open space and natural beauty. Hong Kong immigrants, longing for internal space denied them when they lived in the colony, purchased existing homes in Vancouver or Toronto, razed them, and replaced them with enormous houses fitting to the edges of the footprint of the building lots. Their Canadian neighbors chafed at the changes, whereas the Hong Kongers luxuriated in their new multi-bedroom, multi-bathroom mansions. Who needed a lawn?

**Australian settlement**

Australia received the largest per capita number of Hong Kongers, who gained entry under the nation’s Independent Skills Program (based on educational and occupation skills); by 1990, Hong Kongers had become Australia’s largest source of non-English-speaking immigrants, jumping from a 21st ranking in 1980. In 1991–92, for immigrants categorized by place of last residence, Hong Kong was the number one source, with 15,656 new settlers. The total number of Hong Kong–born residents also dramatically increased, from 1,554 in 1954 to 80,000 in 1993. In a comparative study of Asian immigrants to Brisbane, Australia, which included 23 Hong Kongers, parameters of this subgroup were described and they deviated noticeably from those of immigrants from the PRC, Taiwan, Japan, and Vietnam. Most Hong Kong respondents were married to other Hong Kongers, only half had children, and most owned their own homes or apartments in neighborhoods described as at the middle or upper socioeconomic level. Nearly half of the Hong Kong respondents had visited Australia prior to immigrating there and indicated that physical attributes of the environment (climate, cleanliness, open spaces) were important factors in their selecting Australia as their immigration destination. Mostly university-educated, they were employed as professionals.

Although geographically proximal to Hong Kong, Australia offered differences in climate, topography, history, employment opportunities, and culture. A critical aspect of immigrant adjustment was economic, posing the question of whether these highly educated immigrants from Hong
Kong would find appropriate jobs within the Australian labor force. Anita Mak, a Hong Kong–born psychologist, educated partly in Hong Kong and partly in Australia, conducted an in-depth study of 111 Hong Kong Chinese who settled in Australia. These mid-career professionals, both men and women, included newcomers (less than 3½ years in Australia) and settlers (3½–10 years).

Somewhat unexpectedly, two-thirds of the respondents were able to find positions in the same occupation as in Hong Kong although subsequently many found career advancement blocked. Two-thirds of the respondents also indicated that while generally satisfied with their jobs, they were uncertain or dissatisfied about career development. Nearly a quarter of the interviewees needed further study in a new academic area in order to secure a job, and obstacles to their finding relevant employment were particularly evident in the areas of engineering, teaching, and management.

Cross-cultural differences between the two countries were revealed most clearly in the employment arena. Study participants perceived that limitations both in finding pertinent employment and in career promotion were fueled by racial discrimination, the undervaluing of Hong Kong education and work experience, language barriers, and lack of local knowledge. Australian cultural values influenced workplace interactions and customs, leading to the need for adjustments on the part of the immigrants. In his groundbreaking work on cultural values and their influence in the workplace, Geert Hofstede, a Dutch organizational psychologist, found significant differences between Hong Kong and Australia. On the continuum of collectivism (0) to individualism (100), Hong Kong scored a 25 (ranked 53) (more collectivist) while Australia scored a 90 (and was ranked 2) (more individualist). These differences were manifest in the relationship that the workers felt with the work institution and the amount of time and energy that would be spent on behalf of the institution. Hong Kongers were accustomed to working long hours, six to seven days a week, and to socializing with coworkers in the evening (eating, drinking, playing mah-jong). These behaviors were cultural signifiers and represented strong connections to the work group. Their hard work would support and maintain the organization, which in turn would reward the employee. For Hong Kongers, the balance of work life to family life inevitably fell on the side of work. For Australian workers, the balance fell on the side of family and personal leisure. In individualist Australia, the work day and the work week were short and individual effort
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was modest. Immigrants were often troubled by what they perceived as a weak work ethic and lack of concern about the organization. Conversely, Australians negatively attributed the workplace dedication and persistence of the Hong Kong immigrants to cloying deference to the boss or to an unfortunate lack of concern for family.

Respondents in the Mak study indicated that other problematic cultural differences included uncomfortably democratic work relationships between supervisor and subordinate, and preferences for the open expression of opinions. External factors, such as the struggling state of the Australian economy in the late 1980s and early 1990s, also resulted in dissatisfaction with financial compensation. One-third of the respondents were considering a return to Hong Kong. Not all cultural differences were met with distress, however. Increased workplace autonomy and responsibility resulted in increased satisfaction among the Hong Kong immigrants.

Overall, Hong Kong immigrants were happier with their personal and family life than they were with their jobs in Australia. The search for career satisfaction as well as the challenges of raising school-aged children appeared to be significant factors in immigrants’ decision making regarding whether they remained in or departed from Australia.

**United States settlement**

Hong Kongers who emigrated to the United States did so under America’s family reunification policy. Therefore, these immigrants had private mutual assistance groups ready to ease their acculturative stress. Despite the existence of supporting family and friends, the majority of social scientific research on the Hong Kong immigrant group has focused on the effects of acculturation on a wide variety of psychological outcomes. Few consistent findings enable us to generalize about the acculturation process for the Hong Kongers in the United States. Some studies demonstrated that acculturation levels (i.e., the extent to which an individual acculturated to US society) did not affect depression, whereas others indicated that those immigrants with lower acculturation to the United States had higher expectations about the expertise of psychological counselors. A more nuanced result indicated that the amount of stress related to acculturation was influenced by whether an immigrant held an internal versus external Chinese identity.
An anthropological study, which used a case study method rather than an experimental one, discussed Hong Kong immigrants’ planned and intentional strategy not only to adjust to life in California but also to fit within the upper social classes and monied elites. Through interviews and observations, this author revealed that the immigrants used multiple tactics including selection of the “right” private schools, investment in self-improvement lessons (tennis, golf, music, chess), purchase or building of homes in geographically desirable locations (from both a local and a feng shui perspective), and philanthropic donations to and leadership volunteerism with favored charities and cultural institutions. This study was also critical of many current conceptualizations of globalization. The researcher’s paradigm included immigrant choice or personal agency in emigration rationale and acculturation decision making.

New Zealand settlement

The New Zealand clinical psychologist Elsie Ho, herself an immigrant from Hong Kong, has examined New Zealand’s Chinese community, particularly in Auckland, where the largest numbers of former Hong Kong residents reside. Her profile of recent Hong Kong immigrants to New Zealand reflect those who have resettled elsewhere: well-educated, well-off, and moving as nuclear or extended families. New Zealand provided them with a stable, democratic, and English-speaking environment coupled with a comfortable climate, distinctively “clean and green” surroundings, and excellent educational opportunities.

Ho found that the new immigrants settled in easily economically, securing managerial, professional, and administrative positions. Eighty percent of their employment was in wholesale or retail trade, restaurants and hotels, business and financial services, and manufacturing. Socially, the atmosphere was more clouded. The large numbers of immigrants settling into a rather small geographic area over a short time period resulted in some public display of prejudice. Overt and subtle discrimination led to active attempts on the part of local and Chinese leaders to seek ways to increase tolerance and enhance understanding between the two communities. It also prompted the Hong Kongers to pursue dual goals of becoming more fully integrated into the host society while maintaining their Hong Kong identity through the establishment and growth of community self-help organizations.
Hong Kongers on the move: Coming home

On July 1, 1997, on a hot and rainy evening in Hong Kong, the British Union Jack was lowered and replaced by the five-starred flag of the People’s Republic of China and by the smaller bauhinia flag of the new Special Administrative Region of Hong Kong. The HMY Britannia, carrying Prince Charles and Christopher Patten, the 28th and last Governor of Hong Kong, sailed away from Victoria Harbour as the People’s Liberation Army, arriving from Shenzhen, assumed their positions guarding the former Prince of Wales Barracks in Admiralty. Revelers in Statue Square mixed with democracy protestors who shouted outside of the Legco (Legislative Council) Building. Amid the celebrations and the anxiety, the people of Hong Kong held their collective breath.

Despite the removal of symbols of the Crown, no calamitous changes occurred in Hong Kong in the next few months, and the island’s population cautiously exhaled. So did the Hong Kong immigrants, who, while adapting to life in Vancouver, Los Angeles, Sydney, and London, kept their eyes trained on events in the former colony. For many, their immediate fears about the impact of Chinese sovereignty were not realized but neither were their optimistic expectations of life as immigrants. In their new countries, professionals found themselves either under- or unemployed, subtle discriminatory practices were uncovered, and English-language skills were found to be insufficient.

As a result, the immigration tide began to turn. What began as a trickle of husbands returning to Hong Kong to work turned into a steady flow of returnees. The structure of late twentieth-century Hong Kong immigration began with features both similar to and distinctive from immigrant patterns from other countries. As was noted earlier in the chapter, migrants most often departed as multi-generation families, and their middle-class status and advanced education distinguished them from past immigrant groups. Their return to Hong Kong was distinctive as well. Some men who had emigrated found themselves dissatisfied with their immigrant jobs, with the outlook for career advancement, and, most disturbing, with their low financial compensation. What then was the salient option? They decided to return to Hong Kong, where the economy was expanding, the labor pool depleted, and the salaries high. With an eye toward the future and Hong Kongers’ characteristic flexibility, immigrant men had maintained their Hong Kong workplace networks throughout their overseas adjustment, communicating often with their former coworkers and supervisors and
their university friends. Hong Kong businesses were in dire need of skilled and experienced workers because of the recent exodus and were luring immigrants back to Hong Kong with enticing compensation packages.

But who should return? Just the husband? The entire family? Only the parents, leaving the grandparents to care for the children in the settler country? Both the parents and children, leaving the grandparents as placeholders in the newly purchased home? All possibilities were tried. The patterns varied in format and over time.

Initially, the pattern was for the entire family to remain in the new homeland with the exception of the husbands, who accepted employment in Hong Kong. Often this option was undertaken as an expedient measure, with the expectation that the men would return frequently to their new homeland to visit the family and, conversely, that the family would travel to Hong Kong during school holidays and the summer. Thus was born the Hong Kong “astronaut” (tai kong ren). A play on words, this term also can be translated as “man without a wife.” These men, flying back and forth between Kai Tak Airport and Vancouver or Sydney, led binational lives while their “satellite” families struggled to adjust to a new culture and a new identity. The long-term strategy was straightforward although rarely realized — after a year or so the solo husbands would earn enough in Hong Kong to return to their families and the country to which they had immigrated.

Although these astronaut tactics were born of economic underemployment,70 they had psychological motivations as well. In the settler country, husbands did not only feel the pinch of declining income. They also experienced the ego-deflating effect of having less prestigious jobs than they had had in Hong Kong, which often necessitated that their wives now seek employment. The possibility of lowered self-esteem surely was behind the comments of one male returnee: “When you are not able to find a job, or earn enough money or work at your former position, especially for a male, they’ll feel that they are useless. Some people who are used to being a boss, [but] after they went to Canada they had to distribute newspapers or to work as a driver for a living. As their social class lowered dramatically, they also suffered serious psychological depression. I think, other than money, this is another important reason why many have returned to Hong Kong.”71

These personal inclinations and desires to return home were fanned by the Hong Kong government’s active attempts to lure the migrants back home. Recruitment teams from the private sector and the government traveled throughout North America, Australia, and New Zealand seeking to entice the immigrants back with the promise of hefty salaries and bonuses, and appealing to their loyalty to the territory.72
A secondary remigration strategy began to develop. The pragmatic characteristics of the Hong Kong identity began to coalesce around the issue of child rearing. Parents wanted to raise bilingual and bicultural children. Perhaps a developmental interlude back in Hong Kong would ensure that their children would have their Cantonese skills reinforced and would begin to learn Mandarin, all the while maintaining their newly polished English-language fluency. Wives and children began to depart from their countries of immigration, often leaving behind a parent, or keeping ownership of a house, now rented to newer immigrants. Thus behavioral decisions to return to the territory were based on both macro and micro forces. On the macro side were fluctuating economies, political decisions by the Chinese government, and human rights concerns. On the micro side were depressed husbands, unhappy children, ill and aging parents, and lifestyle preferences.

The plan was now a reversed journey: move to Hong Kong but return to the new passport countries during school holidays to reunite with extended family and for linguistic maintenance, in anticipation several years hence of their children’s matriculating at the University of British Columbia or the University of New South Wales. The solo astronaut was gradually replaced by the familial boomerang, careening back and forth from Hong Kong.

Counting the remigrants

How many Hong Kongers have returned in their former homeland? Accurately tracking the movement of people is a notoriously difficult task. Government statisticians and academic demographers frequently disagree about population figures. Estimates of migrants returning to their home countries are just that, estimations based on shipboard manifests, census information, occasional surveys, and anecdotal evidence. The historian Mark Wyman investigated early twentieth-century return migration from the United States back to the immigrants’ native European homelands. He used many sources to estimate the numbers and suggests that more than 4 million immigrants returned home. Researchers attending a 1981 European conference on International Return Migration estimated that 20–30% of the Europeans returned home. But these numbers varied dramatically by ethnicity and by reason for migration, primarily economic compared with political. For example, 50–60% of southern Italians, whose immigration to the United States was motivated by financial reasons, returned to Italy, whereas only 5% of Eastern European Jews, migrating to
avoid anti-Semitic programs and mass displacements, returned to Russia and neighboring countries. Cinel also investigated return migration from the United States focusing on Italians. His estimates were based on extensive banking records and on archival documents from local municipalities. Despite the numerical statements, most historians admit to uncertainties in their estimates and to inconsistencies in early censuses and surveys.

Similarly, it is impossible to accurately assess how many Hong Kongers have returned to live and work in the territory. Surveys on intentions to remigrate are not necessarily an accurate reflection; there is no assurance that the migrating behavior will match the intention. There were those returnees intending to return briefly to Hong Kong who stayed. Then there were those who intended to remain in Hong Kong but who returned to their country of migration. And many found themselves leading a peripatetic existence creating the Hong Kong migrant boomerang.

The outflow of emigrants from and inflow of returnees to Hong Kong are particularly difficult to track because individuals departing for Commonwealth countries prior to 1997 were not required to relinquish their Hong Kong residency cards when they left and therefore were not required to apply for a visa or for re-entry permission when they returned. Despite its speculative nature, I will not shirk from the task of suggesting some return migrant assessments. Early surveys by the Hong Kong government claimed that in 1992 alone, 8,000 people returned, or an estimated 16% of the total emigrant group. Academic study has suggested that 30% of those who settled in Australia in 1990/91 returned to Hong Kong by 1993. Ronald Skeldon revised these estimates, suggesting that “it is not improbable that one-fifth of the more than 300,000 who are said to have left Hong Kong between 1987 and 1992 might have returned, or some 60,000 people.”

Later surveys by the Hong Kong government estimated that 120,000 emigrants had returned. This figure, however, is generally acknowledged by social scientists to substantially undercount the actual number. The profile and a similar census in 2001 indicated that most returnees were young adults (aged 20 to 29, 37.5%) or middle-aged (aged 30 to 39, 21.5%); very few were children or retirees.

DeGolyer’s annual Hong Kong Transition Survey inquired as to the respondent’s identity. Approximately 7% describe themselves as either remigrants or Hong Kong expatriates. Extrapolating to the current population of nearly 7 million, this would translate to 490,000 returnees — nearly a half million remigrants.
Another enumerative methodology is to measure the number of foreign nationals living in Hong Kong. This method may give an indication of the numbers of return migrants as many entered Hong Kong under their new foreign passports. Ley and Kobayashi summarized media reports that estimated that between 500,000 and 700,000 Hong Kongers had returned by the mid-1990s. The Hong Kong Immigration Department indicated that the number of Australians living in Hong Kong was approximately 8,500 for much of the 1980s. That number jumped to 18,700 by 1994, and to 50,000 by 2005. One can reasonably assume that the majority of these Australian passport holders are Hong Kongers returning home. Similarly, the number of Canadians living in Hong Kong hovered between 8,000 and 10,000 in the 1980s; in 1994, the number was 24,700. By 2005, the Canadian Consulate of Hong Kong indicated that 250,000 Canadian passport holders were residing in Hong Kong and the Canadian Chamber of Commerce in Hong Kong provided the high estimate that 500,000 Canadian citizens were living in Hong Kong.

Less dramatic are the increases in the numbers of US and UK passport holders residing in Hong Kong. The number of British citizens living in Hong Kong during the 1980s and early 1990s stayed flat, at 16,000, which was not a surprisingly large number given that Hong Kong remained a colonial territory. However, the number began to rise and reached 23,700 by 1994 and by 2005 was 250,000. There was a different trajectory for US citizens residing in Hong Kong, with a slow and steady increase in the number from 14,000 in 1986 to nearly 30,000 in 1994; in 2009 there were 54,000.

One might be tempted to assume that the increases in Western representation in Hong Kong were due to an influx of business expatriates. But there are indications that the number of corporate expatriate employees in Hong Kong has declined in the first decade of the twenty-first century. Several reasons account for this change, including the cost of living for expatriate workers, corporate relocation of Asian headquarters to Shanghai or Beijing, and changes in the US tax codes that are less beneficial for expatriates.

Therefore, increases in the numbers of Australian, Canadian, UK, and US passport holders in Hong Kong can reasonably be attributed to Hong Kong Chinese migrants returning under the passport of their newly acquired citizenship. In summary, conservative estimates would put the number of remigrants from all countries of settlement at 500,000, that is, 83% of those who migrated and nearly 7% of the total population of Hong Kong.
Returning home: Psychological consequences for identity

Psychologically, what is the nature of the cultural identity of the returnee to Hong Kong, whether the husband or the entire family? On to the multilayered Hong Kong identity, Chinese, British, Hong Kong identity vis-à-vis British, Hong Kong identity vis-à-vis China, and Canadian/Australian, is now added yet another — the identity of return migrant. Who are these individuals and what is the configuration of their identity? Do they feel at home again in Hong Kong, or has their identity transformation led to a new global transnational identity? This book, and the Hong Kong Remigration Project that it summarizes, was designed to answer such questions so that we might better understand the identity changes that return migrants face. Antecedents to remigration, such as characteristics of the adaptation process to the settlement country, and consequences of remigration, in terms of behavior, thought, and emotion, will be investigated. To assist in the analysis of these questions, a theoretical framework was utilized. The Cultural Identity Model (CIM) of Cultural Transitions was initially developed to conceptualize the repatriation of sojourners, those travelers who are temporarily living in a foreign country. However, the CIM has proven to be a functional framework with which to understand the repatriated immigrant identity as well. A detailed discussion of the features and variables in the CIM will be explored in Chapter 3.

The case has been made in this chapter that residents of Hong Kong have developed complex identities. Triggered by situational cues, Hong Kongers have learned how to negotiate these identities within the Hong Kong regional and global context. Wong Siu-lun provides a rich example of the ability to switch cultural identities among Hong Kong textile manufacturers who were born in Shanghai:

According to the situation, a Shanghainese can activate regional ties of various scope ... In international forums such as textile negotiations, the cotton spinners usually present themselves as industrialists from Hong Kong ... Vis-à-vis their foreign buyers or the senior British officials of the colony, they are Chinese. Meeting in regional associations, they are people from Ningpo or Shanghai city who enjoy their local cuisine and theatrical entertainment. When they participate in the activities of their trade associations, they are modern, westernized businessmen.

The large-scale movement of people from and back to Hong Kong allows us not only to understand the psychological consequences of Hong Kong return migration but also to illuminate an emerging worldwide
phenomenon. Migrants are returning in large numbers to Asian countries including China, Korea, Vietnam, and India. Particular European countries, such as Ireland, the Czech Republic, and Turkey, are also experiencing a rise in return migrants, as is Israel. Results of the limited number of social scientific investigations focusing on the experiences of these remigrants will be summarized throughout the book, starting in Chapter 3, and contrasted with the findings of the Hong Kong Remigration Project.
Notes

Introduction

Chapter 1
35. Hong, Chiu, Fu, and Tong, 1996.
41. DeGolyer, 2007; Hong Kong Transition detailed reports are available at www.hkbu.edu.hk/~hktp.
42. Hong, Liao, et al., 2006.
64. Hofstede and Hofstede, 2005.
75. Cinel, 1991
80. Skeldon, 1994, p. 64.
82. Ley and Kobayashi, 2005.

Chapter 2

30. Furnham and Bochner, 1982.
35. Deaux, 1996.
39. LaFromboise, Coleman, and Gerton, 1993.
42. Oyserman, 1993.
44. Baumeister, Shapiro and Tice, 1985; Baumeister, 1986.
46. Dion and Dion, 1996.
47. Ong, 1999.
54. Chataway and Berry, 1989.
60. Graham, 1983.

Chapter 3

54. Berry, 1980.
60. Higgins, 1996.
64. Alatas, 1972.
82. Ley and Kobayashi, 2005.

Chapter 4


Chapter 5

4. Bray and Koo, 2005, for a detailed discussion of the Hong Kong educational system.
8. Singelis, Bond, Sharkey, and Lai, 1999. Also see Ng and Zhu, 2001, for similar nonmigrant Hong Kong self-construal ratings.
12. Tannenbaum, 2007. The quotations from this study are excerpted from several
of the interviewee transcripts translated by Tannenbaum and provided to the author.


Chapter 6


Chapter 7


Chapter 8


Chapter 9

Chapter 10

3. Bond and Hwang, 1986
17. LaBrack, personal communication, 2007.

Chapter 11

Index

acceptance
  after remigration, 127–128
  by host country natives, 103–104
accommodation, cultural, 73
acculturation
  of affirmative identity remigrants, 188
  of Australian immigrants, 25
  of Canadian immigrants, 24–25
domains of, 57
  of Hong Kong Remigration Project respondents, 265–267
  of immigrant students, 55–56
  and religious choices, 87
  of United States immigrants, 28–29
  see also cultural adaptation
acculturation theory, 53
adaptability
  as Confucian value, 242
  of East-Asian peoples, 262
  as hallmark of maturity/good character, 237
  in home vs. host countries, 101
adaptation
  by acting Chinese, 110–111
  by additive identity emigrants, 132
  by adopting local social behaviors, 106–110
  defined, 73
  by global identity remigrants, 181–184
sociocultural, 73–74 (see also cultural adaptation)
to Western work style, 228–230
additive identity, 74–76, 79–81
  bicultural, 148–150, 152
  combined with affirmative identity, 194–196
  combined with global identity, 182, 184
  combined with subtractive identity, 163–168
and distress of returnees, 152–153
in European remigrants, 154–155
in Hong Kong remigrants, 131–154, 261
and housing choices, 139–143
  hybrid, 150–152
in Indian remigrants, 156–158
and interpersonal relations, 143–147
in Israeli remigrants, 155–156
in Japanese remigrants, 158–160
in other Hong Kong studies, 154
postadjustment, 113–114
quantitative data on, 148, 149
and school choices, 136–139
and self-concept/self-naming, 133–136
subprofiles of, 148–153
adjustment
  in country of settlement, 111–113
  cultural, 73
in return to Hong Kong, 234
adolescent returnees, cultural identities of, 86
combined with additive identity, 194–196
in Indian remigrants, 196–197
in Israeli remigrants, 197–198
in Japanese remigrants, 159, 198
self-naming, 134, 135
in Taiwanese remigrants, 196
African remigrants
emotional response of, 122–123
psychological consequences for, 7
Aga Khan Foundation, 68
age
and cultural identity, 80, 188
and intention to remigrate, 67
Ai, Weiwei, 38, 40
aloneness, 151
aloofness, of host country natives, 104
ambiguity, tolerance for, 245
Analects (Confucius), 235, 237
ancient Greek philosophy, 234, 242–244
anxiety, in returning Hong Kongers, 5
apartments, 210–211, 250
Aristotle, 242, 243. See also ancient Greek philosophy
art, cultural adaptation and, 37–44
Ashmore, R. D., 52
Asian countries, values of, 238
“astronauts” (tai kong ren), 31, 64–65
attitudes
of additive identity returnees, 145–147
political, 18–20, 255–256
toward People’s Republic of China, 19
work (see professional life)
Australia
acculturation of immigrants in, 25
emigration to, 21–23
remigration from, 1–6, 69–70 (see also Hong Kong Remigration Project [HKRP])
settlement in, 26–28
studies of immigrant adaptation to, 55–56
Australians
cultural values of, 27–28
living in Hong Kong, 34
Austrian remigrants
identity consequences for, 69
motivations of, 66
authority
of bosses, 221
relating to figures of, 127
autonomy, Western values of, 16
awareness of cultural identity, 72
balance
in Australian lifestyle, 2
Bangladesh remigrants, 7, 69–70
Baumeister, R. F., 52, 53
behaviors, 3
of host countries, adapting to, 106–110
social (see social behavior [li])
Western, 16, 17
work (see professional life)
Belgium, on Uncertainty Avoidance Scale, 238
benevolence (ren), 237
Berry, John, 53
Bible from Happy Valley (Antonio Mak), 42
bicultural identity, 57, 58, 148–150, 152
of commuting husbands, 86
Hong Kong/Mainland, 258
in Taiwanese remigrants, 173
bilingual programs for returnees, 252–253
Birman Acculturation Scale, 265–267
Birman Cultural Adaptation Scale, 148
blow ins/blow backs, 176
Bochner, S., 51
Book from the Sky, A (Xu Bing), 40
boomerang returnees, 99, 200, 249–262
Chinese in Hong Kong, 257–258
and Confucian teachings, 255–256
and cultural complexity of Hong Kongers, 258–260
Hong Kongers in China, 256
incentives to return, 252–254
maintaining and retaining remigrants, 254
reasons for living as, 249–252
“both/and” principle, 237
“brain drain,” 82
“brain gain” programs, 68
Brazil, Japanese immigrants in, 81
Brewer, Marilyn, 17–18
British claim to Hong Kong, 11
British handover of control, 17, 30
emigrants’ reactions to, 30
and identity of Hong Kongers, 19–20, 96
as reason for emigration, 91–92, 259
British–Hong Kong identity, 19, 94, 97
British identity
in nested Hong Kong identity, 18
premigration, 94
British sovereignty period, 14–17, 200
British values, 16
Cai, Guoqiang, 40
_Cairo House, The_ (Samia Serageldin), 47
California, remigration from, 67
Canada
acculturaiton of immigrants, 24–25
emigration to, 21–23
remigration from, 83–85 (see also Hong Kong Remigration Project [HKRP])
settlement in, 23–26
studies of immigrant adaptation to, 55
Canadians, living in Hong Kong, 34
Cantonese, in Hong Kong, 13
captive mind syndrome, 76
careers
for Australian immigrants, 27
decisions made by parents for children, 5
as reason for remigration, 66, 83–85, 117, 118, 172–173
see also occupations; professional life
_Case Study for a New English Calligraphy_ (Xu Bing), 40
categorization, 243
centrality, identity, 75–76
Centre of Asian Studies, University of Hong Kong, 8
Chan, K. B., 25, 54, 65
Chan, Wendy, 74, 82–83, 154, 172, 186–187
Chandra, Vikram, 47
change(s)
cognitive, in additive identity returnees, 145–147
as Confucian value, 242
in cultural identity, 71–72, 74–78, 113 (see also identity shifts [CIM])
institutional, as reason for return, 65
in marriages, 200–201
in parenting, 203–207
in political attitudes, 18–19
in self-concept, 71–72
wish to discourage, 246
Charles, Prince, 30
Cheng, Albert, 131
Cheng, S. M., 17
Chiang, Nora, 172, 185, 196
“child-centered” decision-making model, 66
child rearing. See parenting
children
acculturaiton of Canadian immigrants, 24–25
choosing schools for, 136–139
Confucian dictates for, 236
cultural identities of returnees, 86
as decision factor for return, 66, 251
decision making by, 205–206
Greek remigrants, 69
parent–child relationships, 203–207
relationship with grandparents, 202
China “loyalist” identity type, 20
China’s “class enemies” identity type, 20
Chinese
migration to Hong Kong, 257–258
remigration of, 65
Chinese cognitive style, 13
Chinese culture
brought by Cantonese, 13
Canadian immigrant commitment to, 24–25
Chinese identity
and acculturaiton of United States immigrants, 28
cosmopolitan, 54
cultural vs. political, 18
of Hong Kong immigrant youth, 56
Hong Kong Transition Project
reports of, 19
postadjustment, 113–115
premigration, 94, 96, 111
strengthening of, 188–190, 193 (see also affirmative identity)
types of, 55
see also Hong Kong–Chinese identity; PRC Chinese identity
Chinese immigrants
adaptation of, 54–58
anglicization of names by, 57
as most ubiquitous nonnative group, 53
transnationalism of, 62
Chinese indigenous communities, in Hong Kong, 12–13
Chinese International School, 136, 207
Chinese lifestyle, in host country, 110–111
Chinese philosophies, 235–236, 244
Chinese rule
fear of, 92–93
uncertainty about living under, 249–250
Chinese values, 238–239
brought by Cantonese, 13
from Confucianism, 238
incompatibility of British values and, 16
transformation of, 215
Chinese version of HKRP questionnaire, 272–278
Chinese–Western mixture identities
postadjustment, 114
premigration, 94, 96, 97
Chinese writers, cultural adaptation themes of, 45–46
chonggen (cosmopolitanism), 54, 186
CIM. See Cultural Identity Model of Cultural Transitions
Cinet, D., 33
citizenship
for boomerang emigrants, 99
cultural identity vs., 96–97, 114
diasporic, 61
personal values vs., 96–97
as reason for emigrating, 116
“class enemies” identity, 20
climate, 250
cognitive changes, in additive identity returnees, 145–147
cognitive dissonance, 235
cognitive style(s)
and Confucian values, 238, 240–241
in home vs. host countries, 101
switching between, 57–58
traditional Chinese, 13
Western, 13
and Western values, 16, 245–247
Coleman, H. K., 53
collective efficacy, 147
collective identity, 52
collectivism
in Hong Kong vs. Australia, 27
in Japanese remigrants, 198
shift away from, 16
collectivist approach to life, 13
College of Staten Island, City University of New York, 7
Colombia, returning immigrants to, 7
communication
harmony vs. confrontation in, 225–228
“high-context” style of, 167
and mental health of immigrants, 61
Western style of, 229
in the workplace, 222–223
community volunteering, 110
complexity
Chinese response to, 234
of Hong Kongers’ cultural identities, 258–260
conflict avoidance, Chinese value of, 13
conformity, Chinese value of, 13
confrontation, harmony vs., 225–228
Confucian Classics, 235
Confucianism, 234, 235, 242
and acceptance of inequality/hierarchy, 245
and adaptation to migration/remigration, 262
application of ideas from, 237–242
and attributes of Chinese identity, 96
and boomerang returnees, 255–256
and boss-subordinate relationships, 218
superior (virtuous) person in, 239
values from, 238, 239, 242
Confucius, 131, 235–236, 241, 242
Confucius Institutes, 253
“confusionism,” 73
conservatism, returns of, 65
consistency, in Western philosophies, 244
coping, in country of settlement, 111–113
cosmopolitanism (chonggen), 54, 186
cost of living, in Hong Kong, 250
countries of settlement. See host countries
cultural accommodation, 73
cultural adaptation, 37–58
and art, 37–44
by Chinese immigrants, 54–58
and literature, 45–49
social science related to, 49–58
theories of, 50–54
see also acculturation
cultural complexity, of Hong Kongers, 258–260
cultural differences
and harmony vs. confrontation at work, 225–228
between home and host countries, 100–101, 125–127
between Hong Kongers and Mainlanders, 257–258
cultural flexibility, 75–76
cultural heterogeneity/homogeneity, 262
cultural hybridization, 53
cultural identity(-ies)
awareness of, 72
changes in, 71–72, 74–78, 113 (see also identity shifts [CIM])
citizenship vs., 96–97, 114
defined, 52
and emigration after handover, 21
fundamental elements of, 52
of Hong Kongers (see Hong Kongers’ identities)
in Hong Kong Remigration Project, 261–262
identity salience, 72
individual experience of, 52
model of (see Cultural Identity Model [CIM] of Cultural Transitions)
multiple, 53, 72
and philosophical foundations, 234
and political attitudes, 20
in prehandover period, 17–19
see also specific identities, e.g.: Chinese identity
Cultural Identity Model (CIM) of Cultural Transitions, 7–9, 35, 71–78, 260–262
cultural identity change, 74–78
identity salience, 72
need for cultural factor in, 261
past research supporting, 87
proposed cultural homogeneity factor for, 262
sociocultural adaptation, 73–74
see also specific identity types
Cultural Melting Bath (Cai Guoqiang), 40
cultural readjustment, 73
cultural transitions
changes in self concept and cultural identity, 71–73
and Confucian precepts, 238
elements in, 72
and thinking style of Hong Kongers, 240
Westerners’ response to, 234–235, 247
see also Cultural Identity Model (CIM) of Cultural Transitions
culture
as consequence of geography, 12
dual system of, 16
of European emigrants to Hong Kong, 16
Culture Learning Approach, 51
Curran, Michael, 176
Czech Republic remigrants, 7, 36
Daoism (Taoism), 234, 236, 239, 242
application of ideas from, 237–242
Chinese values from, 238
Darjeeling (Bharti Kirchner), 47
Deaux, K., 52
decision making
“child-centered” model for, 66
by children, 205–206
parents’ decisions for children’s careers, 5
on return to Hong Kong, 65–69, 249–252
on schooling, 207–210
DeGolyer, Michael, 12, 33
democracy movement, 146
democratic rule, and retention of remigrants, 254
Department of Management, City University of Hong Kong, 8
depression, in returning Hong Kongers, 5
Desai, Kiran, 48–49
dialectical thinking, 240–241
Diamond, Jared, 11–12
diasporic citizenship, 61
difference, feeling of, 165–166, 179
*Ding Ho/Group of Seven* (Gu Xiong), 42
direct foreign investment, in China, 256
discipline, social, 13
discrimination
for Australian immigrants, 27
in immigrant countries, 30
for New Zealand immigrants, 29
distress
for additive identity returnees, 152–153
lack of, in Hong Kong returnees, 123–124
with repatriation, 86–87
see also Repatriation Distress Scale (RDS)
divorce rates, 199
Dominican Republic, consequences of remigration to, 69
*Dow: Island* (Guan Wei), 41
duality, 237
of culture, 16
of Hong Kongers’ identity, 18
of identities, 234–235
Dustmann, Christian, 66

East Asians, adaptability and flexibility of, 262
Eastern European nations, on Uncertainty Avoidance Scale, 238
*Echo* (Guan Wei), 41
economic factors
for boomerang returnees, 255
for Chinese moving to Hong Kong, 257
in Irish emigration/remigration, 175
as reason for emigration, 92
as reason for remigration, 67–69, 83, 116–118
in repatriation distress, 86, 87
Ecuador, 68
education, 17
bilingual programs for returnees, 252–253
as decision factor for return, 119, 251
Hong Kong overseas education allowance, 171
Western model of, 207
see also schools
either-or thinking, 243
emigration
to Australia, 26–28
to Canada, 23–26
from China, 12–15
from Hong Kong, 14–15, 20–23
in late twentieth century, 21, 22
to New Zealand, 29
in nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, 21
repeat, 70
as term, 63
to United States, 28–29
emotional outcomes of acculturation, among immigrant youth, 56
emotional responses
of affirmative identity remigrants, 192–193
of Indian remigrants, 156–157
of Israeli remigrants, 155, 175
to leaving Hong Kong, 98–100
to leaving host country, 120–121
restraining, 13
to returning home, 86, 121–126
of subtractive identity remigrants, 163–166
employee–supervisor relationships, 218–223
employment
and “astronaut” tactics, 31
for Australian immigrants, 2, 26–28
for Hong Kongers in China, 256
in immigrant countries, 30
as incentive for return, 252
in international companies in Hong Kong, 17
as reason for remigration, 83, 117
for remigrants, 254
work-family balance, 27–28
see also careers; professional life
English Schools Foundation (ESF), 4, 136–138, 207
environmental awareness, 109, 250
in additive identity returnees, 146
of subtractive identity remigrants, 169–170
ESF. See English Schools Foundation
ethics, Confucian, 237
Europe, labor movement in, 60
European culture, in Hong Kong, 16
European remigrants
additive identity in, 154–155
emotional response of, 122–123
psychological consequences for, 7
reasons for remigration, 66–67
subtractive identities of, 261
from United States, 32–33, 59
Europeans, return migration literature of, 47
expatriates, 63
extended family relationships, 201–203
external variables, in studies, 71
face, 220–221
FAE (fundamental attribution error), 247
failure, returns of, 65
familial variables
in decision to return, 251
in identity responses, 85–86
families
acclimatization of Canadian immigrants, 24–25
“astronaut,” 64–65
in China, reuniting with, 256
gEographically dispersed, 54
policies to accelerate return of, 253
as reason for remigration, 118–119
remigration to Hong Kong by, 31
family life, 3, 199–214
Confucian dictates for, 236
core Chinese values of, 13
dominance of Chinese values in, 16
nuclear and extended family relationships, 199–203
parent–child relationships, 203–207
residential choices, 210–213
school decisions, 207–210
Family Reunification Act (US), 23, 28
Feldman, S. S., 55–56
Feng Shui, 236
Festinger, N., 50
filial piety, 203
financial issues
in home vs. host countries, 107–108
as reason for remigration, 117
Finland, remigration to, 60, 80
fitting in, for returning Hong Kongers, 5
Five Classics (Wu Jing) (Confucius), 235–237
flexibility, 233, 234
cultural, 75–76
of cultural identities, 242
of East-Asian peoples, 262
in home vs. host countries, 101
of Hong Kong identity, 229–230
food activities, 111
food choices
of additive identity returnees, 144, 153
of global identity returnees, 184
forced repatriation, 14–15
Four Books (Si Shu) (Confucius), 235
frames, 53
friendliness, of host country natives, 103–104
friendships
of affirmative identity returnees, 193–194
in countries of settlement, 111–112
dominance of Chinese values in, 16
influence of remigration on, 5
as reason for remigration, 85
Fulbright Commission, 8
fundamental attribution error (FAE), 247
Furnham, A., 51
Gang, Lu, 241, 247

*Geographies of Home* (Loida Maritza Perez), 47

global identity, 77–79, 81, 85, 86, 177–187
combined with additive identity, 182, 184
in Hong Kong remigrants, 177–186
in Japanese remigrants, 186
other studies reporting, 185–187
postadjustment, 113, 115
premigration, 94
self-naming, 134, 135
in Taiwanese remigrants, 185–186
“going native,” 73

grandparents, Chinese, 202

Great Britain
Polish immigrants to, 67
remigration encouraged by, 68–69
Short-term Orientation in, 245–246

see also British ... entries

Greece
remigration to, 60
study on remigrant children, 69
on Uncertainty Avoidance Scale, 238

Grinberg, L., 50
Grinberg, R., 50

Grisi, Daniela, 173–174

Gu, Xiong, 41–42

Gu, Wei, 41

Guatemala, on Uncertainty Avoidance Scale, 238

*Guns, Germs and Steel* (Jared Diamond), 11–12

*Guong Guen* (Kith Tsang), 42

hai dai, 64
hai gui pai, 64

Hamilton, Gary, 21

Hansel, Bettina, 156–158, 196–197
Hansteen, Aasta, 60

happiness, of Hong Kong returnees, 123–125. See also Satisfaction with Life Scale (SLS)

Happy Valley, 210

Harmony (he)
and China’s creativity, 238
in Chinese philosophies, 236
confrontation vs., 225–228
as Confucian principle, 237

Hart, Deborah, 41

Hau-nung, Annie Chan, 135

*Hawaiian Snorkel Series/View from Here to Eternity* (Masami Teraoka), 38

Hawthorne, Nathaniel, 47

*Here, There, Everywhere* (Gu Xiong), 42

*Here Is What I Mean* (Gu Xiong), 42

Hermans, H. J. M., 53

heterogeneity, cultural, 262

hierarchy, 245

“high context” Chinese culture, 13

“high context” communication, 13

history of Hong Kong migration and identity
accurately counting remigrants, 32–34
British sovereignty period, 14–17
cultural identities of returnees, 34–36
historical periods, 12
modern identity (1841–1984), 15–17
origins of identity, 11–15
outbound migration, 21–23
prehandover period (1984–97), 17–20
pre-Opium Wars period, 12–14
remigration, 30–32
settling into foreign countries, 23–29

HKRP. See Hong Kong Remigration Project

HKTP (Hong Kong Transition Project), 18–19

Ho, Elsie, 29

Ho, Oscar, 42

Hofstede, Geert, 27, 238, 239, 245

holidays, return of emigrants during, 15
holistic perspective, 241–242
home, concept of, 82
home countries, comparisons between host countries and, 126–127

Homer, 47

homogeneity, cultural, 262

Hong, Ying-yi, 19
Hong Kong
acceptance of inequality/hierarchy in, 245
British sovereignty over, 14–17
Chinese emigration to, 12–14
Chinese in, 257–258
as emigration entrepôt, 13–14
emotional response of return to, 121–126
emotional response to leaving, 98–100
foreign nationals living in, 34
on Hofstede Scale of Individualism, 245
on Long-term Orientation scale, 239
luring immigrants back to, 252–254
psychological consequences of return to, 7
reasons for leaving, 91–93
reasons for returning to, 116–119
relationship of China and, 249–250
term for remigrants to, 64
on Uncertainty Avoidance Scale, 239
Hong Kong–British identity, 19, 94, 97
Hong Kong–Chinese identity, 97
characteristics of, 18
and emigration after handover, 21
Hong Kong Transition Project reports of, 19
in nested Hong Kong identity, 18
premigration, 94
Hong Konger(s)
in China, 256
cultural complexity of, 258–260
names chosen by, 135
response to remigrants, 127–129
social relations between Mainlanders and, 257
Hong Kongers’ identities
factors affecting, 85–87
and handover by British, 19–20
history of (see history of Hong Kong migration and identity)
hybridization of, 16–17
nested identities in, 18
postadjustment, 113–114
premigration, 93–98
of remigrants, 35, 114–116, 129–130
response to repatriation, 82–85
Xianggang ren, 17–19
see also specific identities
Hong Kong International School, 136, 207
Hong Kong Island, 210
Hong Kong “locals” identity type, 20
Hong Kong people (Xianggang ren) identity, 17–19, 170–171
and emigration after handover, 21
postadjustment, 113, 115
premigration, 94–98
subtractive identity remigrants’ perception of, 172
Hong Kong Remigration Project (HKRP), 7–9, 88–90
adaptation strategies, 106–111
additive identity, 131–154
adjustment and coping in country of settlement, 111–113
affirmative identities, 187–196
Chinese version of questionnaire, 272–278
citizenship choices, 116
comparisons between home and immigrant countries, 126–127
and cultural complexity of Hong Kongers, 259–260
cultural identities and psychological domains in, 280
cultural identity results of, 262
demographic characteristics of participants, 303–307
emotional response to leaving host country, 120–121
emotional response to returning home, 121–126
estimates of remigration, 249
family life, 199–214
global identity, 177–185
Hong Kongers’ response to remigrants, 127–129
host country response to immigrants, 103–106
identity choices, 114–116
interview protocol, 263–265, 281
methodology, 88–89, 279
modified Birman Acculturation Scale, 265–267
modified Twenty-Statement Test, 268
NVivo use in, 289
postadjustment identity, 113–114
pre-migration identity, 93–98
professional life, 215–231
purpose of, 35, 261
qualitative coding, 281–289
quantitative analysis of results, 290–302
reactions to host countries, 100–103
reasons for leaving Hong Kong, 91–93
reasons for returning to Hong Kong, 116–119
remigration identity profiles, 129–130, 132–133
Repatriation Distress Scale, 269
response to leaving Hong Kong, 98–100
sampling, 89–90, 280–281
Satisfaction with Life Scale, 279
Self-Construal Scale, 270–271
self-naming measure, 133–134
subtractive identity, 163–172
Hong Kong Transition Project (HKTP), 18–19
Hong Kong Transition Survey (DeGolyer), 33
host countries
adjustment and coping in, 111–113
Australia, 26–28
Canada, 23–26
comparisons between home countries and, 126–127
emotional responses to leaving, 120–121
immigrants’ reactions to, 100–103
language proficiency programs in, 253
missing aspects of, 125–126
New Zealand, 29
population density of, 23
quality of life in Hong Kong vs., 250
response to immigrants in, 103–106
settling into, 23–29
United States, 28–29
hostility, of host country natives, 104
houses, of Canadian immigrants vs. natives, 26, 105–106
housing choices
of additive identity returnees, 139–143
and decision to return, 250
of remigrants, 210–213
see also neighborhoods
housing market, 210
housing subsidies, for remigrants, 253
huqiao, 64
huaren, 64
huayi, 64
huigui, 64
huilu, 64
humanity (ren), 237
human rights, return migration and, 60
Hung, Wu, 38
husband-wife relationships, 199–200
hybrid identity, 150–152
of Hong Kongers and Mainlanders, 258
in Indian remigrants, 156
in Israeli remigrants, 155
in Japanese remigrants, 159
hybridization, cultural, 53
identity
collective, 52
consistency of, 234
personal, 51
relational, 51
of transnational citizens, 62
“we” vs. “they,” 16
see also cultural identity(ies)
Identity Acculturation subscale, 171
identity centrality, 75–76
identity conflict theory, 53
identity salience (CIM), 72, 167
identity shifts (CIM), 74–78
affirmative, 76–77
intercultural or global, 77–78
subtractive and additive, 74–76
illegal immigrants, return of, 67
immigration, 63
immigration policies, 23
Impermanence (Zhu Jinshi), 38
incentives for remigration, 31, 68, 252–254
independence, 151–152, 188
Independent Skills Program (Australia), 26
Indian remigrants, 7, 36
  additive identity in, 156–158
  affirmative identity in, 196–197
Indian writers, cultural adaptation
  themes of, 45, 47–48
individualism, 242
  of affirmative identity remigrants, 188
  in ancient Greek philosophy, 243
  of Australians, 4
  of global identity remigrants, 178
  in Hong Kong vs. Australia, 27
  shift toward, 16
  in Western countries, 245
Individualism–Collectivism dimension, 245
individual-level variables, in studies, 71
infrastructure of Hong Kong, in British
  sovereignty period, 14
Inheritance of Loss (Kiran Desi), 48–49
innovation, returns of, 65
institutional changes, as reason for
  return, 65
institutional variables, in studies, 71
intention to remigrate
  and age, 67
  in HKRP respondents, 98–100
intention to return to host countries, of
  additive identity returnees, 145
  intercultural identity, 77–78, 81. See also global identity
interdependence, 151–152
  and adjustment/readjustment, 171
  of affirmative identity remigrants, 188
  in the workplace, 228
internal variables, in studies, 71
international experience, as reason for
  emigration, 93
international identity
  postadjustment, 113, 115
  premigration, 94
  see also global identity
International Organization for
  Migration (IOM), 68
international schools, 4, 136–138,
  207–210
interpersonal equality, as Western value, 245
interpersonal relationships
  of additive identity returnees, 143–147
  of affirmative identity returnees, 191, 193–194
  between bosses and employees, 218–223
Chinese values of, 13
  harmony vs. confrontation at work, 225–228
  for Hong Kong remigrants, 172
  of subtractive identity remigrants, 167
  see also family life; friendships
IOM (International Organization for
  Migration), 68
Irish remigrants, 7, 36
  incentives for, 68
subtractive identity in, 174–175
Ishiguro, Kazuo, 49
isolation, feelings of, 5
Israeli remigrants
  additive identity in, 155–156
  affirmative identity in, 197–198
  housing policy for, 253
  language programs for, 253
  subtractive identity in, 174–175
Italian remigrants, 60–61, 79–80
  additive identity in, 155
  subtractive identity in, 173–174
Japan, on Long-term Orientation scale, 239
Japanese, negotiations styles of, 262
Japanese occupation, population
  during, 15
Japanese remigrants, 63–64, 79–81
  additive identity in, 158–160
  affirmative identity in, 159, 198
  global identity in, 186
  subtractive identity in, 159, 261
  term for, 64
Jen, Gish (Lillian), 45–46
jobs. See employment; occupations
Joy Luck Club, The (Amy Tan), 45
“June 4,” 255
Kanno, Y., 88, 158–160
Kempen, H. J. G., 53
Index

Kennedy, A., 51, 58
kikokushijo, 64
Kim, Y.Y., 51
Kingston, Maxine Hong, 45
Kirchner, Bharti, 47
Kobayashi, A., 34, 83–84
Koh, T. A., 46
Kong Fuzi, 131. See also Confucius
Kong Qiu, 235
Korean remigrants, 7, 36
Kowloon, 14, 210

labor movement, 60
LaFromboise, T., 53
Lahiri, Jhumpa, 45, 133
“land tortoises,” 64
language proficiency programs, 253
language(s)
  bilingual programs for returnees,
   252–253
  as cultural barrier, 104
  for HKRP interviews, 89, 91
  of HKRP questionnaires, 88
  in Hong Kong schools, 136–137, 207,
   251, 253
  as reason for remigration, 174
language skills, of immigrants, 30
Lantau Island, 210
Laozi, 236
Law of the Excluded Middle, 243
Laws (Plato), 244
learning styles, 4, 13
legal role of returnees, 85
leisure activities
  Chinese, 110–111
  and retention of remigrants, 254
  Western, 108–109
Leong, C., 53
Ley, D., 34, 83–84
li. See social behavior
Liao, Sunny, 172, 185, 196
Lieber, E., 57
literature, cultural adaptation and,
   45–49
Liu, Shoukwon, 42
local responses to immigrants, 103–106
“locals” identity, 20, 113
logic, 243
Long-term Orientation (LTO), 238, 239
Looking for Home (Guan Wei), 41
loyalist identity, 20
LTO (Long-term Orientation), 238, 239
luodi shenggen identity, 55

Mak, Anita, 27–28
Mak, Antonio, 42
Manglik, Harsh, 197
Ma On Shan. See New Territories
Marble Faun (Nathaniel Hawthorne), 47
marriages, 199–200
  changes from emigration/remigration, 200–201
  divorce rates, 199
  roles in, 200
materialism, 168–169, 172
McDonagh, Marese, 175
McDonald’s Hamburger Invading Japan
  (Masami Teraoka), 38, 39
McLaughlin-Volpe, T., 52
men
  acculturation of Canadian immigrants, 24, 25
  career and job motivations of, 83
  readjustment of remigrants, 71
  remigration to Hong Kong, 30–31
  traditional roles of, 200
mental health of immigrants
  Hong Kong university students in Canada, 55
  and modern transportation/communication, 61
see also psychological consequences of return
Metropolitan Hong Kong, 256
Mid-Levels, as additive identity housing choice, 139–141
migration, history of. See history of Hong Kong migration and identity
misunderstanding, feeling of, 104, 127–129
Mo, Timothy, 46
“model minority,” Chinese immigrants as, 55
modern identity period (1841–1984), 15–17
modified Birman Acculturation Scale, 265–267
Mona in the Promised Land (Gish [Lillian] Jen), 46
Index

Monkey King, The (Timothy Mo), 46
multiculturalism, in Canada, 25
mutual exclusivity, 243

names
chosen by remigrants (see self-naming) traditional forms of, 133–134
Namesake, The (Jhumpa Lahiri), 45, 133
national identity, 52
neighborhoods
for additive identity remigrants, 139–141
remigrants’ choices of, 5, 210–213
nepotism, 224
Netherlands, immigrants to Canada from, 25
New Territories, 210
as additive identity housing choice, 139
British rule of, 14
emigration from, 15
as neighborhood choice, 5
New Zealand
emigration to, 23
settlement in, 29
Ng, S. H., 17
Nigeria, remigrants to, 7
Nisbett, Richard, 246
North American remigrants
emotional response of, 122–123
psychological consequences for, 7
Norway, feminist movement in, 60
nuclear families, 199–201
marriages, 199–201
parent–child relationships, 203–207
NVivo, 289

objects
relationship between environment and, 246–247
study of, 243–244
obligation, 224
occupations
of Australian immigrants, 26, 27
of emigrants, 21, 22
of New Zealand immigrants, 29
of remigrants, 117

see also careers; employment; professional life
Odyssey, The (Homer), 47
OIC (Overseas Citizens of India) program, 7
olim, 174
Olympics Games, 243
Opium Wars, 11
optimal distinctiveness theory, 17–18
outbound migration, 21–23
Overseas Chinese identity, 19
Overseas Citizens of India (OIC) program, 7
pace of life
in home vs. host countries, 101–102, 126
as reason for remigration, 119
and work environment, 216–218
parent–child relationships, 203–207
parenting, 3–4
career decisions for children, 5
changes in, 203–207
by global identity remigrants, 179
in home vs. host countries, 126–127
host country influences on, 107
parents
acculturation of Canadian parent–child relationships, 203–207
reasons for remigration, 66
Parmenides, 243–244
paternal work relationships, 218–219
Patten, Christopher, 30
People’s Liberation Army, 30
People’s Republic of China (PRC) acceptance of inequality/hierarchy in, 245
cataclysmic events in, 15
emigration from, 12–15
on Hofstede Scale of Individualism, 245
Hong Kongers in, 256
on Long-term Orientation scale, 239
proximity to, 12
relationship of Hong Kong and, 249–250
remigrants from Australia, 69–70
remigration incentives of, 68
remigration to, 36, 81–82
return of Hong Kong to, 17, 30
social identity and attitudes toward,
9
sojourners from, 64
terms for remigrants to, 64
on Uncertainty Avoidance Scale, 239
see also PRC Chinese identity
Perez, Loida Maritza, 47
permanent emigration plans, 98–100
personal competence, Western values of, 16
personal finance, in home vs. host
countries, 107–108
personal identity, 51
personality
and identity response, 85
and language of testing, 89
personal reasons
for Israeli remigration, 174–175
for leaving, 92, 93
for remigration, 118
personal stability, 246
philosophies, 233–247
of ancient Greeks, 242–244
of Confucius and Laozi, 235–236
and cultural identity, 234–235
and values, emotions, and cultural
transitions, 237–242
Western, 244–247
Plan Retorno (Ecuador), 68
Plato, 242–244. See also ancient Greek
philosophy
Poland
government response to remigration, 68
reasons for returning to, 67
political attitudes
of additive identity returnees, 146–147
and cultural identity, 20
political handover and changes in, 18–19
of remigrants, 255–256
political role, of returnees, 85
political uncertainty, of remigrants, 249
pollution
in Hong Kong, 3
in host countries vs. Hong Kong, 250
see also environmental awareness
popular culture, of Hong Kong, 251
population density
in home vs. host countries, 126
in Hong Kong, 12, 23, 122
in settlement countries, 23
population of Hong Kong
under British rule, 14
during British sovereignty period, 15
Chinese, 15
during Japanese sovereignty period, 15
Portugal, on Uncertainty Avoidance
Scale, 238
postadjustment identity, 113–114
power distance, 245
pragmatism, 233, 234
PRC. See People’s Republic of China
PRC Chinese identity
and attitude toward handover, 19
in nested Hong Kong identity, 18
prehandover cultural identity, 17
prehandover period (1984–97), 17–20
premigration identity, 93–98
pre-Opium Wars period, 12–14
privacy, for workers, 223
professional life, 215–231
adaptation to Western style, 228–230
communication, 222–223
harmony vs. confrontation, 225–228
lack of privacy for workers, 223
paternal relationships, 218–219
as reason for remigration, 117
status differentials, 220–221
supervisor–employee relationships,
218–223
work environment, 216–218
work–family balance, 230–231
work performance and relationships,
223–225
see also careers; occupations
psychological consequences of return, 60
distress, 86–87
for Hong Kongers vs. other
returnees, 7
understanding of, 259
public protests, in Hong Kong, 255
public values and behaviors, 16
qi, 236
qualitative techniques, in HKRP, 88–89
quality of life concerns, 250
quantitative data, on additive identity returnees, 148, 149
questionnaires, HKRP, 88, 263–265, 272–278

racial discrimination. See discrimination rationality, 243
RDS. See Repatriation Distress Scale readjustment, cultural, 73
recreation, and retention of remigrants, 254. See also leisure activities
Red Earth and Pouring Rain (Vikram Chandra), 47
re-entry, 63
relational identity, 51
relationships
Confucian view of, 237
familial (see family life)
of Hong Kong and China, 249–250
importance of, 223–224
interpersonal (see interpersonal relationships)
at work, 218–225
religious practices
beliefs about “Truth,” 246
in countries of settlement, 87, 112–113
multiple, 237
remigrants (in general)
negative emotional response of, 74
studies of, 71
use of terms, 63, 65
see also specific nationalities, e.g.: African remigrants
remigrants (to Hong Kong)
maintaining and retaining, 254
studies of, 71 (see also Hong Kong Remigration Project [HKRP])
remigration (in general), 59–82
art related to, 44
in British sovereignty period, 14–15
and CIM model, 71–78
consequences of, 69–71
emotional response to, 122–123
to Finland, 80
historical perspective on, 59–63
incentives for, 68
to Italy, 79–80
to Japan, 63–64, 79–81
literature related to, 46–49
to People’s Republic of China, 81–82
psychological perspective on, 71
(see also Cultural Identity Model CIM) of Cultural Transitions)
reasons for, 65–69
terminology associated with, 63–65
and transnationalism, 62–63
to Turkey, 80
to United States, 79
as worldwide phenomenon, 35–36
remigration (to Hong Kong), 30–32
accurate counting of remigrants, 32–34
after British handover, 30–31
from Australia, 33
child-rearing issues in, 32
for economic reasons, 69
Hong Kong identity response to, 82–87
Hong Kong Remigration Project, 88–90
impact on cultural identity, 34–36
incentives for, 31, 252–254
reasons for, 116–119
see also Hong Kong Remigration Project (HKRP)
remigration identity profiles (HKRP), 129–130, 132–133. See also specific identity types remigrants, 237
repatriates, 63. See also remigrants (in general)
repatriation. See remigration (in general)
Repatriation Distress Scale (RDS), 124, 239
affirmative identity remigrant responses, 192
global identity remigrants’ responses, 178
for Hong Kong Remigration Project, 269
overall average scores on, 125
research questionnaires, HKRP, 88, 263–265, 272–278
resentment, of host country natives, 105
resettlement money, for remigrants, 253
retaining remigrants, 254
retirement
in Hong Kong vs. host countries, 252
Index

346

as motive for move to China, 256
programs subsidizing/assisting with, 254
return for, 65
return migrants, 63, 65. See also remigrants
return movement, 63
reverse affirmative identities, 81
Rosenthal, D. A., 55–56
Rudmin, F. W., 53
Salaff, Janet, 20
Satisfaction with Life Scale (SLS), 124, 239
affirmative identity remigrants’ responses, 192
global identity remigrants’ responses, 178
for Hong Kong Remigration Project, 279
overall average scores on, 125
schools
Chinese vs. Western, 3–4
chosen by additive remigrants, 136–139
as decision factor for return, 251
in Hong Kong, 136
international, 4, 136–138, 207–210
remigrants’ choices of, 207–210
see also education
Second Generation Study, 62
self-categorization theory, 51–52
self-concept
of additive identity returnees, 133–136
of affirmative identity remigrants, 188
changes in, 71–72
and cultural accommodation and adaptation, 73
of global identity remigrants, 178
of Indian remigrants, 156
Western, 16
as world citizen, 78
Self-Construal Scale, 151–152, 171, 270–271
self-emphasis, 245
self-naming
by additive identity remigrants, 134–136
by global identity remigrants, 177–178
by HKRP respondents, 133–134
separation, feeling of, 163
Serageldin, Samia, 47
settlement, countries of. See host countries
Shenzhen, 258
shigen lizu identity, 55
Short-term Orientation (STO), 239
Singapore
acceptance of inequality/hierarchy in, 245
on Hofstede Scale of Individualism, 245
Sinn, Elizabeth, 14, 15
situational thinking, 101, 241–242, 245
Siu, H. F., 16–18
Skeldon, Ronald, 21, 33, 63, 69, 98, 171
SLS. See Satisfaction with Life Scale
snowball sampling method, 89
social behavior (li)
of additive identity returnees, 143–147
Chinese, maintaining, 110–111
Confucian dictates for, 236
as Confucian principle, 237
of host countries, adapting to, 106–110
of Indian remigrants, 157
of Japanese remigrants, 159–160
of subtractive identity remigrants, 170
social discipline, 13
social ideas and attitudes, political
handover and changes in, 18–19
social identity, attitudes toward
Mainland China and, 19
social identity theory, 51–52
social liberalism, in home vs. host
countries, 102–103
social networks
of Chinese immigrants, 24
of Hong Kong remigrants, 171
social relations
Chinese values of, 13
between Mainlanders and Hong Kongers, 257
Western values for, 16
Index

social science, 49–58
cultural adaptation of Chinese immigrants, 54–58
theories of cultural adaptation, 50–54
societal values, 238
sociocultural adaptation (CIM), 73–74
sociological factors, in repatriation distress, 86, 87
Socrates, 242. See also ancient Greek philosophy
sojourners
Chinese, 63–64
consequences of repatriation, 87
Japanese, 63–64
reasons for return of, 65
studies of, 71
use of term, 63
Western remigrants as, 173
see also global identity
Sour Sweet (Timothy Mo), 46
South Africa, remigrants to, 7
South American remigrants
emotional response of, 122–123
psychological consequences for, 7
Square Word Calligraphy, 40
stability, 246
status differentials, 220
and lack of privacy for workers, 223
at work, 220–221
in workplace communications, 222–223
Steiner, Henry, 42–44
stereotypes, 55
STO (Short-term Orientation), 239
Stories around Town (Oscar Ho), 42
“strategic affirmative” cultural identity, 198
stress, in home vs. host countries, 126
stress-adaptation-growth model (Kim), 51
Stress and Coping Model, 50–51
students
immigrant adaptation studies of, 55–57
values and cognitive styles studies of, 240–241
subtractive identity, 74–76, 79, 81, 161–176
combination of additive identity and, 163–168
in Hong Kong remigrants, 163–172
in Irish remigrants, 175–176
in Israeli remigrants, 174–175
in Italian remigrants, 173–174
in Japanese remigrants, 159
in other Hong Kong studies, 172
scarcity of, 163, 170
self-naming, 135
in Taiwan remigrants, 172–173
in Westerners, 247
in Western remigrants, 173–174
su lun, 218
supervisor–employee relationships, 218–223
support
for immigrants, 2, 28, 111–112
for remigrants, 171
Sussman, N., 71, 198
Swiss remigrants, 80
tai kong ren (“astronauts”), 31, 64–65
Taiwan, on Long-term Orientation scale, 239
Taiwanese remigrants, 7
affirmative identity in, 196
from Australia, 69–70
global identity in, 185–186
incentives for, 68
subtractive identity in, 172–173
Tan, Amy, 45
Tannenbaum, Michel, 155, 175
Taoism. See Daoism
teaching styles, Chinese vs. Western, 4
temporary emigration plans, 98–100
Teraoka, Masami, 38, 39
thinking style. See cognitive style(s)
To Belong (Gu Xiong), 42
Towns Called Dread and Bathe (Guan Wei), 41
tradition, Chinese value of, 13
transcultural identity, 186
transculturation, 186
transnationalism, 61–63, 83–85, 186, 249
transportation
as decision factor for return, 250
and mental health of immigrants, 61
to South China region, 258
trust, 224
“Truth,” 246
Tsang, Kith, 42
TST. See Twenty Statement Test
Turkish remigrants, 7, 36, 66, 80
Twenty Statement Test (TST), 171
affirmative identity remigrant responses, 188
global identity remigrants’ responses, 178
for Hong Kong Remigration Project, 268

Typical Americans (Gish [Lillian] Jen), 45–46

Uncertainty Avoidance, 238–239
United Kingdom citizens, living in Hong Kong, 34
United States
acculturation of immigrants in, 25
Confucius Institutes in, 253
Dominican remigrants from, 69
emigration to, 21–23
equality valued in, 245
on Hofstede Scale of Individualism, 245
population density of, 23
return migration from, 32–33, 59–61, 67
settlement in, 28–29
Short-term Orientation in, 245–246
studies of immigrant adaptation to, 55–57
transnationalism of Chinese immigrants in, 62
Uncertainty Avoidance scores of, 245
United States citizens
living in Hong Kong, 34
negotiations styles of, 262
United States remigrants, 79, 261

values
of Asian countries, 238
Australian, 27–28
brought by Cantonese, 13
Chinese, 13, 16, 215, 238–239
Confucian, 238, 239, 242
Daoist, 238
European, 16
of immigrant students, 56

Indian, 197
in Long-term Orientation, 239
official citizenship vs., 96–97
societal, 238
of students, 240–241
transformation of, 215
in Uncertainty Avoidance, 238–239
Western, 16–17, 242–247
Vietnam, on Long-term Orientation scale, 239

Vietnamese remigrants, 36, 69–70

Wan Chai, 210
Wang, L. L., 54–55
Ward, C., 51, 53, 58
“waverers” identity type, 20
Way of Truth (Parmenides), 243–244
wealth, of return migrants, 60
Western Behavior subscale, 171
Western cognitive style, 13
Western countries
adapting to lifestyle of, 106–110
adapting to work style of, 228–230
Western culture, Hong Kong identity and, 16–17
Western identity, postadjustment, 115–116
Western philosophies
and cultural identity, 242–247
Greek foundation of, 242–244
Western remigrants, subtractive identity in, 173–174
Western values
ancient Greek philosophical foundations of, 242–244
and cognitive style, 245–247
When We Were Orphans (Kazuo Ishiguro), 49

Woman Warrior, The (Maxine Hong Kingston), 45

women
Canadian immigrants, 24, 25, 83
and divorce, 199
readjustment of remigrants, 70–71
stress responses of Chinese immigrants, 55
traditional roles of, 200
Wong, Siu-lun, 18, 20, 35
Wong, Wucius, 42
work environment, 216–218
workforce in Hong Kong
    of Chinese immigrants, 15
    in international companies, 17
work hours/schedule, 230–231
work performance, relationships and, 223–225
world view, 238
Wu Di, Emperor, 236
Wyman, Mark, 32, 47, 59–60, 63
Xianggang ren. See Hong Kong people identity

Xu, Bing, 40
xungen wenzu identity, 55

yelou guigen identity, 55
yin-yang, 236
yordim, 174
Yung, Wing, 56

zancao chugen identity, 55
Zhou, Chunya, 38, 40
Zhu, Jinshi, 38
Zhuangzi, 233
Zuozhan, 131
Zweig, D., 82