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Introduction to the Republication of
*The Golden Ghetto*

Jacques M. Downs knew more about the history of early American trade with China than anyone in modern times. The republication of *The Golden Ghetto* celebrates Downs’s life and his probing studies. *The Golden Ghetto: The American Commercial Community at Canton and the Shaping of American China Policy, 1784–1844*, published in 1997, represents the first fruits of a lifetime’s original research. This valuable book literally opened up the history of American trade in the days of the Canton system, previously too much the domain of romance and nostalgia. Long anticipated, and warmly greeted upon its publication, *The Golden Ghetto* is now recognized as the preeminent work on the history of early American trade with China.

Jacques Downs achieved his mastery of this history through decades of hard work. No archival source significant to the history of the American China trade escaped his notice, his copious note-taking, and his biographical flair. Downs was familiar with the papers of Edward Carrington, Stephen Girard, Augustine Heard, John Richardson Latimer, Samuel Russell, and members of the Forbes, Perkins, Sturgis and Delano families, to name but a few of so many, and of course with the extraordinary trove of records of Augustine Heard & Co. discovered in a godown at East Point in Hong Kong in the early 1930s, now part of the Baker Library Historical Collections, Harvard Business School.1 This archival mass can be thought of collectively as a mountain range, imposing and forbidding to all but the most intrepid explorers.2 Furthermore, every one of these peaks was strictly confidential in its own time, with these records closed and off limits to all but the original

writers and those immediately concerned. So it was that Edward Delano, a clerk of Russell & Co., warned his brother, in an 1841 letter written not long after his arrival at Canton, that even things he wrote in family letters must not be shared.3 Downs spent a lifetime exploring this archival mountain range, achieving an unrivaled knowledge of the era. As these business competitors did not share records with each other, it is probable that Downs understood the history of their trade better than all but a few of the best informed early American China traders themselves.

Downs began his studies as a Georgetown University graduate student in the late 1950s. In those Cold War years, a modern China trade boom was inconceivable. The People’s Republic of China was then young, ten years under Chinese Communist rule. The United States of America was a capitalist industrial powerhouse, at the peak of its post-World War II strength. Reconstruction of Mainland China proceeded slowly, in part due to policies such as those of the “Great Leap Forward” which lasted through 1961, the year Downs received his doctorate in United States Business History. Diplomatic relations between the United States and Mainland China did not, and would not, exist until January 1, 1979. As Downs states in his Introduction, it was in these difficult years that he became hooked by the actual history of early American trade with China, “falling in love with” it, with this study becoming an “obsession.” His extensive fieldwork went on through the 1960s, 1970s and 1980s, during which Downs produced articles, lectures, and other scholarly works. All the while, he was a beloved and productive professor at Saint Francis College in Biddeford, Maine, which became the University of New England.

By 1985, when the present work existed in an early form, there was again active trade between the United States of America and China. In that year, the United States imported US$3,861.7 million from and exported $3,855.7 million in goods to China. The Chinese economy was growing rapidly, under economic reforms introduced by Deng Xiaoping and his

3. Letter from Edward Delano to Franklin Hughes Delano, dated Canton September 24, 1841: “If you think of ‘putting into print’—my remarks &c. upon men and things in general and China in particular, I may be under the disagreeable necessity of discontinuing my remarks relative thereto—for be it known unto you that the Americans who write home and have their letters published—are severely—(I mean their letters) criticized—and the small community of true blooded Yankees resident here, enabling them to discern the author without much difficulty.” Edward Delano Correspondence, Delano Family Papers, Franklin Roosevelt Library, Hyde Park, New York.
colleagues. By 1990, when this book was in near final draft, the trade was already imbalanced, with the United States importing US$15,237.4 million from as against some $4,806.4 million in goods exported to China. By 1997, when *The Golden Ghetto* was published in its first edition, the US imports of goods from China had soared to US$62,557.7 million, with exports to China standing at $12,862.2 million. Downs’s monumental study of the business history and diplomacy of the first boom in American trade with China was thus published just as a modern China trade boom was gathering force. As of 2010, the US imports of goods from China had soared to US$364,952.6 million with some $91,911.1 million in goods exported to China. The modern trade boom between China and the West remains a powerful force, with no end in sight.

It is impossible to accomplish thirty years of archival studies and writing without close support. From 1961 through the first publication of this book in 1997, Jacques Downs had the constant support of his wife Eva Downs and their children, Alexander, Andreea, and Jonathan. This massive project was a constant presence in their family life. Downs’s family believed in him, supported him, and pressed him to continue at difficult moments, all vital support in the production of this important work. Elizabeth W. Downs, his mother, provided many summers of hands-on support typing, proofreading, and offering editorial and content suggestions, completing the family team. *The Golden Ghetto* stands as a tribute to the strong support of Downs’s entire family, as well as to his own considerable strengths as an explorer and analyst.

Jacques M. Downs died on September 14, 2006, in the eightieth year of his productive life. *The Golden Ghetto* was then completed and in print, but he left a large body of additional material. In particular, Downs’s extraordinary collection of detailed biographies of individuals and accounts of firms involved in the trade survives him, in a close-to-publish condition, as Downs indicates in his Introduction. It is hoped that these and other fruits of Downs’s efforts will be made available to the public, at some convenient time and in some proper form.

A prominent feature of Downs’s intellectual life was his generosity. He was a teacher to his core—questioning, challenging, and demanding—but always warm and always willing to help other students of the trade.

---

Downs was a gentle man, with an impish wit, and a penetrating interest in the subject matter of the early American trade with Canton. He had firm opinions, as Peter Ward Fay correctly states in his Foreword. In particular, Downs was much less tolerant of Western justifications for opium trading than some. The writer first met Downs in 1975, as a nervous student from a college up the road, coming to seek this already renowned scholar’s guidance through the Canton maze. On that occasion, and with so many other students on so many other occasions, Downs graciously took time and offered useful ideas and source material. These are marks of a great teacher. In this manner, Downs, like John King Fairbank (1907–91), influenced a generation of scholars (at the very least), as evidenced by frequent citations and acknowledgments. Doubtless the efficient production of his own written works suffered for all the time Downs gave to assist the others.

The term “golden ghetto,” as applied to the traders who lived in the cramped foreign factories along the Canton waterfront, originated with Downs. It was used by John King Fairbank, who described the foreign factories at Canton as “a sort of ghetto, a golden ghetto because the foreign merchants can make a good deal of money there.” Fairbank encouraged and critiqued Downs in his studies. Jacques Downs stands as a prominent member of what might be thought of as the first wave of modern scholars of early American trade with China, encouraged or inspired by Fairbank, such as Wayne Altree, Dilip K. Basu, Peter Ward Fay, Robert Gardella, Jonathan Goldstein, Yen-p’ing Hao, and Kwang-Ching Liu.

The Golden Ghetto sold out soon after publication, and not long thereafter it became a rare book. At this writing, only a few copies can be found for sale online, priced at US$1,758 and up. While this can be read as some measure of praise, rarity is the very last thing Jacques Downs wanted to come of his scholarship. He wanted his book to be read, to be used, and to be improved upon. We stand at a moment in history when The Golden Ghetto should be more generally available, read and used. With Downs’s skilled analysis of the experiences of the first boom in American trade with China, perhaps the challenges of the present boom in China’s international trade may be better understood and addressed.

The republication of *The Golden Ghetto* in the Echoes series of Hong Kong University Press is thus an occasion for celebration. This Echoes edition is a faithful reproduction of the original book, with this new Introduction, certain revised image captions, and some new citations added to the end of the Bibliography being the only changes that have been made.

Frederic D. Grant, Jr.
Milton, Massachusetts, USA
March 30, 2014
Arrival and Departure

APPROACHING Macao from the sea, one is struck first by the town’s extraordinary beauty. Built on the end of a peninsula that juts out into the South China Sea, Macao, in the late eighteenth century, was a lovely, orderly contrast to the life that seamen had endured on the long voyage from the Atlantic or the Northwest Coast of America. For well over two centuries, the tiny Portuguese colony had given a Mediterranean, even Moorish, aspect to the maritime gate to China. This remnant of a once-great and still impressive empire remained the only European jurisdiction permitted within the Celestial Empire. To weary sailors the lush green vegetation, the Praya Grande arching eastward toward the bay, and the swarm of small boats racing to be first at shipside must have promised welcome relief from the months of seascape and the drab, daily routine of sailing.

Immediately after dropping anchor in the Roads and arranging for supplies with one of the numerous compradors or Chinese ship-stewards who clamored for the vessel’s business, a ship’s officers would take a boat to Macao. There they greeted old friends, reported their arrival at the chop-house\(^1\) (customhouse), and obtained a pilot to guide them up the estuary to the Boca Tigris (literally “tiger’s mouth”) or “Bogue,” the entrance to the Pearl River. American opinion on these outside pilots was uniform—they were useless,\(^2\) and during the War of 1812, the Chinese government tacitly recognized the soundness of this judgment when it allowed American vessels to bypass Macao and proceed directly to the protection of the forts at the Boca Tigris.\(^3\)

Upon reaching the Bogue, the captain either took a boat to one of the Chinese forts or hauled down his sails and awaited the visit of the local mandarin and his suite. This second contact with Chinese officialdom was rather a surprise to the earliest Americans in the area as most were not informed enough to know that at the Boca Tigris they entered a separate administrative district and fell under the jurisdiction of another set of mandarins. Following the introduction came the inevitable tea and sweetmeats or liquor, after which the ship proceeded upriver with a river pilot in charge

Old Canton and Its Trade
and two revenue officers aboard. The river pilots were a breed apart from the superfluous “outside pilots.” Theirs was a skilled and licensed profession, and they took charge of the ship as soon as they stepped aboard.4

The trip upriver was undoubtedly the loveliest part of the voyage, and many a calloused captain or canny supercargo was moved to extend the remarks in his log to include something like poetry about the bright green rice paddies, the majestic pagodas, and the distant mountains that loomed out of the blue haze.

I have the last six & thirty hours been passing in view of scenery which in the estimate of the late Lord Napier, “are worth a voyage from England to see.” On either bank are extensive paddy fields clothed in richest verdure, with here & there a village with its arbor of bamboos. Numerous forts of granite. Beyond the paddy fields the hills & mountains rise in pleasing variety & upon the plains & loftiest eminences the towering pagoda stands—the monument of the ingenuity & enterprise of generations forgotten.5

Once a ship came to rest in Whampoa Reach,6 the supercargo’s job began and the captain’s ended. Every ship that came to China had to be secured by a hong merchant,7 one of a guild of Chinese foreign traders holding an exclusive franchise from the Imperial Government and known collectively
as the Cohong (kung hong or kung hang—officially authorized merchant guild). In return for certain preferential rights in doing the ship’s business, the hong or security merchant assumed responsibility to the Chinese government for the customs and for the orderly behavior of the entire ship’s company. He paid the port fees, generally bought much of the cargo, and provided many of the goods carried on the return voyage. Moreover the hong merchant was a source of invaluable advice on many matters such as the hiring of a house comprador, the state of the market, and the niceties of Chinese regulations. The hong merchant might also advise the supercargo on engaging a linguist (t’ung shih or “lingo” in pidgin, the only “foreign” language spoken by most of them).

Linguists were licensed by the government to serve as go-betweens to transact the foreigner’s business with the customhouse; each one had a sizeable staff of servants to assist him in his many activities. Because linguists performed a number of dubious services for their clients, including lying, keeping commercial secrets, and bribing customs officials, they were not often looked upon as reliable sources of information. Nevertheless it
Whampoa Anchorage in the 1840s. Oil by Youqua, M4478. Note the headstones on the island in the foreground. Youqua must have painted it in the mid- to late 1840s; notice also the sidewheeler in the background. Courtesy of the Peabody Essex Museum.

Seven-story pagoda at Canton, photograph. Courtesy of the Peabody Essex Museum.
was the annual linguists’ reports upon which most commercial statistics were based before the Opium War brought professional consular reporting to Canton in the 1840s.\textsuperscript{11}

Among the most immediate functions of a newly engaged security merchant was that of notifying the authorities of the ship’s arrival, for port charges were levied at an elaborate ritual of reception by the Hoppo or customs commissioner (\textit{Y’üeh-hai-kuan chien-tu}). The Hoppo was an important official. Under the authority of the governor, like other provincial commissioners, the Hoppo was appointed by the emperor himself. The post was created in 1685, and until 1725 the tenure of office had been one year. After that time it was three years, apparently a period just long enough for a powerful but impecunious Manchu to mend a broken fortune. Long before the first Americans arrived, Hoppos were known for their venality. The derivation of the pidgin name, Hoppo, is unknown. It has been suggested that it is a rendering of \textit{Hu-pu}, the Board of Revenue, but the Hoppo was not answerable to this body, so the question remains moot. In any case the Hoppo was responsible both for oversight of the imperial customs and of other officials’ control of the foreigners at Canton.

When it suited his pleasure, the Hoppo would pay a formal visit to the ship for “cumsha and measurement.”\textsuperscript{12} His gorgeously painted craft, flying quantities of silken pennants and surmounted by the great dragon flag, would draw alongside, and the Hoppo and his entourage would come aboard. In preparation for the occasion the decks had been scrubbed, the fittings polished, and the crew members dressed in their best clothes, which had been in the depths of sea chests for months. With a silken ribbon the Hoppo’s attendants measured the ship’s length and breadth; these two figures multiplied together and divided by ten provided the basis for the assessment. Several other items were then added to this sum to make the final port charge.\textsuperscript{13} Of course a ship also had to pay a series of other exactions, such as that for pilotage in and out, the linguist’s fee, and innumerable cumshas to various functionaries from the Hoppo himself to the humblest tidewaiter. The total cost of entering and leaving the port of Canton came to something between three to seven thousand dollars per ship—probably the highest port charges in the world at that time.

After weathering the storms of several oceans and the intricacies of Celestial red tape, the ship’s businessmen were understandably eager to visit the “provincial city.” Therefore, as soon as possible after arriving at Whampoa, the supercargo and/or the captain took a boat to Canton, twelve miles further upriver, leaving the crew to paint, caulk, and mend sails.

Both Chinese regulations and the convenience of the shipowner kept the men out of Canton except for infrequent and sometimes trouble-producing liberties. Gangs of coolies lightened the task of handling the cargo, and virtually every other nonnautical chore was performed by the Whampoa comprador. Other services, along with absolutely any commodity, could be
provided by the river people, whose myriad boats clustered around the shipping, selling everything from food and drink to laundry service and haircuts.

At first the sailors were greatly pleased with their unaccustomed leisure, but they soon discovered something worse than work. Probably their deadliest enemy at Whampoa was boredom, which sometimes led to mutiny. Conditions in the forecastle were never really pleasant, but Whampoa was especially dreary for the average sailor. Moreover the Chinese boat-people peddled a corrosive variety of liquor that caused many disturbances on board, ashore, and internally. The record is full of reports of brawls and even rebellions. Possibly a gauge of the frequency of such disorders is to be found in the fact that Commodore Lawrence Kearny was called upon to put down several mutinies during the USS Constellation’s two-month stay at Whampoa in 1843.\(^\text{14}\)

In addition, prior to 1830 no regular religious services were provided for the sailors, and only after 1836 were any permanent, continuing medical facilities available unless the ship carried a surgeon. Few ships escaped casualties from dysentery, malaria, and other virulent tropical maladies for which Canton was notorious. Therefore the men probably welcomed the labor of departure as much as they had hailed the idleness of their “lay days” at Whampoa.

The process of clearing port was only slightly less complicated than that of arrival. When the *chow chow chop*, the last boatload of miscellaneous cargo, had left Canton for Whampoa, the captain and the supercargo would pay a farewell visit to their hong merchant. Tea would be served, cumshas exchanged,\(^\text{15}\) and the captain would receive the Grand Chop, a permit to clear port. This was a large document printed in two colors, embellished with dragons, and stamped with the chop (seal) of the Hoppo.\(^\text{16}\)

As they left the hong merchant’s factory, often a very elegant and beautifully furbished establishment,\(^\text{17}\) the captain and supercargo could see freshly laundered canvas mailbags being stowed in the boat waiting for them at Jackass Point, where a horde of urchins clamored for a parting cumsha.\(^\text{18}\)

The last ceremony before the ship nosed into the stream from Whampoa would be the final visit of the ship’s comprador, who left his cumsha aboard with his farewell. As the vessel weighed anchor, the Chinese would set off ropes of firecrackers “to awaken the gods to the ship’s departure and give her good wind and good water.”\(^\text{19}\)

**The Factories**

On their way upriver to Canton, the ship’s businessmen probably gave little thought to the trials of the crew or to the complications of departure. The attention of all but the most experienced China hands must have been
captured by the strange and colorful scenes about them.\(^{20}\) As they neared the city,

a low, rumbling sound commenced and soon increased to a loud-heavy, humming noise, which never here ceases, during day and night. This buzzing sort of serenade is caused by the beating of gongs, firecrackers, etc., etc., mostly among the river craft constantly on the move up and down river.\(^{21}\)

Weaving its way through the numberless Chinese river vessels, the ship’s boat approached Jackass Point, the usual place of debarkation at Canton, and the traveler caught his first glimpse of the foreign factories. The word factory was an importation from India, where it meant the residence or office of a factor; the pidgin word hong applied to any place of business, but the two words were generally used interchangeably at Canton.

The factories were banks of long, narrow structures located about three hundred feet back from the north bank of the river in one of the western suburbs of Canton, just outside the city wall. The whole area covered about twelve acres. Each factory consisted of several buildings two to three stories high, connected with the other factories by arcades or arched passageways. The front building faced the river across a large, partly paved area called the Square.

While he was in Canton, a foreigner’s factory was his home, place of business, recreation, storage, and even church. The lower floor of a factory contained the kitchen, the treasury, the servants’ quarters, and the godowns, which were large, airy warehouses in which goods stood on low platforms a foot or so off the floor. The supports for these platforms were often made of camphor wood and surrounded by tar, rice chaff, or quicklime to ward off the universally destructive white ants.\(^{22}\) In the upper stories were the counting-rooms (offices), parlors, a large dining room, and individual bedrooms. Across the face of a hong’s upper stories often stretched a veranda, sometimes paved with marble and usually enclosed with Venetian blinds, a very effective sunshade in the blistering heat of a South China summer. By the time of the Opium War, the first hong in the American factory sported a blue nankeen awning over its veranda. The roof frequently contained a terrace, a comfortable addition in sticky evenings early in the tea season.\(^{23}\) All rooms were spacious, airy, and immaculate; cleaning was thorough and frequent. During the confinement of the foreign community in the spring of 1839, one foreigner complained that his hong had not been washed for ten days!\(^{24}\) In sum, judging from contemporary descriptions rather than from the complaints of young men, the factories must have been very comfortable.\(^{25}\) Hosea Ballou Morse, pioneering historian of old Canton and a man rarely given to exaggeration, called the factories “palatial.”\(^{26}\)

The buildings were well constructed of granite or bricks that varied in color from lead-blue to red, depending on the length of time they had been in the kiln. The structures probably deserved a better location. Each was
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Adapted from a sketch by Bryant F. Tilden
constructed on piles. The land they occupied had been reclaimed from the river, and the tide flowed into the sewers, which ran the length of each factory. The exterior walls were sometimes plastered, and the unterraced part of the roof was of red tile. As a group the foreign hongs presented a very neat and attractive picture, which has often been painted. Samuel Shaw, the first American trader at Canton, described the appearance of the factories as “elegant,” a term of high praise in the eighteenth century.27

From tall poles in front of several of the factories floated flags denoting which nation was represented there by a consul. In 1784 Shaw found Denmark, Austria (the Holy Roman Empire), Sweden, Britain, and Holland had “regular establishments” there, but by the time of the Opium War, there were seldom more than four flags flying, that is, the American, British, Dutch, and French, and only the first two nations were substantially represented in the community. At various times the English and the American hongs fronted on private gardens, and potted trees and flowers stood before many of the others.

Until the great fire that destroyed the factories in 1822,28 the Square was enclosed by walls which led to the water from the hongs at either end. Thereafter, no barrier kept out peddlers, beggars, fortune-tellers, and curious sightseers who came to catch a glimpse of the foreign devils. Intruders appeared in the Square as early as 1800. Sullivan Dorr, an early American resident, describes sword-swallowers, human pincushions, tumblers, bird trainers, and a remarkable act in which the performer allowed an adder to bite his tongue. He immediately went into alarming convulsions, which were relieved only when he “applied a composition to his tongue,” a substance that he apparently was selling.29 Now and then, when the press of undesirables became unendurable, the exasperated foreigners would complain to the Cohong and whip-wielding police would quickly clear the area, leaving behind bits of broken china, articles of clothing, and whatever else the retreating crowd had been unlucky enough to drop during the scramble.

The solid front presented by the factories was pierced by two streets and an alley, each lined with Chinese shops. The foreign residents called these thoroughfares Old China Street, New China Street, and Hog Lane. They ran from the Square to Thirteen Factories Street into which the back doors of the rear hongs opened. Like other streets in the area, all were heavily traveled and extremely narrow. One of the first American missionaries, David Abeel, states, “The width of the street varies from about fifteen to three feet, measuring from house to house; and the medium proportion of the city would probably not exceed eight feet. In passing through even the business districts, I have frequently extended my arms and reached the opposite houses.” He also notes the existence of wickets at all street corners to prevent the escape of thieves or the collection of a mob. These wickets were closed and guarded at night.30 The streets were paved with granite slabs, cut with a rough surface to prevent slipping in wet weather, although
Canton street in the 1860s, photograph. Notice the stone paving and the many advertising placards. This is an old glass plate time transfer. What appears to be fog is traces of the traffic. Courtesy of the Peabody Essex Museum.
they wore smooth with time. During the daytime the streets were thronged with people—from dawn to dark the disorder was enormous. Cantonese police never thought of directing traffic because there were no wheeled vehicles and rarely any horses in the area. The congestion (and the filth) was entirely human.

Scavengers are constantly employed in removing the dirt, which collects in great quantities, but in spite of their labours, the streets are frequently disgustingly filthy, and abound in the most abominable smells imaginable, especially in the rear of the factories, and near the Butchers’, and the poulterers’ shops.31

The tone of repugnance in this account is especially interesting because later observers, with far more experience, declared that Canton was the “wealthiest, best built, and cleanest of all the cities of China” and noted that anyone who had seen Amoy, Shanghai, and other cities first would be struck by the “comparative cleanliness,” “the substantial nature of the buildings, and the absence of overpoweringly disgusting sights and smells” in Canton.32

On either side of every street in the neighborhood was a multitude of small shops, crowded one against another, offering every variety of merchandise: silks, jades, porcelains, lacquered ware, edibles of all kinds, and many more dubious articles. Americans often commented in their letters about the exotic groceries in the Chinese markets, especially the kittens, puppies, skinned rats, and the vast numbers of fruits and vegetables to which they were unaccustomed. From the balcony of the American hong, Edmund Roberts reported watching the bargaining at a cat-and-dog butcher’s, one of the many shops on Old China Street that ran along the south side of the factory.33 These businesses were mostly small, and they were a shopper’s delight. Fletcher Webster, son of the “immortal Daniel” and secretary of the first American mission to China, wrote in 1844, “Canton is certainly a study. [T]he shops [sic] are almost irresistible.”34

Besides the stationary vendors, a host of itinerant peddlers crammed every byway—umbrella menders, barbers, quack doctors, soothsayers—and the noisiest and most loathsome beggars were everywhere, crying, beating gongs, and otherwise contributing to the din.

With all of this foreign variety an American sailor on liberty did not want for bizarre ways of spending his money. True to type, however, ordinary seamen on their infrequent visits to Canton usually were to be found in one of the infamous establishments on Hog Lane. Here they were sold questionable beverages bearing names like “Mandarin gin,” “samshu,” and what was (probably falsely) advertised as “first chop rum.” The lane is said to have received its name from a natural and descriptive corruption of its original name, viz., Hong Lane. It was barely wide enough for two men to walk abreast. On one side of the lane was the British East India Company’s factory and on the other, Fungtai Hong, also known as the Chow Chow (miscellaneous
or mixed) Hong, indicating that it was generally occupied by Parsees, Armenians, Arabs, Jews, etc.\textsuperscript{35}

The small operators had a very bad name among the Americans. It was said of them that, “the petty dealers are not to be believed for an instant, or credited a shilling, as they are devoid of honourable principle in money matters,”\textsuperscript{36} and “the small dealers almost universally are rogues, and require to be narrowly watched.”\textsuperscript{37} William C. Hunter, one of the more literary of the Canton residents, called them “the greatest ruffians that can be imagined.”\textsuperscript{38}

By these judgments the firm names of the shops were often most unsuitable. Among the titles exhibited before the stores near the foreign hongs, Hunter lists “Peace and Quiet,” “Collective Justice,” “Perfect Concord,” and more mysteriously, “The Three Unities.” He also mentions some really splendid advertisements: “You read on each of a pile of water tubs, ‘the bucket of superlative peace,’ on chests ‘the box of great tranquility.’”\textsuperscript{39}

Outdoor advertising seems to have been as common then as now:

Oblong signs painted of a gay colour generally vermillion with gold characters line both sides of the street so that the walls of the houses cannot be seen, every house being a shop—& fitted with all sorts of merchandize.\textsuperscript{40}
Shop Selling Small European Articles. Watercolor by unidentified Chinese artist, E80607.10. The shelves contain watches, yarn, knives, scissors, bottles, buttons, cloth, and a brace of pistols. The broom peddler appears to be blind. Notice also the wall placards. Courtesy of the Peabody Essex Museum.

Shop in China Street. Watercolor by unidentified Chinese artist, E80607.23. Notice the Near Eastern customer, the feather-duster peddler, and the unusual glimpse of the shop’s back room. Courtesy of the Peabody Essex Museum.
Every blank wall and boarding is covered with advertising placards. . . . More shameless advertisements than any to be seen on a European wall abound . . . at Canton, although prohibited from time to time by the Government.41

If the smaller shopkeepers and peddlers were distrusted by the Americans, the generality of Chinese usually were accounted as knaves: “Haughty, insolent, fraudulent, and inhospitable,”42 “the greatest villains in the universe,”43 and “vindictive, lascivious, and roguish”44 were common American characterizations of the sons of Han.

Life at the Factories

For the resident merchant, life at Canton was most comfortable. He probably enjoyed more luxuries here than he had encountered anywhere else in his travels. Each factory was abundantly staffed with servants of every description. Besides the cooks, watchman, livestock-tenders, and ordinary coolies, each foreigner had a personal orderly who woke him in the morning, drew his bath, laid out his linen, served only him at the table, and followed him everywhere, attending to his master’s slightest whim.

Each house employed a comprador, a major-domo who managed all but the personal servants, saw to the supplying and upkeep of the factory, and took a cut of every sum that changed hands. The comprador’s honesty was generally unquestioned; he kept a set of keys to every lock in the hong, including the treasury. Purchases of all kinds were handled through the medium of chits, which were redeemed either by the comprador or by the personal servants. Foreigners rarely handled money themselves. Understandably this practice led to a very un-Yankeelike extravagance.45

Probably the high standard of living was first set by the various national East India companies—fat old monopolies to whom the factory’s operating cost was a minor consideration. “John Company,” the British East India Company, was certainly the most lavish in China. In 1830 a parliamentary investigating committee found that the Company’s factory expenses were about £90,000 a year (ca. $450,000)!46 Bryant P. Tilden, a highly intelligent Salem supercargo who made seven voyages to Canton between 1815 and 1837, was particularly impressed with the magnificence of the Dutch factory at Macao. He mentions seeing paintings by Dutch and Flemish “masters,” costly Chinese porcelains, considerable statuary, cages of monkeys and birds from many lands east of the Cape, a number of musical instruments (including a self-playing piano), and a beautifully tended garden whose walks were paved with Dutch tile.47 Even making allowances for his youthful exaggeration, it is clear that the Dutch agents at Macao lived grandly. Although private American merchants could hardly expect to keep up with such sybaritic Joneses, their hongs did not lack creature comforts. The diet was little
short of sumptuous, and the quantities of beer, wine, and liquor consumed were always a source of complaint to a firm’s more frugal partners. Young John Heard, writing to his envious younger brother “Gus” (Augustine) in 1844, noted, “Wine is always on our table, of several different kinds—and one is constantly challenged” to drink. Even the most parsimonious Yankee soon became accustomed to luxury, so much so that they demanded it after their return to America. The conservative John Latimer blamed the free-spending habits of China nabobs on “the management of large funds belonging to others [which circumstance] has the effect to destroy our proper ideas of the value of money.” All food, drink, furnishings, and other consumer goods had to be purchased either from the house comprador, who exacted his fee, or from the ships at Whampoa, which meant paying transportation costs from Manila, Bombay, or even more distant ports. A comprador’s job was a most desirable one. John Heard cites the example of Augustine Heard & Company’s comprador who came to us without a cent in 1840. He died in 1846 leaving $70,000! And yet it was all done so delicately that I do not think anyone in the house was conscious of losing a cent. I very much doubt whether we did lose anything. The payments all came from the Chinese, except for our food, and the percentage was so small that it would have been hard to spread it over our business.

So useful were the compradors and so profitable was their business that recently they have had a very bad name among patriotic Chinese, particularly the communists, who regard the comprador as a mere lackey of imperialism.

Another source of influence in the lifestyle of the foreign merchants at Canton was the standard of living of the Chinese merchants with whom they dealt. Although the hong merchants were sometimes very rich, traders occupied a relatively low position in Confucian society. Some were arrivistes, and, like the new rich in Western culture, they tended to spend money lavishly and conspicuously, but even those who were degree-holding members of the gentry lived well. Their villas, libraries, gardens, aviaries, menageries, and other extravagances were exuberantly described by many Americans. Puankhequa’s garden, with its aviary, dwarf trees, artificial streams, grottoes, waterfalls, and fountains, was particularly impressive. Foreign friends brought Puankhequa seeds, birds, and animals from all over the world for his country seat. Tilden gave him a dozen speckled turtles, which were apparently an oddity in Canton.

Despite the luxury of his life, a Canton resident was no indolent voluptuary. He worked long and continuously—twelve to fifteen hours a day was usual during busy periods. Most of this time was spent in the counting-house, and travelers often remarked on the pallor common to all residents. But at least one young man was delighted, on his arrival, to discover
1st [sic] the systematic manner in which business is carried on, the gentlemanly manner in which Clerks are treated, the fine style in which they live in the eating way—and another grand recommendation which applies very well to a chap like myself—viz.—there being no occasion of spending money—in the small way.54

Residents rose early in the morning during tea season; they got up later only in the spring and summer months when business was slack. The hot, moist climate made for sticky mornings, a discomfort that was particularly hard on Yankees, accustomed to sub-Arctic New England. They soon got into the habit of demanding that their boys prepare a bath for them at least once and often two or three times a day. This luxury required filling a large earthenware tub, encrusted with dragons, fu-dogs, or other fanciful decorations, with fresh water that had to be carried some distance. The dampness of the area also made for clouds of mosquitoes in the summer. Of necessity the beds were canopied and hung with netting. Like the tubs and the other furniture of the hong, these beds were often heavily carved, highly polished, and sometimes inlaid in their symbolic elegance of the high living to which young American merchants at Canton quickly became accustomed. Such amenities brought more than a degree of comfort into the life of even the most ascetically inclined missionary. For all his dedication and willingness to endure hardship, there was no way for him to live in China except as other Westerners lived, and that meant very well indeed.
After the morning bath and perhaps a stroll, a merchant had breakfast—generally a simple meal consisting of rice, tea, rice cakes, toast and curry, eggs or fish. Thereafter the first real break in office routine came at noon, when a light lunch was served in the dining room. The great social occasion of the day was dinner, served either in midafternoon or about 6:30. At this time the whole complement of the factory dined together with various guests, boarders, and captains who were staying at the factory. Generally the meal was a long, leisurely affair, with many courses, including several kinds of wine, beer, and India ale, and concluded with brandy and long, black Manila cheroots. However, during the busiest part of the year, dinner could be hurried and even gloomy.

In the late afternoon or early evening, when business permitted, the younger members of the community would race rowboats on the river, play leapfrog or hopscotch, pitch quoits, or march vigorously about the Square. Less energetic traders would take naps, go for short strolls or joke with the boat-girls at Jackass Point. In the early 1840s, Augustine Heard reportedly kept a small pony at the factory, and his daily rides around the Square greatly amused Chinese spectators.

After dark, when work was comparatively slow, the traders would visit back and forth among the various hongs where groups would gather to drink, sing, or talk of business, pleasure, or home. Especially in the early part of the tea season, however, residents were frequently kept in the countinghouse until far into the night. On a hot summer night in 1833, William Henry Low wrote his senior partner in America: “Have you forgotten the pleasure there is in writing letters at night by a large Lamp in the month of July with soft pens and spongy paper? I am now in the full enjoyment of that pleasure, with my clothing well saturated with perspiration, wishing for a letter of the pure air of Middletown.”

**Personalities**

During the busiest periods, transients greatly outnumbered the residents. Before about 1820 the imbalance must have been especially marked, because the number of American residents rarely exceeded a dozen. Thereafter, however, the community grew with the trade, and like other communities, it developed its own odd and colorful characters. Very early there was the stately Revolutionary War hero, Major Samuel Shaw, first American supercargo and first consul, whose eighteenth-century sense of personal and national honor make him seem rather stiff to a modern reader of his journal and letters.

Samuel Snow, another Revolutionary officer, second consul, and builder of the American factory, was evidently a warmer personality, but his luck deserted him early in the new century, and he went bankrupt, never to
Samuel Snow, 1795. Oil, unidentified Chinese artist. Snow was the American consul and built the first American factory in China. Private collection.
recoup his formerly sizeable fortune.\textsuperscript{60} His son, Peter Wanton Snow, was also plagued with ill fortune. He wandered in and out of Canton all his life, never quite making enough money to avoid the pity of other merchants. He became consul in 1835, and, though he was in China during a time when many became rich very quickly, he was ailing, prematurely old, and seems only to have lost money. He died a pauper in 1843.\textsuperscript{61} Shrewd, tightfisted Edward Carrington was notorious for sharp dealing. He charged Peter W. Snow, briefly his own partner and the son of his benefactor, Samuel Snow, 18 percent interest, a very high rate at that time. He ultimately turned his eight years in Canton into a fortune apparently by watching his chances until he cornered the market in sealskins. He then returned home to become one of America’s leading China merchants and to develop an agonizing stomach ulcer.\textsuperscript{62}

Occasionally a naturalized American appeared in Canton. Andreas Everardus van Braam-Houckgeest accumulated two fortunes at Canton in the eighteenth century—both times with the Dutch East India Company. Following his return home after his first tour in Canton, Van Braam moved to Charleston, South Carolina, where he became a most unsuccessful rice planter and lost both his fortune and his family. He then reappeared in Canton as resident director of the Dutch company, evidently having renewed his Dutch citizenship. In 1794 and 1795 he accompanied the famous Titsing (also known as Titzing) embassy to Peking. In the latter year he retired once more, this time to Philadelphia, where he lived in magnificent style for about three years before returning to Europe.\textsuperscript{63}

Another American citizen by adoption was “Colonel” Peter Dobell, who was born in County Cork but raised in Philadelphia. Dobell was another Revolutionary War veteran. After several years at Canton, during which time he narrowly missed being arrested for smuggling ginseng, he graduated to opium. Just before the War of 1812, he purchased a ship and sailed for the Northwest Coast of America hoping to reap a fortune in furs. Within a year or so he had opened a shop in Petropavlovsk, Kamchatka, presumably to sell his cargo. Thereafter, Dobell journeyed across the length of Siberia to St. Petersburg, only to reappear, at the beginning of 1819, with a wife and daughter, as Russian consul at Manila. During his absence at Canton the following year, the Spanish authorities permitted a wholesale massacre of foreigners, a disaster in which Dobell lost heavily.\textsuperscript{64}

Benjamin Chew Wilcocks, pioneer in the opium trade, came from a very distinguished Philadelphia family and looked the part. Because he was very tall, the Chinese nicknamed him “the high devil.” In his high, tight coat collar and white cravat in the heat of a Canton summer, he must have been an impressive sight during his decade as US consul. A gourmet, a connoisseur of the arts (and also apparently of women), a raconteur, and dinner-table wit, Wilcocks befriended the well-known artist, George Chinnery, whose tastes were similar.\textsuperscript{65} The two men became fast friends soon after
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Chinnery arrived at Macao, having fled from India, or so he said, to avoid his wife (although avoiding his debts seems at least as important a motive).

Wilcocks appears to have become rather irresponsible toward the end of his stay in China, when the great hong merchant, Howqua, in an act of astonishing generosity, released him from an enormous debt. His unsteady behavior thereafter lends considerable weight to the charges of John R. Latimer that, at least temporarily, Wilcocks went insane. Latimer should have known, but he was in an awkward position to make such accusations, because Wilcocks had left him all his business and now was charging him with malfeasance. An additional factor in Wilcocks’s irritation may well have been Latimer’s righteous action in sending Fanny Henry, Wilcocks’s bastard daughter by a Macao woman, to Philadelphia to see her loving father. Her arrival must have been something of an embarrassment, because the aging Wilcocks was, at that very time, on the marriage market in his native city.

Finally there was in Canton a small band of intense, dedicated missionaries who began arriving in 1830 to convert China—a monumentally misconceived enterprise. Well-meaning, generally intelligent, and rather Calvinist in theology, these pioneer apostles were neither black-suited pessimists nor saints. Practical, hard-working, and determined evangelists, the missionaries were by no means all of the same character. One writer notes,

[David] Abeel was a mystic, [Issachar] Roberts evidently something of a dreamer, but [S. Wells] Williams, a man of scientific training, [Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute] had learned practical printing while [William] Dean seems to have had a good deal of common sense. [Elijah C.] Bridgman was a real personage, one who would have been an outstanding member of any group.

Peter Parker, a sober, deeply pious man, was nevertheless a shrewd and capable administrator. Before embarking for China, he prepared himself by reading, by visiting and interviewing people who could inform him of what to expect, and by training both for the ministry and for the practice of medicine. He ran his Canton hospital efficiently, even introducing a system of bamboo slips to keep order and to prevent people from missing their turns. He recorded the names of all patients, ailments, and treatment. Later he represented the United States in China in several capacities. He was very conscious of the value of publicity, was probably the China Mission’s best fund-raiser, and certainly was its most effective lobbyist.

William Pohlman, a minister of the Reformed Church, was noted for his perseverance. Elihu Doty, Pohlman’s fellow sectarian, was, on the other hand, characterized by such phrases as “massive solidity of character,” “earnest and decided piety,” and “a laborious man.” He was not “brilliant or profound,” but his “accuracy, candor, judgment and freedom from prejudice” fitted him well for “literary work.”

Samuel Robbins Brown [a teacher] . . . showed evidences of [being] a self-made man. He was cool in temperament, versatile in the adaption of means to ends,
gentlemanly and agreeable, and somewhat optimistic. He found no difficulty in
endearing himself to his pupils. . . . He had an innate faculty of making things
clear to the pupils . . . with great directness and facility.75

Brown was also no mean musician and could play the piano, organ,
and violin. He sang well and “composed not a little.” “Genial and warm-
hearty,” he “had a genius for friendship” and was “always fond of fun and
jokes. From childhood to old age, mirth lightened much toil.”76

Dean describes Edwin Stevens as of “grave countenance” and an “austere
and unsocial” appearance to strangers, though he denies that this was his
true character, which he says was “ever kind and courteous.”77 Similarly
the reserved S. Wells Williams suffered from “an unfortunate shyness” that
gave him a certain “stiffness of manner,”78 if we can believe his son and
his biographer. On the other hand, his friend Rev. Henry Blodget said in
his eulogy that Williams was “full of good cheer and kindliness, quick-
witted . . . eminently social in feeling and habits.”79 Noah Porter went still
further and praised his “buoyant temper which made sunlight for others
whenever he was present.” Obviously it is often very difficult to judge a
man’s character from a fragmentary record at a distance of one hundred
fifty years. Different witnesses give different appraisals. We can be reason-
ably sure, however, that the missionaries, like the merchants, were people
of rather different personal characteristics.

Other Foreigners at Canton

When the first Americans arrived in Canton, they found a very cosmopoli-
tan community dominated by the British East India Company. At various
times in the early years, there were Swedes, Danes, Austrians, Prussians,
Spaniards, Portuguese, French, and various kinds of Italians doing business
in China. Some were represented by consuls.80 With the beginning of the
Wars of the French Revolution, most of these Europeans disappeared from
Canton, and the neutral Americans gradually took over the carrying trade
to the Continent.

Numbers of non-European foreigners also flocked to Canton. Arabs,
Indians, Armenians, and Near-Eastern Jews traded and lent color to the
kaleidoscopic scene at the Oriental metropolis. Their costumes were often
striking. The Moormen (Arabs) were

clad in silk and cotton fabrics of red, white and blue colors, with large white
muslin turbans festooned with red silk, and gold and silver cord. They are close
shaven, all but their bushy, grizzly, and black beards, and wear loose trousers,
tied below the knee and secured at the waist with strings and sashes. Their legs
below the knees are bare, all wearing morocco slippers usually embroidered
with gold thread.81
Lascars (Indian sailors) dressed still differently:

Most of them wind a dirty cotton scarf round their heads and shoulders, and with bowl shaped fancy skull caps, thin banyans, or muslin shirts, short cotton drawers—and a cotton sash.82

A Bombay Muslim is described glowingly:

His limbs unfettered by his robes, gave full play to his majestic stature, and his costume of spotless white was relieved by a cashmere shawl wound and worn around his waist. Another of smaller size was wreathed into his turban . . . [he had an] immense snowy beard, that had grown . . . almost to his cummer band. [sic]83

And of the Parsees, the same source notes:

Their dress is peculiar, in summer a white robe fitting closely to the back and arms, with wide pantaloons of the same, or of red or blue. In the cold season they have dark colored coats cut in the same fashion, and edged with red cord. Their hair is shaved in part, leaving it growing at the temples, and all wear the most enormous moustaches, which may often be seen as one walks behind them.84

And:

Chinese, of course, in great numbers, tilted upon high cork-soled shoes, with wide pantaloons very full above the knees but tied close below, and a large blue nankeen frock, very short, and the hair on the back of the head so long as to reach nearly to the ground, whilst the forepart is shaved as smooth as the face. Most of them have no cap on, the place of one being supplied by a small fan.85

The British and Americans, almost uniformly, dressed in white especially during the hot seasons. Featherweight linen suits were common and some dandies of clerks even wore silk pajamas.86 White canvas shoes, very light cork hats covered with white cotton, and perhaps a tie completed the costume. Dress tended to be rather informal at Canton and quite correct at Macao, probably “on account of the presence of ladies.”87

By far the largest group of foreigners at Canton was the British. In the early years of the trade the Hon. Company’s Select Committee of supercargoes (resident at Canton) was almost alone in representing that nation in China, but, increasingly English, and more importantly Scottish, private merchants came to reside there. On the whole the Select Committee was able to exercise a respectable amount of control over this group through the licensing system it operated under its charter, a system that was the more necessary because of the lack of any legal sanctions. The two monopolies—the British East India Company and the Cohong—together managed the innumerable problems that arose in the course of China’s foreign trade. However, in the late 1820s and early 1830s, when the Hon. Company’s franchise came under strong attack in Parliament from these private traders
in alliance with the new Midlands industrial interests, the Select Committee was less and less able to control the more restive British private merchants.

The Company was scandalized by a dramatic demonstration of its loss of power during its last year. James Innes, a particularly irascible Scot who had been frustrated in his attempt to obtain justice either through the Select Committee or directly from the Cohong, set fire to the Customs House in the Square. This measure, incidentally, brought prompt redress from Howqua, the senior hong merchant, who was always terrified by violence.88

Whether under the rule of the Company or under the largely nominal control of the chief superintendent, who succeeded the Select Committee, the British always represented the most formidable group of foreigners with whom the Americans had to deal. Having similar cultural backgrounds and sharing the same tongue, religion, and common law, the English and Americans had little difficulty finding grounds on which to agree or to fight. Whenever there was a difference of opinion, as there often was prior to 1815 and during the Opium War, it was never a misunderstanding chargeable to the shadowy nuances of a half-comprehended foreign language. Each side knew precisely where the other stood; they simply disagreed.89

On the other hand the camaraderie of the factories and the ease of communication with the British made for a number of international friendships. Shaw was probably friendliest with the French at Canton, and other merchants were closely attached to the Dutch or Macao Portuguese, but most American traders, and later the missionaries, knew the British best.

The foreign community that developed such a highly individual character after the War of 1812 was, for the most part, an English-speaking community composed largely of Britons and Americans. Members of other nationalities participated in the rich social life of Canton and Macao, but it was the British and Americans who dominated because they predominated.

As time went on the Americans drew still closer to the British. There were a number of intermarriages, and, even when interests differed, it was easy for Americans to make excuses for their British friends. Charles King, partner in Olyphant & Co., regretted that British creditors had pressed their claims against Hingtai (also known as Hengtai, Hingtae, etc.—Yen Ch’i-ch’ang), a hong merchant, who went bankrupt in 1836.

Some of the Hongs are determined not to pay. But Cap. Elliott says, that tho’ the British have borne insult, they will not submit to have the pocket touched. So the Hongs must tax us all, or the Admiral will come. It seems hard that Am[erican] merchants and tea drinkers should pay B[ritish] claims—But we are all in the same boat, and must, I suppose, not complain.90

Thus, although Americans often argued with British policy, they swallowed their differences in contests with the Chinese.
Recreation and Social Life

Off-duty activity became more varied as the community developed. Traders complained of boredom but the complaint probably reveals more about the complainer than about the opportunities for diversion in this corner of the Celestial Empire. There were raffles, dinner parties, and band and chamber concerts. Shaw mentions the concerts very early: “At the English factory, there is, every Sunday evening a concert of instrumental music, by gentlemen of the several nations, which every body who pleases may attend.” By 1816 the Hon. Company’s band was giving concerts on Thursday evenings on the veranda of the Company’s hong. Tilden, who played the clarinet, was asked to join.

Reading was probably the most important single recreational activity. The community had at least two “public” libraries. The largest and best known was the British East India Company’s library, formed in 1806. By 1832, when its catalog was published, this collection contained about sixteen hundred titles. With the loss of the Company’s charter, the library was dispersed, but the Morrison Educational Society, a missionary group, set up a public library soon after with some of the books that had formerly belonged to the Hon. Company.

Canton merchants were remarkably bookish in comparison with their late nineteenth-century counterparts, and there were a number of sizeable private libraries at the factories and Macao. The missionaries always brought a number of books with them. As early as 1830, Rev. Bridgman reported having 201 books, 30 to 40 of which had been given to him by Dr. Morrison, who had a respectable collection himself.

Besides the libraries there were book and reading societies. The Latimer Collection at the Library of Congress contains a number of receipts from the “Canton Reading and Billiard Association.” Young John Forbes was also a member of this group, though, perhaps significantly, his letters speak mostly of the billiards and card games played there. Books, newspapers, magazines, and even letters from home were passed from hand to hand, and there were at least two organized societies that auctioned their books after the members had finished reading them. Thus the subscribers built up libraries and perhaps did more reading than they otherwise might have done.

Fondness for competitive games of all kinds was a marked characteristic of the community. As early as the War of 1812, Major Megee’s inn was as renowned for its whist games as for its cook. Backgammon and checkers (draughts) were other parlor games commonly played. By 1841 Russell & Co. had built a bowling alley in its hong at Canton. There were even some team sports. Sometimes the British persuaded the Americans to play cricket but only rarely. Americans always preferred something they referred to as “ball” which, from the description, bears a very strong resemblance to baseball though this was a generation before Abner Doubleday.
The most popular, elaborate, and expensive of the competitive activities was boat racing. Apparently beginning among the idle sailors at Whampoa and sponsored at first by the East India Company,\textsuperscript{97} the sport spread to the residents at Canton sometime after the War of 1812. Its popularity increased during the 1820s, and in 1831 Tilden remarked on the growing interest in the sport, describing the excitement generated among Chinese and foreigners alike by the rowing contests. He also commented smugly that these races were generally won by Yankee whaleboats.\textsuperscript{98} In 1834 the Union Club, a boat-racing organization, was established, complete with officers, rules, penalties for violations, weekly dinner meetings, prizes, and uniformed teams.\textsuperscript{99}

It is not clear when sailboat races began, but they had been popular for years before the Canton Regatta Club was organized in 1837.\textsuperscript{100} Indeed foreign sailboat traffic had become so heavy by 1825 that the governor general issued one of his more ineffectual edicts against it.\textsuperscript{101} A ship carpenter, who had established himself at Macao by 1831, was building “Baltimore modeled” schooners for use in the river,\textsuperscript{102} and the merchants, always speed-oriented but increasingly so as the opium trade developed, showed a growing interest in boat design. The foreign community’s addiction to yachting developed and increased as time went on. It stopped abruptly with the opium crisis in the spring of 1839, but the Regatta Club was reborn in December 1844. Although the fee was $5 a head, nearly every resident subscribed. A meeting was held, officers were elected, and rules were adopted.\textsuperscript{103} The custom spread to Hong Kong soon after the British acquired the island. The Hong Kong Yacht Club, whose members made up the bulk of the foreign community, seems to have been the old Canton Regatta Club in a new location. Yacht races at Hong Kong became major social occasions and were soon imitated at the newer treaty ports.

A few forms of recreation carried the approval of the Chinese government. On certain days of the month, foreigners were allowed to visit the flower gardens at Fa Ti, about two miles upriver from the factories.

Delightful picnic parties are occasionally got up by the residents, who, leaving Canton in large boats with every convenience for a party, find the summer houses at Fa-Tee admirably calculated for a rural fete.\textsuperscript{104}

At other times, the “barbarians from afar” were permitted to cross the river to Honam Island to visit the Joss House (Buddhist temple), which is described in a number of letters, diaries, and publications.\textsuperscript{105}

A paradoxical formality pervaded the foreign community despite the good fellowship and physical contact resulting from various forms of recreation. Tilden believed that the absence of women made for the stiff manners that he rather deplored:
We are such a mixture of gentlemen, sailors, and all of us necessarily bachelors, while at Canton, certain etiquette [sic] is quite necessary to keep us from becoming as the Chinese say many of us are—“half wild mans.”

John Latimer noted that it was customary for merchants and clerks to address each other as “Mister” or by their last names alone. He also remarked with considerable satisfaction,

We have civilized many cubs of supercargoes—in two months—and taught them more manners, than they had learned all their lives at home. [T]he reason is obvious, the old fashioned manners have been preserved in Canton, & on arrival here, most men are admitted to better society than they have been accustomed to at home.

And perhaps more importantly, “the extreme forms of politeness among the Chinese keeps our own alive.” Thirteen years later the social rigidity noted by earlier reporters apparently had increased, and in Wetmore & Co., for example, it was customary to dress for dinner, a practice that had certainly not been common among Americans as late as the early 1830s.

This strange ceremoniousness alternated with a playfulness that was anything but formal. At a dinner given for William Jardine, senior partner of the largest firm in Canton, the estimable Dr. Jardine was reliably reported to have attempted a waltz with William S. Wetmore to the tune of a Negro folk melody. Another British doctor had the nasty habit of punching his acquaintances below the ribs “to see if our liver was sound.” And it surely must have been difficult to maintain one’s reserve while vaulting over another’s back during a game of leapfrog.

In the years before the treaties, Anglo-American social life in China attained its fullest development at Macao, where traders of both nationalities periodically retired. The genteel, decaying Portuguese colony became the Ascot, the Monte Carlo, the Riviera, and even the home of tired traders after a busy tea season at the factories. At Macao dwelt the wives and families, as did the mistresses and the occasional ladies who brightened the hours of relaxation between strenuous sessions at Canton.

Despite the unanimous protestations of virtue on the part of American traders, celibacy was by no means universal. A few personal letters, particularly by young bloods at Macao, show how varied were the opportunities for violating the seventh commandment. The number of illegitimate children was, in itself, proof of the weakness of mercantile flesh. At Macao, of course, the ban on women did not apply, and many traders kept mistresses. Wilcocks’s mistress has already been mentioned, and William C. Hunter kept a Tanka girl with whom he apparently maintained a very long and responsible relationship. John Heard wrote his confidant “Charley” Brown in 1844, a time when Heard was having a difficult time preserving his own virtue:
The likeness of the Macao girl I sent you is a veritable portrait of one who is kept by an English gentleman there. She has a sister, also kept, who is nearly as good looking. And there is a little girl named “ayow” now about 15 years old who lives in a boat near our house, who is prettier than either of them. She is still virtuous & has refused an offer of $500, but I suspect will relent before long. These things are only looked upon here as amiable weaknesses, and there are a lot of bastard children kicking about Macao.112

Besides the eternal billiards, cards, social tiffins, and dinners, Macao offered a variety of virile recreations that were unavailable at Canton, such as swimming, horseback riding, and bird shooting. During the life of the Hon. Company, its elegant Macao hong, which was fully occupied for nearly half the year, was the center of British activity. Amateur plays,113 formal dinners, and balls were not infrequently held at its commodious Macao factory. There later appears to have been a more professional Macao theater; which boasted subscribers, a managing committee, and enough properties to make disposal of them a problem after the landlord announced that he intended to build on the ground the theater occupied.114 A Portuguese amateur opera company, the “Philharmonic Society,” was in existence in 1841, and occasionally traveling professional groups or individual performers would visit Macao.115 On one memorable occasion an Italian opera company gave a series of performances which greatly excited the foreign community.116

Players and musicians were always welcomed, but the community was really more interested in horse racing. An open area near the barrier, which marked the far end of the colony, was used for the purpose. To the scandal of the more proper American ladies, some of the English clergy, and even some wives of East India Company servants, appeared and placed bets on their favorites.117 As the community developed traders began to import blooded animals as racing became more serious.118

In November 1830 William H. Low, a new partner in Russell & Co., arrived at Macao with his wife and twenty-two-year-old niece, Harriet. For the next three years Harriet, an attractive, high-spirited Salem girl, kept a diary for the edification of her sister in America. This labor of love and exuberance survives as one of the choicest sources of the social history of the place and period.119 As each of the small volumes of the diary was completed, it was mailed home; thus the work has the quality of an intermittent letter. It is gossipy, chatty, and girlish, as one would expect in letters between two sisters close to the same age. Because Harriet Low was attractive, single, and American, she was in great demand with lonely Canton traders, many of whom she describes in frank and delightful detail. With equal gusto she tells of social events—balls, plays, the opera, a museum, picnics, sermons, the sinful horse races, and her endless, innocent flirtations. Later other American women, wives and daughters of traders and captains, made temporary homes at Macao. The Opium War brought still
more and even further enriched the settlement with numbers of missionary wives and female volunteers. The social life of the community grew accordingly.

Foreigners at Macao lived in even grander style than at Canton. Their houses were large, with spacious walled gardens and often a section of beach if they were near the Praya Grande. Some of these homes became rather celebrated. The home of the Englishman, Thomas Beale, was particularly noted for its garden and aviary, which rivaled Camoen’s cave as Macao’s principal tourist attraction. Rebecca Kinsman, wife of Captain Nathaniel Kinsman, wrote of her house:

It is situated on the “Praya Grande,” with a pretty garden in front, a yard at the sides and in the rear, with fine trees, and the whole surrounded by a high wall—over which creep in many places luxuriant vines. To give you some idea of its size, the house is 120 feet front, with a veranda 18 feet wide, supported by massive columns, running the whole length—it extends back 70 feet, exclusive of the veranda. The parlour is 36 feet wide and high.

A little over a year later, Mrs. Kinsman commented on the still grander villa of a Russell & Co. partner:

Yesterday, Ecca passed the day at Mrs. [Warren] Delano’s. I went with her in the [sedan] chair, and made a pleasant call, walked around the grounds, which are very extensive, saw the geese (noble creatures as to size from the North), turkeys, pheasants, calves & a beautiful spotted deer, which with several horses and a fine god, completes their domestic establishment. I had forgotten a monkey in addition, with which Ecca was very pleased.

The lifestyle, the excellent service, and mild climate kept some Americans at Macao long after they had planned to return home. William Hunter, who had said his goodbyes and set sail for America in early 1843, returned a few months later with some fine Arabian horses purchased at Aden. He remained another year before finally leaving China. James P. Sturgis, who arrived in 1809, retired to Macao after about a quarter of a century in Canton. Sturgis continued to live at his Penha Hill bungalow (a very humble pidgin name for a large and charming dwelling) until shortly before his death in 1851.

**Feasts, Holidays, and General Indulgence**

These amenities were available only at Macao; Canton residents enjoyed the simpler joys of eating, drinking, and singing. In the early days especially, the customary way of celebrating anything in the all-male community at Canton was to hold an elaborate dinner party. The great profusion and variety of food and drink and the lack of any imperial prohibition against it
made this kind of entertainment very common. A good cook was highly prized. Hotelkeeper Megee’s chef was renowned and, when Megee died in 1820, Benjamin Wilcocks hired him immediately. Upon Wilcocks’s departure in 1827, Russell & Co. engaged the famous cook.125

There were several competing sets of national traditions, and the community was, of necessity, selective in its observations of holidays. Unfortunately for American customs, the tea season made business very busy at Thanksgiving time, and in July much of the population moved to Macao to avoid the heat.126 Also the British probably did not greatly appreciate the opportunity to celebrate the Glorious Fourth. Thus the most typically American holidays were slighted.

Some rituals were international. On Christmas Day and New Year’s Eve, the British East India Company would generally put on a lavish banquet. American merchants held their share of such affairs, but their treasuries were not ample enough to stand as considerable an expense as the Hon. Company’s parties. These dinners, like most such events, lasted interminably with toast following toast—to the king, the prosperity of the United States, the ladies, etc. Each toast was answered by an acknowledgment and each acknowledgment by another toast until the small hours of the morning.

Frequently members of the community would entertain with whatever amateur talents they possessed:

We have been celebrating Christmas lately with a large dinner at 7 & kept up the delusion of enjoyment until 2 A.M.—a German played beautifully on a French horn. A Mr. Green of Boston, Capt. Waterman and Benjamin sang some good songs, and one Captain got regularly Drunk on punch at 1 and as it only [made] him more good natured, and very silly we had an hour’s good sport out of him.127

Chinese New Year was a considerably more interesting holiday. It lasted for several days, during which time all trade ceased, gifts and visits were exchanged, and all forms of noisemaking and revelry took place. Travelers frequently recorded the festivities with gusto:

Tables are set in the streets, covered with all sorts of knick-knacks, and the goods in the shops are carried near to the doors, and displayed in tempting splendor. Long rolls of silk that, like dreamers, have been wrapt up in themselves for months, suddenly unroll, and dazzle beholders with their richness. Costume shops bring forth all their finest dresses, glittering with shining embroidery as if there were no poor people in the world, and all were mandarins.

The porcelain stores are crammed with brittle magnificence, the largest vases are polished with silk handkerchiefs, and the painting on them seems to be newly varnished.

The beggars leave off banging their gongs, for they get plenty of money without the nuisance. . . .

All the houses, streets, public places, and boats are thoroughly cleaned, even the people are scrubbed beyond the extent of the twelve months preceding in honor of the first day in the year. Up to the last hour of the last day of the old
year, persons are seen hurrying to and fro, making purchases, and buying long
scrolls of scarlet paper covered with sentences in honor of the season. These
they paste upon their doors, or hang up in their houses, and this duty being
accomplished give themselves up to feasting, bang[ing] the poor gongs unmerci-
fully and firing of firecrackers.

The festivities of New Year last three days—with deafening hubbub, and
the world in China then sinks quietly down to its old way of doing things, and
business is resumed for another twelvemonth.

Americans enjoyed Chinese New Year’s for a number of reasons: the dif-
ferent ages, conditions, and interests of various traders led them to appreci-
ate different aspects of the festivals. Nathaniel Kinsman, of Wetmore &
Co., was particularly impressed with the handsome gifts of choice teas he
received from rich Chinese merchants. Paul S. Forbes’s journal discusses
his trip from hong to hong, through huge crowds, visiting friends. John
Heard III found and embellished some remarkable customs with which to
regale a confidant at home:

On the seventh day after their New Year, all China has a hankering after forbid-
den fruit, and one universal Copulation goes on through the Celestial Empire.
A Chinese who has not indulged himself in carnal Connection on the day in
question, which appears sacred to the worship of Venus, would consider his time
misspent, and his energies unprofitably wasted.

More often American merchants were interested in the happy Chinese
custom of paying all debts before the festivities began. As a result of this
practice, prices fell and specie rose steeply just before the holidays, and for
years silver was the staple of the American import trade. It was a wise and
lucky merchant whose business permitted him to hold off purchasing until
this season.

The other Chinese festivals were at least as colorful as New Year’s, but
they affected Americans considerably less, probably because they did not
halt business. A number of letters and diaries describe the Dragon Boat
Festival which was celebrated largely on the water, but no foreigners took
part. The Festival of Lanterns was neither understood nor honored by the
foreign devils; Americans merely noticed lanterns of every color and shape
going up all over the city and remarked about the gala atmosphere. They
also wrote about the newly constructed bamboo playhouses on the street,
but the festival did not touch their trade, and the amusements were too alien
for their tastes and education, so it received comparatively scant attention.

Lack of Harmony within the Community

Although it was small, tightly knit, and strengthened by the golden thread
of commerce, the social fabric of the Canton community was anything but
Numbers of disputes, some lasting for years, disturbed the calm prosperity of the foreign colony. Living at such close quarters, men became intensely aware not only of each other’s talents but also of their shortcomings and disagreeable personal habits. The tensions generated by such contact were relieved somewhat by diversions, vacations, and the custom of various companies to spell both partners and apprentices at Canton. Members of a firm took turns sojourning at Macao and in America. When the business of the firm or the unavailability of transportation placed more than one partner in a hong for an extended period, personal relations were often strained. The best-run companies adopted very clear lines of subordination and division of labor, but, despite all these devices for avoiding conflict, nerves frequently were filed raw. Between firms, moreover, there were very few methods for avoiding bad relations, and even missionaries sometimes developed an uncharacteristic irritability.

The presence of women may have helped make Macao the active rumor market that it was, but gossip was at least equally relished in the purely male atmosphere of Canton. Commercial rivalries, private animosities, and the lack of other topics of conversation led to a brisk traffic in juicy morsels of personal scandal. Nathaniel Kinsman, John Murray Forbes, John Heard III, Joseph Coolidge, William H. Low, and both Warren and Edward Delano wrote gossipy, very readable letters. The businesslike John C. Green, on the other hand, chastely avoided all personal remarks and occasionally even took others to task for their lack of the same restraint. Green’s own correspondence is invariably short, to the point, and suffocatingly dull.

Competing merchants told stories about one another, and their correspondence is full of references to the underhanded dealings, sexual adventures, and generally depraved nature of their competitors. Remarks were especially corrosive about former partners or business associates who had broken off their earlier connections.

Russell & Co. twice expelled members of the concern whom the other principals felt to be undesirable. In 1831 Philip Ammidon and in 1839 Joseph Coolidge were forced out of the house by the other partners. In neither case were the erstwhile partners reticent about passing on every item of information unfavorable to each other.135 Almost as painful was Benjamin Wilcocks’s rupture with John Latimer. Wilcocks had left his China business to Latimer but gave him few instructions. He was particularly annoyed with Latimer’s failure to turn over a large part of the profits from the new business Latimer developed after Wilcocks had left China. At this historical distance it is not clear why Latimer should have granted Wilcocks an interest in what appears to have been his private business, but most of the evidence comes through Latimer.

Certain persons were notably querulous. John C. Green, Joseph Coolidge, and William H. Low, all sometime partners of Russell & Co., seemed to attract the enmity of a number of their colleagues. Low’s correspondence
with Samuel Russell is a mine of information, precisely because he has so many personal remarks to offer about other merchants. Although apparently a good businessman, he was nervous, touchy, and bearish. He held grudges, saw subversion of the concern’s interests (with some justification, it must be admitted) in every negative comment made by tired fellow merchants, and he sent Russell reams of worried letters after the latter’s departure in 1831. He frequently refers with evident envy to Russell’s placid temperament.

Feelings between the Low family and James P. Sturgis became so heated that Sturgis did not deny authorship of a scurrilous song referring to Mrs. Low’s family. The anonymous verse was found pasted to the door of the East India Company’s factory at Macao, and a quantity of printed copies subsequently was left on the veranda of the same building.

John Green was as abrasive a man as ever headed a major house at Canton. Even his partners admitted that Green was “obstinate and ill tempted,” but no one questioned his mercantile ability. By the end of his first year with the firm, Green had begun a quarrel with Augustine Heard which continued for years and eventually led to the formation of a rival company.

Joseph Coolidge was an even more controversial figure. Virtually all of his associates testified to his conversational brilliance, his urbanity, and his “unsteadiness.” Ousted by his partners from Russell & Co., Coolidge used Heard’s name to form the new concern of Augustine Heard & Co. Within a few years, however, the partners of the new house had arrived at the same judgment of Coolidge as had the Russell & Co. principals.

Acrid as they were, none of the enmities among the Americans quite reached the bitterness of those which divided the British community at Canton. The prolonged feud between the two largest British companies, Jardine, Matheson & Co. and Dent & Co., was so venomous and all-pervading that the other firms—British, Parsee, and American—were often forced to choose sides.

What apparently had begun as a personal or commercial rivalry gradually developed political ramifications. The majority of the British private merchants sided with William Jardine, who favored a “forward policy” toward China. Some authors maintain that Jardine was the eminence grise behind Lord Napier, the first chief superintendent, whose blundering policy miscarried and proved fatal to Napier himself. The same writers also believe Jardine was the moving force in the final decision of the British government to send a military expedition to China after the opium crisis of 1839.

On rare occasions a dispute even led to violence or the Code of Honor. One of the more spectacular disagreements was a personal dispute between William Wood of Philadelphia, editor of the short-lived Chinese Courier, and a hot-tempered Irishman named Arthur Saunders Keating, who edited the Canton Register for several years. These two young men were on the point
of fighting a duel when the good sense of Wood’s second, Augustine Heard, the legendary Ipswich merchant-mariner, averted a tragedy.

Keating named as his second the only man at Canton with a worse reputation for mayhem than himself—James Innes, the Scot, whom the community had more or less respectfully dubbed “the Laird.” Innes tactlessly demanded a complete apology, through Heard, whom Wood had wisely selected as his representative.

Mr. Heard, in his quiet way, avoiding all discussion, simply said, “W is the challenged party and I have come to arrange a meeting with pistols tomorrow morning at five, at a convenient place on the bank of the Macao passage” [within rowing distance of the factories].

Heard was an old China hand and knew very well that homicide on Chinese territory was the one crime for which the Imperial Government set aside its prophylactic policy toward foreigners. It would be sure to intervene, find the accused guilty, and administer capital punishment.

“But my dear sir,” said the stately Laird, “how can you and I answer to our God for risking the lives of these young men and breaking the Chinese laws against homicide?” “Oh,” said Mr. Heard, “that is your affair in demanding such an apology; life is not a very important matter, and my friend is quite ready to run his risk if you insist upon it, and as for the Chinese laws, you and I are breaking them every day. [Both were opium traders.] We have no other answer to make, but shall expect you at five A.M.”

Several hours of tense waiting crept by while Innes and Keating thought over the implications of their actions. Then came

a highfalutin letter from the Laird [that] announced that he could not risk breaking the Chinese laws, and that he and his friend should embark in a sail-boat . . . and await their opponents at Lintin Island, some sixty miles distant. . . . Mr. Heard replied that they might go to Manila . . . if they chose, but that he could not leave his business to follow them, and there the matter ended.

To be considered more at length in a later chapter is one of the most interesting and significant animosities that developed in old Canton—that between Olyphant & Co. and the other commercial houses, particularly Russell & Co. Both their well-known piety and their very vocal opposition to the opium traffic made the members of Olyphant’s firm prime targets for their competition. Their slightest peccadillo was seized upon by the drug traders and retailed with undisguised glee.

**Faith and Charity**

Despite the understandable dissonance between opium merchants and those who denounced the commerce as immoral, a working community existed
in old Canton. Under most circumstances the foreigners, and even more especially the Americans, regarded themselves as a unit. The most obvious line of division—that between merchant and missionary—was almost as much a source of unity as of discord. All American merchants, except for four or five Jews and a handful of Roman Catholics, regarded themselves as Protestant Christians, and they supported the China Mission handsomely.

Business always came first with the traders. The missionaries universally complained about the common violation of the Sabbath. Whenever the demands of business conflicted with those of religion, the men of the cloth found their suspicions confirmed. As early as 1820 Robert Bennet Forbes lamented that he had to work on Christmas Day, although his objection seems to have been not so much on religious as on less elevated ground. In 1837 the fact that one of the companies at Canton had relieved its clerks from work on Sunday was so remarkable as to prompt Peter Parker to write home in triumph. John Murray Forbes’s attitude was more typical. In a letter to Samuel Russell dated 16 November 1834, he commented sarcastically:

We have given up that profane practice of working on Sundays (except where there is Something urgent) and instead thereof Green goes to church & I have established a Billiard Club from which I anticipate much amusement and profit to my health—I always thought that a pious partner was very necessary here—and did intend to have taken that department myself—but G. has it so I don’t like to interfere.

Prior to 1830 when the first American missionaries arrived, the British East India Company provided the only regular Protestant religious services. The chaplain preached in the Company’s chapel every Sunday while the staff was in Canton, and Dr. Morrison gave two services, one in the American factory for foreigners and another (in Chinese) at home.

With the advent of the American missionaries, religious activity quickened perceptibly. Indeed Americans soon dominated the field. They gave weekly services at the American factory (Olyphant’s hong) and preached to the sailors at Whampoa aboard ship. By 1837 Peter Parker could boast that there were now “about 80 people in the congregation” and that the missionaries held religious meetings every Friday evening, two weekly prayer meetings, a “monthly concert,” and a Bible study group at Macao.

This was great progress. Only four years earlier, S. Wells Williams had complained,

The congregations on the Sabbath are small indeed. One or two weeks ago when Gutzlaff preached, we had forty-three, the largest number since I have been here. The service is held in the same room that we eat in every day; we rearrange some chairs and put a desk on the table—that is all. Would you not say that it is a day of small things?
But it was not primarily to save souls in the nominally Christian Canton trading community that the missionaries had come to China. Although they labored long with their countrymen, their apostolate was to the heathen. In this task the role of the commercial colony was critical. The principals of Olyphant & Co. financed virtually the entire mission during the difficult early years. Missionaries traveled free in Olyphant’s vessels, their living costs in Canton were covered by the firm, and their housing consisted of hong No. 2 in Olyphant’s factory. In addition, Olyphant underwrote the cost of their journal, The Chinese Repository, obtained and transported its press, and lent his ships for missionary voyages along the northern coast of China to Japan and to the East Indies. Olyphant & Co. performed these and any number of other services to the missionaries completely free of charge.

The partners of Olyphant & Co. were the most regularly generous of the merchants, but other traders also gave liberally of time and money for the foundation and upkeep of hospitals, schools, and other charitable and religious institutions organized by the Mission. The most famous Canton missionary organization was Peter Parker’s Ophthalmic Hospital, which opened its doors early in November 1835. This institution was the most effective device employed by these early apostles for reaching the Chinese populace. The hospital was soon crowded with patients and Parker began to call for help. The community loyally supported him, providing administrative, financial, and even medical support, and, by the end of the period, both money and new doctors were coming from America and England in substantially increased quantity.

In fact whatever the missionaries undertook, the resident merchants supported generously. Even opium traders, whose commerce the missionaries always deplored, attended religious services, took seats on the boards of missionary bodies, gave money and materials, and otherwise helped the tiny band of religious pioneers. William Jardine, the leading drug merchant in Canton and a physician by training, regularly worked in Parker’s hospital, while John C. Green, the head of Russell & Co., foremost American dealer in the narcotic, rarely missed a service, was a rigid sabbatarian, and served the Mission in several administrative capacities. Although their purposes differed from those of their mercantile associates, the missionaries had become an integral part of the Canton community in the decade before the coming of the war, and the merchants regularly supported their endeavors.

Nor did all charitable activities originate among the missionaries. Bankrupt hong merchants, linguists afool of the law, and widows and orphans of their less fortunate fellows also became objects for subscriptions among the traders at Canton. Frequently after some natural catastrophe such as a drought, a fire, or a flood, the Canton newspapers would carry editorials and letters calling on the foreign community for funds to relieve the afflicted. The princely philanthropy of a number of hong merchants is legendary, and the wealthier Parsees were also inclined toward expensive
generosity. Jeejeebhoy (Heerjeebhoy?) Rustomjee, an important Parsee opium merchant, gave twelve thousand dollars in 1841 for the establishment of a hospital for seamen in the Canton area.\textsuperscript{154} After the destruction of nearly half of the hongs in 1842 and 1843, funds collected from the foreigners, particularly the Americans, financed the rebuilding of the Square and the construction of walls at either end to prevent the collection of rabble. Nathaniel Kinsman was quite clear about the predominant American role in this enterprise:

The [newly relandscaped] square or park—is a great improvement, and great credit is due to those who designed the place and to Mr. [Isaac M.] Bull [of Providence, nephew of Edward Carrington] who devoted his time to oversee and complete the work of laying out the ground, ornamenting, &c. It is literally Yankee Square, for I believe the English who did very little towards it, seldom avail of the place to promenade.\textsuperscript{155}

Finally there were several Chinese charities that the merchants could not avoid. The \textit{Chinese Repository} states that at least three official institutions were supported by a tax on the trade levied by the local government. Every foreign ship paid about nine hundred dollars for the maintenance of homes for the aged, the “friendless poor,” and orphans.\textsuperscript{156} Under the Ch’ing dynasty, district capitals like Canton maintained both poorhouses and foundling homes. The financial burden of these charities fell on the gentry and the district magistrate. Thus there was considerable local support for these imposts.\textsuperscript{157}

\section*{The Dark Side}

One would like to know more about these benevolent institutions, for certainly the lives of the Chinese poor could not have been much affected by them. Insulated as they were from Chinese society, foreigners generally were able to ignore the desperate conditions under which many of the lowest class lived. But occasionally weather, or the proximity of some of the city’s most miserable slums, forced the residents to confront uglier sights than they had ever encountered before.

Intermittently the residents were threatened by natural disaster. Floods were frequent during the rainy months. As the factory area was almost at sea level, the Square sometimes lay under several feet of water. At such times cobras from the hinterland occasionally were washed up at the entrances to the hongs.\textsuperscript{158} Droughts, sometimes appearing in the same year as a flood, now and then drove thousands of starving peasants into the city, and several hundred might enter the Square or even penetrate the factories themselves until they were driven off by the police.

Fire was the most dreaded peril. The bamboo-and-matting houses of the
neighborhood poor were constantly catching fire, and the blaze would spread to the more substantial dwellings. Cantonese fire-fighting methods were sometimes rather primitive. Sullivan Dorr describes a fire in 1800 in the extinguishing of which the Chinese spent considerable energy transporting a heavy idol to the scene. The worst accidental fire on record occurred on 1 November 1822. Late in the evening a fire broke out about a mile and a half north of the factories. Twenty-four hours later all of the foreign hongs had been destroyed. Periodically thereafter fire threatened the factories and Canton periodicals began to agitate for fire insurance. By the spring of 1835, coolies using imported equipment had been trained in Western methods of fire-fighting, and the hazard was therefore greatly reduced. But, despite everything, fire was more of a danger at the end of the sixty-year period than it had been at the beginning. After the Opium War had embittered relations with the Cantonese and weakened Manchu authority, instances of arson were not uncommon. In December 1844 Nathaniel Kinsman of Wetmore & Co. warned his wife to remain at Macao because “we have an alarm of fire almost every night.”

The deadliest threat to life at Canton was disease. Illness was so prevalent that the state of a writer’s health was considerably more important a topic in commercial letters than it is today—even in personal communications. On the other hand some sickness was simply expected as a man’s customary lot in Canton, and a new man’s indispositions were viewed as burdens to be borne until he became “seasoned.” The frequency of stomach disorders, liver ailments, dysentery, and similar maladies suggests that standards of cleanliness in the factory kitchens and the water supply were less than adequate. Warren Delano of Russell & Co., for example, had recurrent bouts with hepatitis (“jaundice”), dysentery, cramps, and various other abdominal complaints that point in this direction. Yet his firm had one of the more celebrated tables in Canton. Other diseases, notably cholera, tuberculosis, and smallpox, accounted for numbers of deaths, thereby helping to populate the various foreign cemeteries. There were at least four of these cemeteries: two on islands in the river near Whampoa (French and Danes Islands) and two at Macao, one Catholic and one Protestant.

Certainly the most depressing phenomenon met by Americans in China was poverty. When his ship first entered the river, the traveler was greeted by a host of beggar boats whose occupants cried for “lice.” From that time until he left China, distributing his last string of cash to the half-naked children demanding cumsha at Jackass Point, a foreigner was never far from scenes of appalling deprivation. In the neighborhood of the factories was a square in which beggars were allowed to sleep at night; each morning the police came to gather up the dead. The observant chaplain of Commodore Read’s squadron, which arrived in April 1839, painted the dreariest picture of this horrid place:
Never before have I witnessed such a scene as here was presented to my view. I do not wish to see another like it. . . . In different parts of this small area of some two hundred feet square, were prostrated different objects of commiseration, lank, lean, haggard . . . [one] was stretched on the hard stone, with his head pressing on his emaciated hand. He could not speak; but at our approach, as if by instinct, he seized on his basket and extended it with his skeleton arm for cash. We passed another. He was dying, as he lay with his head against the sidewall, down which was led a gutter as if in his last extremity he had rolled his head there, to catch it might be, a drop of water, which none gave him. . . . There was a collection of putrid water here, in which his head had partly fallen. A ragged mat concealed his face, and before the night-watch was over, he would be a corpse, with no one to catch his last word. . . . We passed on to another, whose face was uncovered. His eye was turned upon us, but his articulation was gone,—his cheek fallen,—his mouth partially opened,—his body naked,—beside him lay his empty basin, and no one was near him. Good God! I thought, can man be brought to this,—houseless, pennyless, naked, breadless, dying, with hundreds of the populace, well clad and smiling, passing him, and abundance filling the neighboring streets, and no eye of pity or hand of charity be found to alleviate such distress, and pity such wretchedness! I could not sleep that night.

Americans were still more alarmed by their experience with what they regarded as official brutality. Whether or not Wellington Koo is correct in his assertion that there was little justification for the imposition of extraterritoriality by the Western powers, it is undeniable that Americans in China thought Chinese justice was barbaric. The public exhibition of the heads of decapitated criminals “in various stages of decay” and the common sight of prisoners wearing the cangue were unlikely to inspire confidence in Chinese jurisprudence. The cangue was a broad wooden collar that locked around the neck, preventing the hands from reaching any part of the head. The prisoner was guarded during the time of his sentence, which was sometimes for a period of several days, and this could mean death by starvation or thirst. Because the execution ground was less than a mile from the factories, all foreigners were exposed to the ugliest aspects of official Chinese retribution. Of course its closeness made it an attraction to visiting Americans, and travelers frequently described the more grisly methods of despatching criminals, such as decapitation, cutting into pieces, and strangulation.

Severe as it seemed, imperial punishment for crimes was not always as certain as that to which most Westerners were accustomed. For example, a vessel in Chinese waters was rarely safe until she dropped anchor at Whampoa. In the first decade of the nineteenth century, pirates in the Canton estuary numbered an estimated seventy thousand men and commanded somewhere between six hundred and eight hundred vessels. Indeed, once the pirates had organized themselves into fleets, they proved so strong that they were able to defeat the Imperial Navy. The Chinese government eventually solved the problem by rewarding one of the most powerful buccaneers with a pardon, a naval commission, and a salary of 18,000 taels a year. This
chieftain, after the fashion of Henry Morgan, turned against his kind and temporarily pacified the area, but piracy remained a problem to the end of the pre-treaty period. Afterward, as the weakness of the Imperial Government became more obvious, the problem became much worse.

**River Life**

China dazzled the American traders. The same Yankees who often despised the natives, at least those of the lower classes, were fascinated by the richness and the incredible, alien diversity that China showed them both in the one small suburb to which they were confined and on the waters of the Pearl River.

Among the strangest, most outlandish sights that greeted Americans at Canton was the activity on the water. The port swarmed with people and craft of every description. From the great, awkward salt junks that docked on Honam Island (a distance downstream from the factories) to the tiny sampans of the barbers, fruit peddlers, fortune-tellers, and corn-removers, the river shipping presented as unusual a picture as had confronted American eyes anywhere in the world. Junks from Amoy with emerald-green bows (the bows of Canton craft were always red); barges from the interior bearing wood, bamboo, tea, and rice; brightly painted revenue cruisers flying triangular white flags splashed with vermilion characters and carrying cannon tied around the muzzle with a crimson sash; innumerable small ferries; large, gorgeously embellished seagoing junks painted with dragons, a huge eye on either side of the bow—all contributed to the vast drama of Canton harbor life. Thousands of small craft, upon which a large part of the population made its home, were moored in rows by the shore, forming liquid streets and floating suburbs.

The most exciting of the river vessels were the flower boats—large houseboats, highly ornamented, their upper sections often entirely of carved openwork, sometimes gilded or brightly painted. They flew silken streamers and sported other very showy decorations. These craft were designed for pleasure and had excellent kitchens, a staff of musicians, and facilities for gambling. Yet they particularly catered to the less sophisticated vices—they were the places of business of thousands of women of the town, painted ladies with fingernails six inches long, who readily returned the foreigners’ greetings. Alas such amiable diversions were prohibited to all aliens by Chinese regulation.

Throughout the day Canton was possibly the busiest place the American trader had seen in all his travels. Toward evening, as the signs of the day’s business began to disappear, they were replaced by a new set of sights, sounds, and smells as the end-of-the-day chin chin (devotions) began. Twilight was a crashing of a thousand gongs, innumerable spurts of flame from
paper burned ritually and cast into the water, and the sweet smell of incense. Lanterns were then hung out and supper began.

Vestigial day-noises, the cries of the boatmen, barking dogs, atonal music from the flower boats, and the usual river sounds continued far into the night. “It is a long time after arriving in China that a foreign eye learns to observe uninterested the gay and active scene perpetually passing on the river,” wrote a nostalgic merchant in 1830.177

*Flower Boat* (detail). Oil by unidentified Chinese painter. The women on the flower boat seem to be welcoming or bidding goodbye to one of their number. The bustling activity in the background is perhaps even more interesting. Courtesy of the Peabody Essex Museum. Photo by Mark Sexton.
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**Chapter 3. Opium Transforms the Canton System**


2. Kenneth Scott Latourette, “The History of Early Relations between the United States and China, 1784–1844,” *Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences* 12 (August 1917); Foster Rhea Dulles, *The Old China Trade* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1930); Sydney and Marjorie Greenbie, *The Gold of Ophir* (Garden City, NY: Doubleday, Page & Co., 1925); Samuel Eliot Morison, *The Maritime History of Massachusetts, 1783–1860* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1921); and other well-known works have covered the field generally. Most of these authors have been charmed by the color and exotic appeal of the trade. While I have no wish to derogate this attraction, there is always a danger that historical accuracy may compete with literary effusion.


4. Pitkin, *Statistical View*, 251. Pitkin got his information for the period from June 1800 to January 1803 from Sullivan Dorr, who was acting American consul at the time and must have known, especially because he was probably the leading American fur dealer in Canton. From 1803 to 1818, however, Pitkin has no data. Robert Bennet Forbes gives figures for 1804 to 1813, and because his uncles (his employers), James and Thomas H. Perkins, were among the foremost merchants in the Northwest Coast trade, he was in a position to know more than almost anyone except his own relatives. See his *Remarks on China and the China Trade* (Boston, 1844), 28.

Index

Abeel, David, 29, 43
Aborn, Daniel T., 157, 364
Adams, John Quincy, 268, 270–71, 279, 280; portrait, 269
advertising, 33–36
Alcock, Rutherford, 335
Alsop, Joseph, 370
Alsop, Luch (née Whittlesey), 370
American (Protestant) China Mission, 43, 57, 58, 93, 198, 206, 207, 230
American factory, 39, 76, 148
Ammidon, Philip, 54, 118, 124, 125, 126, 127, 150, 162, 190, 227, 364; portrait, 164
Antelope, brig., opium clipper, 286
Archer, Joseph, 170, 209, 210, 213, 214, 365
Archer, Samuel, 157–58, 209, 210
Ariel, opium clipper, 264, 330, 334
arrival: cost of, 24; process of, 19–25
Asiatic Squadron, US Navy (a.k.a. East India Squadron), 263, 283, 285
Astor, John Jacob, 92, 93, 230, 264, 277, 281, 300, 305, 313
British Chamber of Commerce. See Canton General Chamber of Commerce
British East India Company, 45, 47, 98, 106, 109, 116, 117, 127, 129, 133, 137, 157, 159, 212, 222
Brown & Ives, 162
brutality: of Chinese legal system, 61
Bryant & Sturgis, 155, 190, 367
Bryant, John, 155
Bull, Betsy (née Carrington), 370
Bull, Isaac M., 59, 140, 219, 224, 370
Bull, Nye & Co., 326
Bumpstead, Ephraim, 151, 364
Burlingame, Anson, 307
business: customers, 97, 100; ethics, 239, 240; family obligations in, 227, 229; primacy of, 222–23, 240; style, 217–21; transferability, 237–41
Butler, Cyrus, 162, 370
Calhoun, John C., 268
Blight family, 366
Blight, Charles, 85, 124, 130, 147, 150, 366
Blight, George, 115, 147, 366
Blight, James H., 147, 366
Blight, William P., 366
Boca Tigns (or Bogue), 19; picture, 22
Boston China Traders’ banquet for Cushing, 253
Boston & Salem Merchants’ memorial to Congress, 9 April 1840, 262–63
Brandywine, USS, frigate, 287, 288, 290, 294
bribes, 125
Bridgman, Elijah Coleman, 92, 93, 230, 264, 277, 281, 300, 305, 313
Burlingame, Anson, 307
Bunting, Ephraim, 151, 364
Burlingame, Anson, 307
business: customers, 97, 100; ethics, 239, 240; family obligations in, 227, 229; primacy of, 222–23, 240; style, 217–21; transferability, 237–41
Butler, Cyrus, 162, 370
Calhoun, John C., 268
Blight family, 366
Blight, Charles, 85, 124, 130, 147, 150, 366
Blight, George, 115, 147, 366
Blight, James H., 147, 366
Blight, William P., 366
Boca Tigns (or Bogue), 19; picture, 22
Boston China Traders’ banquet for Cushing, 253
Boston & Salem Merchants’ memorial to Congress, 9 April 1840, 262–63
Brandywine, USS, frigate, 287, 288, 290, 294
bribes, 125
Bridgman, Elijah Coleman, 92, 93, 230, 264, 277, 281, 300, 305, 313
British Chamber of Commerce. See Canton General Chamber of Commerce
British East India Company, 45, 47, 98, 106, 109, 116, 117, 127, 129, 133, 137, 157, 159, 212, 222
Brown & Ives, 162
Brown, William & Joseph, 209
brutality: of Chinese legal system, 61
Bryant & Sturgis, 155, 190, 367
Bryant, John, 155
Bull, Betsy (née Carrington), 370
Bull, Isaac M., 59, 140, 219, 224, 370
Bull, Nye & Co., 326
Bumpstead, Ephraim, 151, 364
Burlingame, Anson, 307
business: customers, 97, 100; ethics, 239, 240; family obligations in, 227, 229; primacy of, 222–23, 240; style, 217–21; transferability, 237–41
Butler, Cyrus, 162, 370
Calhoun, John C., 268

INDEX

Canton General Chamber of Commerce, 101–4
Canton Merchants’ Memorial to Congress, 25 May 1839, 261–62
*Canton Press*, 92
Canton Regatta Club, 48
*Canton Register*, 92, 173, 333
Carrington, Betsy. *See* Bull
Carrington, Edward, 41, 89, 90, 100, 148–50, 162, 224, 236, 237, 250, 252, 360; portrait, 149
Cary, Thomas C., 224
cemeteries, 60
Ch’a Ch’ang-ling, 300, 305
Ch’ao Yü-ts’ai, 292–95, 303, 310
Ch’i Kung, 264, 265, 293
Ch’i-ying, 266, 267, 278, 285, 292–95, 296–310; influences on, 304; portrait, 298
China policy: development of, 279; origins of, 259–60
Chinese Courier, 55, 92, 173
Chinese negotiating techniques, 294, 297–99, 301, 303
Chinese New Year, 52–53
Chinese Repository, 58, 91–92, 205, 326
Ch’ing bureaucracy, 75
Chinnery, George, 41–43, 81
Chits, 95–96
clerks, 96–97, 173, 227, 232–33
calendar, 38
clippers. *See* opium clippers
Cohong: conflict of interest among, 79–80; duties of, 78; end of, 82; history of, 77; vitality of, 80; vulnerability of, 119, 323. *See also* hong merchants
communication between governments, 306–8
comprador: duties of, 24, 25, 36, 37, 78
conflict of interest, 79
Confucian value system, 76, 79
Conseequa, 148
Conseequa Fund, 76, 77, 79–80, 323
Consoo House, 76
*Constellation*, USS, 263, 265, 286
convention of Chuenpi, 138, 140
Coolidge, Joseph, 54, 55, 67, 140, 179, 192–96, 198, 330; portrait, 193
coolie trade, 340, 364, 366, 368
cotton trade, 72, 129
Couper, William, 212, 365
Couvert, Jacob, 199, 365
Cowsaje family, 127
credit, 86–89, 95
Cryder, John, 213, 370. *See also* Morrison & Cryder, Wetmore & Cryder
Cryder, Mary Wright, 370. *See also* Wetmore
Cushing, Ann. *See* Higginson
Cushing, Caleb, 140, 261, 268, 273, 275–77, 279, 280; advises Chinese, 291, 307; consults Canton merchants, 290; develops policy, 288–89, 292–95; instructions, 283–85, 302; leaves China, 319; picture, 276; preparation for embassy, 287
Cushing, John P., 75, 85, 119, 120, 122, 125, 148, 151, 152–53, 156, 157, 159, 163, 210, 224, 241–42, 244, 245, 246, 364, 367; country seat “Bellmont,” 246
Cushing mission, 11
Cushing Treaty, 9–10, 11, 119, 189, 196, 278, 279; evaluation of, 317–20; flaws in, 316; Grand Council determined to subvert, 315; reaction to, 312–16; testing, 310. *See also* Treaty of Wanghia
customs, 24
customs commissioner. *See* Hoppo
Davidson, G. F., 186
Delano, Amasa, 91, 146–47, 191
Delano, Edward, 54, 140, 182, 189, 331, 336, 365; picture, 187
departure: cost of, 24; process of, 25
Derby, Elias Hasket, 143, 200
Dermigny, Louis, 147
dining, 51–52, 98, 218
disease, 25, 60
diversification, 220
division of labor in firms, 93–96, 326
Dixwell, George Basil, 195, 196, 198, 330–31, 336
Donell, John, 120, 123
INDEX

Dorr, Sullivan, 90, 147–48, 236, 243–44
Dunn, Nathan, 75, 85, 158, 210, 217, 365

East India Squadron, US Navy. See Asiatic Squadron
Edward Carrington & Co., 370
Eight Regulations, 73–74, 75, 94, 104, 260, 327. See also restrictions on trade
Elliot, Captain, 86, 103, 133
Emily incident, 120–21
Emory (a.k.a. Emery), Charles, 311
Empress of China, 73, 112
Endicott, James B., 186
etiquette, 49
Everett, Charles, 158
Everett, Edward, 273
exotic imports, 105
exports: American from Canton, 356–57; Chinese, 109
extraterritoriality, 283, 288, 289, 305, 310, 315, 317, 319, 320, 321, 325, 327

factories: furnishings, 25, 38; layout and construction of, 25–31; living conditions in, 36–39; shops and peddlers nearby, 31–37
fees: customary, 78–79
fire of 1822, 29, 59–66, 199
flood, 59
flower boats, 62; picture, 64
Forbes, James Grant, 367
Forbes, James Murray, 368
Forbes, Thomas Tunno, 159–60, 365, 367
Framjee family, 127
Fraser, George W., 330
fraud, 99–101
Frazer. See Fraser
French, William, 91, 156–57, 364
fringe benefits, 97–98
fur trade, 89–90, 105–6, 150
Gantt, Christopher, 115, 119
George W. Talbot & Co., 199
Ghetto attitudes, 328–30, 333; “Shanghai Mind,” 337–40. See also attitudes toward Chinese
Gilman, Joseph T., 183, 331, 365
Girard, Stephen, 84, 115, 123
Goodhue & Co., 191
Gordon, Oliver H., 202, 208, 209, 366, 369
Gordon & Talbot, 203, 208, 366, 369
Gott, Benjamin, 158
Gough, General Hugh, 139, 195
Gray, Robert, 150
Green, John C., 54, 55, 58, 168, 173, 191, 194, 220, 224, 245, 281–82, 364; portrait, 169
Grelaud, Arthur, 101
Grew, Henry Sturgis, 368
Griswold, Nathaniel and George, 176, 281
Hallam, John, 183
Haven, John P., 191
Heard, Albert Farley, 366
Heard, Augustine, 39, 55, 56, 100, 140, 162, 164, 166, 167, 190, 191, 194, 195–98, 251, 271, 286, 364, 366; portrait, 197
Heard, Augustine, II, 366
Heard, John, III, 37, 53, 54, 196, 198, 263, 268, 366
Higginson, Ann (née Cushing), 368
INDEX

Higginson, Henry, Sr., 368
Higginson, J. Babcock, 127, 368
Higginson, Stephen, 368
Higginson, Stephen Perkins, 368
holidays, 51–53
hong merchants: attempts to extort money from, 119; duties, 21–22, 76, 78; exploitation of, 79, 83; friendship with Americans, 81–93; reliability of, 80, 104; responsibilities of, 102–3; status of, 37, 76. See also Cohong
Hong Kong, 129, 138, 267; sketch of, 314, 324–25
Hong Kong Yacht Club, 48
Hoppin, Benjamin, 370
Hoppin, Benjamin, Jr., 370
Hoppin, Thomas H., 162
Hoppo, 24, 75–76, 77
Hormajee family, 127
hospital, 52. See also Parker, Peter
hotels, 81, 90, 91
Howell, John, 147
Howland and Aspinwall, 282
Howqua, 43, 46, 77, 176, 316, 331, 369; building up of fortune, 151; friendship with Americans, 81–82, 151–54, 156, 159, 172, 177, 179, 181–82, 192; influence of, 219; intervention by, 194; portrait, 153; profits, 101; wealth, 80
Hsü A-man incident, 296, 310
Hsü, Nai-chi, 131–32
Huang En-tung, 292, 302, 305, 311
Hunter, William C., 33, 51, 80–81, 167, 183, 220, 242, 365, 366; portrait, 174
Hunt's Merchants' Magazine, 260–61
illegitimate children, 49–50
information gathering, 78
Ingraham, Joseph, 150
Innes, James, 46, 56, 138
insurance, 89
Irving, Mortimer, 173
Issaverdes, George, 115
Issaverdes, John B., 115
Issaverdes, Stith & Co., 114–15
J. D. Sword & Co. See Sword, John Dorsey
J. & T. H. Perkins, 150–51, 155, 367
James P. Sturgis & Co., 119, 156, 161, 364, 367
Jardine, Andrew, 330
Jardine, Matheson & Co., 179, 196, 198, 205, 222
Jardine, William, 58, 98, 212, 332
Jenkins, Jabez, 209, 218, 365
jobs, 94–95
Joss House, 48
Kane, Dr. Elisha Kent, 277
Kearny, Commodore Lawrence, 26; policy of, 263–66, 272, 279, 318, 330
Keating, Arthur Saunders, 55–56
Kendrick, John, 146
King, Charles W., 202, 203, 204–5, 206, 207, 208, 244, 272
King, Edward, 173, 331, 365
King, Samuel, Jr., 199, 369
King & Talbot, 199, 369
King, William Henry, 365, 366; “Kingscote,” 249
Kinsman, Captain Nathaniel, 51, 53, 54, 59, 60, 194, 216, 218, 219, 365
Kinsman, Rebecca (Mrs. Nathaniel), 51, 216, 217–18, 289
laborers: types of, 24–25
Langdon, John M., 114
Langdon, Joseph, 253
Latimer, John R., 37, 43, 49, 54, 89, 101, 120, 126, 151, 165, 166, 167, 210, 244, 248, 366
Latourette, Kenneth Scott, 293
Lawrence, William A., 216–17, 219, 365
lawsuits, 102
Lejee, William Real, 212, 213, 216, 365
Lewis, Edwin M. & Co., 282–83
Lin, Tse-hsü, 131–36; portrait, 134
linguists: duties of, 22–24
Linton: picture, 127; system of marketing, 122, 129
liquor: consumption of, 25, 37, 39
Low, Abiel Abbott, 85, 171–73, 364; picture, 172
Low, Edward A., 183
Low, Harriet, 50, 75, 209
Low, Mrs. William Henry, 75
Low, William Henry, 39, 50, 54–55, 89, 162, 167, 182, 190, 364; portrait, 166
Macartney, Ld.: mission, 295
Macondray, Frederic W., 166, 254
Maritime Customs Service, 323
Matheson, James, 107, 130, 138, 139, 159, 330
Matheson, Jardine, 89
McGillivray, William, 106
meals, 39
medical care, 25, 98
Megee, Captain William F., 81, 90, 91, 109, 148, 150, 236
metals, 129
Milne, Richard, 84
missionaries, 43–44, 57, 58, 92, 229–31, 240–41, 360; attitudes, 336–37, 338; reaction to Cushing Treaty, 312–17, 322, 323, 326
Missionary Herald, 313
Missouri, USS, steam frigate, 287
mistresses, 49
monetary system, 86–88
Moore, William, 216, 365
Morris, Robert, 65, 146
Morrison & Cryder, 213, 370
Morse, Hosea Ballou, 27, 122, 150
Morss, William Howard, 205, 366, 369
Moses, Joseph, 311
most-favored-nation privileges, 266, 285, 316, 320, 325
Mu-chang-a, 314–15
N. L. & G. Griswold, 168, 171, 236
nankeens, 72
Napier, Lord, 55, 102, 137, 212
Nathan Dunn, 217, 218, 242, 246
Nathan Dunn & Co., 165, 209, 365
nationalities: dress of, 44–45; relations among, 46, 80–83
“New Regulations” for foreigners at Canton, 311
newspapers, 92
Niles Register, 319
Nye, Clement Drew, 366
Nye, Gideon, 242, 366
Nye, Parkin & Co., 366
Nye, Thomas S. H., 366
Offley, David, 114
Oliver, James, 147
Olyphant & Co., 56, 165, 198–209, 220; opposition to opium trade, 207, 310, 366, 369
Olyphant, David, 201, 202, 203, 205, 206, 207, 218, 325, 365, 366
Olyphant & Talbot, 366
opium, 118; attempts to legalize, 118, 131–32; demand for, 117–18; effect of use, 131; opium crisis of 1839–40, 84, 179, 215, 260; poppy picture, 113; price of, 117, 130; production in Turkey, 123–24, 325
opium clippers, 175, 196, 334
opium trade: American and British dependence upon, 205, 278–79, 338; American opposition to, 206, 207, 218; Chinese attempt to end, 131–36; differing views of traders and missionaries, 260; Emily incident, 120–21; growth of, 112–40, 204; hong merchant in, 120; implications, 279, 334–35, 338; Indian, 124, 125, 165, 167; opium traders’ reaction to Cushing Treaty, 312–13, 318, 321, 329, 330–34; profits from, 213, 332–33; risks of, 156; Russell & Co.’s abstention from, 173, 179; statistics, 351, 355–57; suggested policy, 282; Turkish, 114, 116, 118, 123, 126, 128, 151, 154–55, 161, 175
Opium War, 182, 193; American response, 260–63, 270–71, 336
Otadui & Co., 330
“outside man,” 94
Page, Benjamin, 147
Paine, Ann (née Perkins), 368
Paine, Frederick W., 158, 368
Paine, Sarah, 368
Paine, William Fitz, 368
P’an Shih-ch’eng, 294, 300, 305
Panic of 1819, 200
Panic of 1837, 176–77, 208, 213, 369
Parker, Commo. Foxhall A., 285, 294, 318
Parker, Peter, 43, 57, 231, 270–71, 277, 279, 280, 281; association with Cushing, 291, 294, 300, 305, 311, 313
Parkin, William W., 366
Parkman, Susan, 368
Parsees, 45, 58–59
pastimes, 25, 39, 47–51, 80–81, 97, 326–28
Paulding, James Kirk, 263
Peabody, Joseph, 123
Peking: Cushing’s proposed visit to, 302–3, 306
Perit & Cabot, 190
Perit, John W., 191, 365
Perkins, Ann. See Paine
Perkins Brothers (Smyrna), 114
Perkins & Co., 150–62, 164; domination of Turkey trade, 123, 190, 364, 367
Perkins, Colonel Thomas Handasyd, 123, 150, 151, 183, 224, 245, 252, 253, 262, 282, 364, 367, 368
Perkins, Elizabeth. See Sturgis
Perkins, George, 183, 365
Perkins, James, 151, 224, 245, 364, 367
Perkins, Samuel G., 245, 368
Perkins-Sturgis-Cushing alliance, 158.
See also Boston Concern
Perry, USS, brig., 277, 296–97
Peter Parker’s Ophthalmic Hospital, 58, 93
Phillips, John, 126
piggin, 22, 74
Pierce, William (vice consul), 289
pirates, 61, 107
Pitman & French, 157, 364
Pitman, Timothy G., 91, 156, 364
Pottinger, Sir Henry, 139, 267, 285, 292, 297, 319
poverty: among Chinese, 60–61
Praya Grande, 19, 51, 290, picture, 21
price currents, 91–92, 100
price cutting, 100
Prince, Captain Job, 146
printing, 91–93
profits, 83–86, 101, 128
*projet*, 295–96, 300
Puankhequa, 37, 77, 81, 152, 294
Randall, Thomas, 146
Rawle, Duns & Co., 326
Rawle, Samuel, 217, 365
Read, William, 115
real estate, 90
religious services, 25
remittance crisis, 110
reputations: commercial, 97
restrictions on trade, 72–75. See also Eight Regulations
Reynolds, J. N., 122
rice, 128
river life, 62–64
river pilots, 21
Roberts, Edmund, 31
Roberts, Joseph, 196, 198, 366
Russell, Angustus, 228, 370
Russell, George Robert, 120, 151, 190, 191, 365
Russell, Jonathan, 162
Russell, Samuel, 90, 127, 151, 160–61, 162, 224, 228, 248, 249, 364, 369, 370; home, 249; portrait, 163
Russell & Sturgis, 190, 367
Russell, Sturgis & Co., 175, 177, 179, 190–91, 365, 367
Rustomjee, D. & M., 213
Rustomjee, Jeejeebhoy, 59
salaries, 96
Sampson, George R., 206
Samuel Russell & Co., 162, 364
Scott, 199
secrecy, 100–101
servants, 36, 96
“Shanghai Mind,” 335, 340
Shaw, Major Samuel, 39, 80, 143, 230, 368
Shaw, Robert Gould, 195, 368
Sherry, 301–2
Shillaber, John, 330
shipbuilding, 91
shipping regulations, 24
Shroff, 107
silk trade, 71–72
silver trade. See specie trade
Sino-Portuguese Treaty, 2 November 1749, 292
Slade, John, 92
slavery, 288
Smith, Adam, 335
Smith, Thomas H., 146, 165, 199, 200, 201
smuggling, 41, 112, 118, 119, 122–23, 125, 128, 129–31, 132, 133, 157, 170, 204; Chinese government attempts to eliminate, 120–21, 131–36. See also opium trade, Chinese attempt to end
Smyrna, picture, 114
Snow, Peter Wanton, 41, 150, 280
index 495

Snow, Samuel, 39–41, 90, 147, 148, 236; country seat, 248; portrait, 40
social hierarchy: Chinese, 78
specie trade, 106–8, 109, 110, 111; “chopped” dollars, 87; statistics, 358–63
speculation, 89–90
Spooner, Daniel N., 183, 365
St. Louis, USS, frigate, 288, 296–97
Stansbury, Daniel, 150
Stith, Griffin, 120
Sturgis, Ann Cushing, 157
Sturgis, Elizabeth (née Perkins), 368
Sturgis, George W., 156, 364, 367
Sturgis, Henry, 364, 367
Sturgis, Henry Parkman, 120, 151, 156, 190, 191, 365
Sturgis, James P., 51, 55, 90, 119, 156, 157, 165, 166, 242, 264, 364, 367
Sturgis, Josiah, 130, 367
Sturgis, Lucretia, 155
Sturgis, Nathaniel Russell, 367, 368
Sturgis, Robert Shaw, 367
Sturgis, Russell, Jr., 150, 155, 183–84, 185, 191, 219, 240, 365, 369; picture, 185
Sturgis, Russell, Sr., 367, 368
Sturgis, William, 128, 151, 156, 160, 234, 236, 237, 240, 367, 378
subsidiary business, 86–93, 326
supercargo, 143
Supplementary Treaty of Bogue, 267, 285, 292, 317
Sword, John Dorsey, 146, 326
Talbot, Charles Nicoll, 199, 201–2, 206, 207, 237, 240, 365, 366, 369
Talbot, George W., 369
Talbot, Olyphant & Co., 202, 205
Talbot, William R., 208, 366
tea: chests, 42; damage to, 98–99; picture of packing, 99; processing of, 68–70; season, 93–94; types of, 67
tea tasters, 65, 326, 327
tea trade, 65–71; fraud, 70; picture, 69; statistics, 353–54; tariff racket, 200–1, 212
Teng, T’ing-chien, 132, 204
Terranova affair. See Emily incident
textiles, 129
Thomas H. Smith & Sons, 365
Thomson, Edward, 146, 157, 158, 165, 200
Tilden, Bryant P., 36, 81, 146
Tilton, E. G., 297
Treaty of Nanking, 73, 129, 140, 260, 265, 266, 267, 288, 289; general regulations, 272, 323, 333
Treaty of Wanghia, 11. See also Cushing Treaty
treaty port culture, 322, 326–28, 334
treaty ports, 266, 324–25
treaty tariff, 289, 320
trucking, 107
T’ung-lin, 301, 305
Tyler, John, 267, 272, 273
“unequal treaties,” 305, 318, 319, 322
Union Club, 48
van Braam-Houckgeest, Andreas Everardus, 41, 147, 248
verbal contracts, 95
Wang, Ch’ing-lien, 132
Wang-hsia: temple at, 300
Ward, Thomas Wren, 111, 170
Webster, Daniel, 263, 270, 271, 272, 273, 279–80; adopts merchants’ view of opium policy, 284, 302; instructs Cushing, 283–85; policy, 284, 302; portrait, 274
Webster, Fletcher, 31, 277, 285, 286, 290, 292, 300, 305
Wen-feng, 293
Wetmore & Co., 171, 204, 209–17, 218, 219, 220, 310, 365
Wetmore & Cryder, 217, 370
Wetmore, Esther, 370
Wetmore & Hoppin, 370
Wetmore, Josiah F., 370
Wetmore, Mary Wright. See Cryder, John
Wetmore, Samuel, 85, 210, 369, 370
Wetmore, Samuel, Jr., 212, 215, 216, 217, 225, 365, 370
Wetmore, William Shepherd, 209, 210, 212, 213, 215, 216, 217, 219, 224–25, 283, 325, 365, 370; Château-sur-Mer, 250; picture, 211
Wetmore, Willard, 370
Whampoa, 21; picture, 25
Whitall, Joseph, 170
Whittlesey family, 369
Whittlesey, Luch. See Alsop, Joseph
Wilcocks, Benjamin Chew, 41–43, 52, 54, 82, 89, 90, 115, 119, 120, 124, 125, 126, 144, 150, 151, 157, 207, 241, 242–43, 366; portrait, 42
Wilcocks, James, 115, 150
Williams, Samuel Wells, 44, 57, 74, 92, 158–59, 241
Williamson, James I., 115
women at the factories, 49–50, 75, 311
Wood, William W., 55–56, 92, 173
Woodmas & Offley, Smyrna, 114
woolens, 129
work hours, 94