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PROBLEMS WITH PLAN INTERPRETATION: SOME EXAMPLES

Consider the following stories about town planning which could happen in real life.

STORY 1

Mr Lee is a newly appointed solicitor trainee and an intended home-buyer for a new flat in a large private residential development with good sea-view. He has signed a non-binding preliminary sale and purchase agreement with the developer in a pre-sale under the consent scheme. His colleagues have heard rumours that the sea-view of his flat would soon be ‘upgraded’ by a panoramic view of the entire reclamation process and the future use of the reclamation is unknown. Besides, there would be a funeral parlour on a vacant site adjoining the development. Mr Chan has heard about town plans, but he does not remember the exact names of the relevant type of plans in English or Chinese.

Mr Lee goes immediately to the public inquiry section of the Planning Department where he is given an excellent reception. This reception begins with a simple form-filling exercise, followed by Mr Chan expressing his concerns and opinions about statutory protection of sea-views, while the officer at the reception keeps asking him courteously about the location of his property.

At last, they work out the relevant Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) in which his property is located. As time for a lawyer is precious, he requests for the purchase of a copy of the OZP, as he believes that it is a product of legislation and hence should be available to the public.
The officer at the Planning Department explains to him that their office does not sell plans but he can buy the OZP from the map sale office of Lands Development on a different floor of the Government Office Building. Mr Lee is a bit angry but nothing can be done about that. He then rushes to the map sale office on a different floor and tells the officer there that he wants to buy an OZP.

The officer attending the counter asks Mr Lee for the reference number of the OZP, which he forgets to jot down in a hurry. He utters the name of the area in which his property is located, trusting that this would help the officer locate the plan. The officer is very friendly and presents him an OZP bearing the district name he has just given. However, that plan does not look like the one he has just seen in the Planning Department. With the help of this officer, Mr Lee works out that the correct plan is the one which adjoins the wrong one.

On payment of the fee, Mr Lee obtains the OZP he needs. It is in fact a bundle of paper, consisting of a map and a set of A4-size bilingual documents, which are stapled together.

When Mr Lee reads the bundle, he checks the zoning of his property. He finds that the zone concerned is indicated as Residential (Group A) (R(A)) on the map in the stapled documents. Mr Lee feels assured as there is no indication of any future reclamation on the plan. However, he is disturbed when he finds that in the ‘Notes’ of the stapled documents, the item ‘funeral parlour’ appears in one of the columns for the Government/Institution/Community (G/IC) zone, adjoining the estate in which he is going to live.

Mr Lee wonders when the funeral parlour will be built. After reading the ‘Explanatory Statement’ attached to the ‘Notes’ (the former is expressly stated to be a ‘part of the plan’ and the latter is expressly stated not forming ‘part of the plan’), Mr Lee is surprised to find that in another ‘G/IC’ zone that abuts a local access road, one secondary school and one primary school will be built ‘upon full development’.

The questions are:
1. Will Mr Lee’s unit lose any sea-view due to reclamation? (See Chapters 2 and 4.)
2. When will the funeral parlour be constructed? (See Chapters 2 and 4.)
3. When will the two primary schools be built? (See Chapters 2 and 4.)
4. What does it mean by ‘upon full development’? (See Chapter 4.)

STORY 2

Miss Wong, an accountant, has purchased a flat next to Mr Lee’s flat. After moving into her flat, she realizes from a signpost that the developer is developing a ‘promenade’ along the coast and a small structure is being erected in a position right below the window of the master-room. After making inquires with the property management, she realizes that the structure is a
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public toilet which, upon completion, will be given with the promenade to the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (formerly the Urban Services Department). By checking the OZP borrowed from Mr Lee, her next-door neighbour, Miss Wong learns that the promenade is zoned ‘Open Space’ in the OZP.

Miss Wong is not pleased with the construction of a public toilet near a new residential development. She regards the toilet as being visually, environmentally and aesthetically intrusive, and it is incompatible with housing development. She also fears that the toilet will be a meeting place for socially undesirable people. Accordingly, she writes to the Secretary for Planning, Environment and Lands, the boss of the Director of Planning, complaining about the poor planning of the planners responsible.

The questions are:

(5) Why is the developer so generous in constructing a toilet for the convenience of the public near his or her own development? (See Chapter 4.)

(6) Who is responsible for the actual planning of a promenade as such? (See Chapter 4.)

(7) What are the relevant planning law and policy issues involved in Miss Wong’s complaint? (See Chapters 4 and 6.)

STORY 3

Mr Man is an indigenous villager who runs a storage yard. He wishes to construct a ‘small home’ on a piece of ancestral land (the house site) given to him by his grandfather who has recently passed away. He intends to use the house as his matrimonial home. Advised by his uncle living in the same village, Mr Man goes to the District Land Office and discusses his plan with a Land Executive. The officer informs him that the house site is zoned ‘Green Belt’ in the OZP and a small house, being a house, is a land-use item that requires planning permission. Mr Man visits the Planning Department’s public inquiry section and obtains the requisite application forms, a set of Town Planning Board Guidelines for development in Green Belts as well as guidance notes for application in the rural New Territories. All documents are free of charge.

Mr Man knows very little English. The documents he receives are written in both English and Chinese, but he does not quite understand the meaning of many sentences in Chinese as they are replete with technical terms.

Henry is a nephew of Mr Man’s; he visits him and tells Mr Man how he has successfully applied for selling small houses by a developer, who has purchased the ‘Small House Rights’ of Henry and others. Henry tells Mr Man that he needs to instruct an architect to make the planning application, as there are too many types of technical assessment that must be carried out in order to support his application. Mr Man asks Henry about the fees involved.
Henry quotes a rough figure, which is almost as big as the estate duty paid for his grandfather's legacy.

The questions are:

8. Is there any need for Mr Man to instruct a professional to submit the planning application? (See Chapter 4.)

9. Is there any need for an applicant to submit any supporting document for the application? (See Chapter 4.)

10. What should be included in a supporting document? (See Chapter 4.)

11. If Mr Man would like to instruct an experienced professional to make the planning application, where can he find such a person? (See Chapter 4.)

STORY 4

While the same Mr Man in Story 3 is wondering how to proceed with his small home application, his mates at the storage yard tell him that some government officials who took photographs of his storage site a few weeks ago have come back and posted a bilingual notice on the gate.

There is a phone number from the Planning Department on the notice. Mr Man rings the Planning Department for further inquiries. An officer answers the phone and after 30 minutes of questioning, Mr Man fails to understand the problems with his site. So he makes an appointment with the Planning Department officer to see her in her office. The office is near the Kwai Fong MTR station. Outside the meeting-room, Mr Man sees some large government posters. On them are colourful photographs of storage sites in the New Territories. He thinks that they are promoting container trade in Hong Kong as he went to an exhibition about the port and airport project some years ago.

In the meeting, the officer is accompanied by another officer, who is quite busy writing notes on a note pad. They inform Mr Man that his site is an 'unauthorized use', which must be discontinued. Mr Man tells the officers that his income depends on the yard, and that his yard employs 25 otherwise unemployed migrants from the Mainland. Besides, his marriage also relies on this yard. The officers ignore his submission and point out clearly that they are duty-bound to prosecute those who unlawfully destroy the environment of the New Territories. They also tell him that the government has published this on the television and posters.

Mr Man becomes very angry and accuses the officers of sabotaging the economy of Hong Kong and adding problems to the Chief Executive's administration. The officers politely reply that this is a matter of law and that their notice does not mean 'the end of the world' to Mr Man because he can choose from one of the following possible solutions: (1) cease his present operation and revert the yard to farming, perhaps, which in any event is up to him; (2) apply for planning permission for his present use; (3) defend
himself rigorously in court, as Mr Man insists that he ‘has done nothing wrong’.

Mr Man righteously says that he has operated the storage yard since the early 1980s, when certain government public works in the vicinity of the yard discontinued irrigation water supply from a stream. One of the officers reminds Mr Man to tell his story about the site to the judge. Mr Man leaves the meeting-room angrily.

Back home, Mr Man is very upset as he feels that his plan to build a matrimonial home and his business are both going to be ruined because of the Planning Department. He feels even angrier about the ‘story’ label attached to the true history of his site. He wonders why highly educated people can invent some legislation to punish a decent businessman who simply wants to make a living by engaging in works that university graduates would not do.

For those with legal training, this story raises the following questions:

(13) What are the strong points of Mr Man’s case if his site has really existed since the early 1980s, which can be proved? (See Chapter 5.)
(14) What are the weak points of Mr Man’s case? (See Chapter 5.)

We shall not deal with this part of Story 4 in this book, as a lay person will find it a most unfortunate event to run into the tax collector, the undertaker or the lawyer, and would try his or her very best to avoid them. This time it is the lawyer whom Mr Man may consider to instruct. As law in Hong Kong is very precious (expensive), Mr Man must be either resourceful enough to pay for legal service, or has to obtain legal aid.

To make life easier for Mr Man, let us assume that somehow Mr Man obtains a three-year planning permission to continue his operation.

The question then is:

(15) What are the implications of this three-year permission? (See Chapter 5.)

STORY 5

Mrs Tam buys a unit in a multi-storey factory building in East Kowloon through an estate agent who has told her that she can operate a manufacturing plant therein. After completion of the purchase, she rents the unit to a friend, Mrs Mo.

One day, Mrs Tam receives a letter from the Lands Department stating that (a) the use of her property is office use and it is in breach of the user condition of the lease; (b) she needs to discontinue the unauthorized use and pay a ‘forbearance fee’ until the unauthorized use is discontinued or a planning permission is obtained from the Town Planning Board.

Mrs Tam immediately consults her lawyers, contemplating the termination of the tenancy of her friend, who insists that ‘there is nothing wrong with using some floor space as office’. The reason Mrs Tam relies on is that the tenancy agreement expressly states that the tenant should not carry out any unauthorized use that is in breach of the lease or any ordinance.
The questions are:
(16) Why is planning permission mentioned in the Lands Department's letter? (See Chapter 5.)
(17) What are the possible justifications on which her friend would rely to defend her rights? (See Chapter 5.)
(18) What is the relationship between lease conditions and plans prepared by the Town Planning Board? (See Chapter 5.)

WHAT KIND OF PROFESSIONALS SHOULD A DEVELOPER CONSULT IN RESPECT OF TOWN PLANNING MATTERS?

As a matter of prudence, the developer or his/her legal advisors should consult a Registered Professional Planner before instructing other professionals in respect of making planning applications and other general planning matters. This will not only save unnecessary and abortive costs, but will also help fulfil duties of due diligence owed to clients.

Inexperienced landowners often rely exclusively on their solicitors, architects or surveyors to explore planning matters. The reason is that they are the only professionals they are aware of or familiar with. Unless these solicitors or architects have experience with town planning, it is not unlikely that costs would be wasted on unnecessary or even counterproductive works.

Examples which the author have seen in practice include: (a) making inquiries as to whether a 'Column 1' use requires planning permission; (b) submitting a set of detailed building plans to the Town Planning Board; (c) making a deed poll for a premises when it is subject to lease enforcement; and (d) conducting a cadastral survey for land that is subject to planning enforcement.

The reality is that town planning is often ignored, underplayed or diluted in the professional education of lawyers, architects and surveyors. Of course, the reverse may be said about the education of planners.

WHY MUST A SURVEYOR KNOW ABOUT TOWN PLANNING?

An estate surveyor must understand town planning because of at least six reasons:
(a) In a valuation exercise, there is a need to interpret not only the user and building covenants in the lease, but also the effects of the provisions of statutory plans on the covenants and various types of notices issued under the Town Planning Ordinance.
(b) In ascertaining the possibility for lease modification or short-term waiver, there is a need to interpret not only the user and building covenants in the lease, but also the effects of the provisions of statutory plans on the covenants as well as the implications of administrative zoning.
(c) A surveyor can make planning applications. In processing a planning application, review or appeal to a change in use, there is a need to understand the provisions of the Town Planning Ordinance.

(d) In negotiating compensation payment in resumption, there is a need to consider any relevant development plan.

(e) In processing conveyancing matters for property in the rural New Territories, there is a need to appreciate the nature and implications of enforcement notices under the Town Planning Ordinance as encumbrances.

(f) In processing lease enforcement matters of a site, there is a need to appreciate the legal and valuation implications of statutory plans applicable to the site.

WHY DOES A LAWYER NEED TO KNOW ABOUT TOWN PLANNING?

A lawyer — either a solicitor or barrister — needs to know about town planning because of the following reasons:

(a) In the conveyancing of units in a property, there is a need for a solicitor to check the user and this involves the interpretation of ‘lease conditions’, i.e., covenants in government leases and conditions in grant, exchange, etc., and the Deed of Mutual Covenants (DMC) in the light of any statutory town plans and planning conditions (such as the requirements and stipulations of a Master Layout Plan (MLP), which may or may not be incorporated as part of the lease or the DMC) affecting the building and its environment (e.g. reclamation/highway/drainage channel projects).

(b) In the conveyancing of a site there is a need for a solicitor to check the user. This involves the interpretation of lease conditions and the DMC in the light of any statutory town plans and planning conditions (such as the requirements for a Master Layout Plan (MLP), which may or may not be incorporated as part of the lease; an Environmental Impact Assessment; and other impact assessments) affecting the building and its environment (e.g. reclamation/highway/drainage channel projects). The solicitor also needs to check the site classification and plot ratios for the site under the Buildings Ordinance as may be affected by a statutory town plan.

(c) In processing conveyancing matters for property in the rural New Territories subject to a past or present Interim Development Permission Area Plans, there is a need for a solicitor to appreciate the nature and implications of enforcement notices under the Town Planning Ordinance as encumbrances.

(d) In handling litigation involving defeasibility of titles in conveyancing, a solicitor/barrister should appreciate the implications of the non-fulfilment or breach of the provisions of the Town Planning Ordinance or planning conditions.

(e) In negotiating compensation payment in resumption, there is a need for a lawyer to consider any relevant development plan.

(f) In processing lease enforcement matters of a site, there is a need for a
lawyer to appreciate the legal implications of statutory plans applicable to the site.

(g) A solicitor can help lodge in planning applications, or be involved in matters arising from them. In processing a planning application, review or appeal to a change in use, there is a need to understand the provisions of the Town Planning Ordinance.

(h) A solicitor acting for a conveyancing purchaser in exercising due diligence regarding latent defects of the property needs to visit the property before completion with the relevant experts and documents. The documents should include the applicable statutory town plans, occupation permits and approved building plans.

(i) In exercising due diligence for a client in the acquisition of a company holding land assets, a solicitor needs to understand the effects of amendment to the zoning of the applicable statutory town plans and/or results of planning applications upon the value of the land assets.

(j) A barrister may be instructed to represent parties to (i) a planning appeal before the Appeal Board (and further appeals to the court); (ii) an enforcement action under the Town Planning Ordinance and/or magistracy appeals (and further appeals to the court); or (iii) a building appeal which involves issues of the contravention of statutory town plans.

To minimize the chance for making negligent statements, it is advisable for solicitors to consult a Registered Professional Planner before offering advice in respect of planning matters.

WHY MUST AN AUTHORIZED PERSON KNOW ABOUT TOWN PLANNING?

An Authorized Person (AP), who may be an architect, a structural engineer or a building surveyor, must understand planning for at least three reasons:

(a) An AP can make planning applications, or be involved in matters arising from planning applications. In processing a planning application, review or appeal to a change in use, there is a need to understand not only fundamental planning principles, but also the provisions of the Town Planning Ordinance.

(b) In the preparation of a building submission to the Building Authority, there is a need for the AP to ascertain the user and this involves the interpretation of lease conditions in the light of any statutory town plans and planning conditions — assuming that planning permission has been granted (such as the requirements for a Master Layout Plan (MLP), which may or may not be incorporated as part of the lease; an Environmental Impact Assessment; and other impact assessments) affecting the building and its environment (e.g. reclamation/highway/drainage channel projects).
(c) In the preparation of a building submission to the Building Authority, there is a need for the AP to ascertain the site classification and plot ratios for the site under the Buildings Ordinance as they may be affected by a statutory town plan.

WHY DOES A PERSON CONCERNED WITH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION NEED TO KNOW ABOUT PLANNING?

A person who is keen on environmental protection and ecology must understand town planning because various types of town plans have different environmental protection implications in terms of either forward planning or development control (notably planning applications and impositions of planning conditions), which may or may not reinforce the environmental protection clauses in the lease and/or specific environmental legislation.

WHY DOES A HOME-BUYER OR INVESTOR NEED TO KNOW ABOUT TOWN PLANNING?

A home-buyer or a property investor needs to know more about town planning before making a purchase decision because of any of the reasons below:

(a) A home-buyer must be able to interpret various types of town plans and their interrelationships so as to ascertain the present and future environment of the property. The common types of questions are: Would present sea-views or 'garden views' be protected in future? What exactly will be built by the government in the 'Government/Institution and Community' (G/IC) zones? Would there be a funeral parlour or a church be built? When exactly will such facilities be built? What would happen to 'Unspecified' zones?

(b) A home-buyer or investor must understand the town planning system and procedures in order to make a proposal or an objection to various types of town plans to protect or further his or her interest.

(c) An indigenous villager who wishes to erect a small house or use land for any other higher-value use must understand the provisions of any statutory town plans, especially those originated from Interim Development Permission Area Plans.

(d) A property investor who is interested in buying or has already acquired land in the New Territories must understand the provisions of any statutory town plans, especially those originated from Interim Development Permission Area Plans.

It should be noted that the Sales Descriptions of Uncompleted Residential Properties Bill (April 2000) proposes that the sale brochure must contain the reference numbers of the latest building plans and town plans prepared under
the Buildings Ordinance and the Town Planning Ordinance respectively, and that these plans are available for free inspection at the developer's office and sale office.
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STUDY AND RESEARCH GUIDES

As regards the history, system and procedures on 'town planning' (the meaning of town planning is discussed in Chapters 2 and 3) in Hong Kong, there are a few useful doorknockers.

It is highly recommended for beginners to skip through the official publication Planning Hong Kong: 50th Anniversary 1947–1997 (The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government Planning Department, 1998), provided that they can still obtain copies from the government or public libraries. This work states the vision and mission statement of the Planning Department, a brief review of town planning in Hong Kong from the post-World War II years to the date of publication, matters concerning implementation of town plans, achievements of planners, and issues for shaping the future development of Hong Kong.

In 1947, Sir Patrick Abercrombie visited Hong Kong in order to write a planning report for Hong Kong. This report, referred as the Abercrombie
Report (Abercrombie in fact is the author of a number of major planning reports for the UK and Commonwealth countries) henceforth, was commissioned by the Colonial Office under the Colonial Development and Welfare Scheme of 1945. Government town planning in Hong Kong today is still heavily influenced by the mentality set out in the Abercrombie report, and the vocabulary used in it (Lai, 1999a).

The origin of town planning in Hong Kong in a modern sense in fact went back to 1842 (see Appendix), although the first ordinance bearing the name ‘Town Planning’ was only introduced in 1939. Sixteen years after the introduction of the Town Planning Ordinance in 1939, the first statutory town plan was published in the gazette. The plan was for Yau Ma Tei, Kowloon. This does not mean that there had been no planning activities in the colony prior to this plan. In fact, town planning was initially and mainly governed by covenants in Crown leases and was further restricted by building laws. The first allocation of leased land took place in 1842. The overlapping of functions of the land, buildings and planning authorities regarding planning is an important and practical dimension of valuation and development in Hong Kong (Lai and Ho, 2000). Administrative town planning often precedes statutory town planning (Bristow, 1984; Lai, 1998a). The implication of various types of administrative and statutory town plans within a context of leasehold land tenure is another important dimension that the practitioner must understand. Chapters 4 and 5 address these issues.

For a historical study of planning in Hong Kong from 1842 to 1984, see Bristow's Land-Use Planning in Hong Kong (1984) and Pryor's Housing in Hong Kong (1984). Although Bristow's book was published more than 15 years ago and is therefore outdated, it remains an authoritative work in the local planning literature as it documents the evolution of the planning system in Hong Kong up until the early 1980s. Bristow's work has been out of print since the late 1980s. It is incumbent on local academicians in the planning arena to produce an updated work of comparable quality to plug the gap in the history of planning in Hong Kong for the last two decades. This gap has only been partially filled by occasional official publications. Good examples of these publications are Town Planning in Hong Kong (Hong Kong Government Buildings and Lands Department, 1988); Town Planning in Hong Kong: A Quick Reference (Hong Kong Government Planning Department, 1995) and Planning Hong Kong: 50th Anniversary 1947-1997 (The SAR Government Planning Department, 1998). The first two books are now out of print. Of these two publications, the second is more colourful in presentation, but it does not give as much information as its predecessor regarding either development control by government leases or sufficient details of town plans.

1. Professor Bristow's book is now under revision.
An economic analysis of the nature of zoning in Hong Kong can be found in *Zoning and Property Rights: A Hong Kong Case Study* (Lai, 1998b). Note that zoning in Hong Kong has the origin of racial segregation, now universally condemned, in the name of 'Reservation' or 'Residence' ordinances. The relevant legislation was all repealed in 1946, with the return of the British administration to Hong Kong after the surrender of Japan. Such legislation and the reasons involved have seldom been mentioned in Chinese or English publications on the history, politics or public administration of Hong Kong, not to mention town planning.

Introduced at a time when ghettos for Jews could be found in many 'civilized countries' in Europe and when a few states in the United States also passed zoning legislation to keep Chinese immigrants out, such zoning measures were commonly found in British colonies (Home, 1997). However, they were not made during the rule of Sir Frederick J. D. Lugard, Governor of Hong Kong (July 1907 to March 1912) and the founder of the University of Hong Kong as 'the Oxford and Cambridge of the Far East', though Lugard himself was a champion of racial segregation in Nigeria where he also served as governor (Home, 1997).

The point about segregation is not 'nationalism' or 'human rights' *per se*. Racial segregation and prejudice is part of the history of Hong Kong, and is an interesting research area. It is theoretically interesting as discriminatory laws were removed without involving mass violence or protracted political agitation.

As regards the actual operation of the statutory planning, the Town Planning Board has published annual reports since 1990, and the Appeal Board has also published 18 of its decisions. Proposed legal reforms are contained in three important documents, namely *Comprehensive Review of the Town Planning Ordinance* (Hong Kong Government Planning, Environment and Lands Branch, 1991); *Consultation Paper on the Town Planning Bill* (Hong Kong Government Planning, Environment and Lands Branch, 1996) and *Town Planning Bill* (2000). [Read these three documents carefully and see if and how they throw light on the stories in this chapter.] Peter Cookson Smith's *Town Planning Procedures* (1997) is also a convenient starting point.

A critical analysis of statutory planning can be found in *Town Planning in Hong Kong: A Critical Review* (Lai, 1999c) and a comparative analysis of 50 unreported planning appeal cases in *Town Planning in Hong Kong: A Review of Planning Appeal Decisions* (Lai, 1999d). The former is policy-oriented from the stance of laissez-faire economics and property rights while the latter is an analysis of the decision rules of appeal cases. For the practitioner, the latter is the only available work dedicated to planning appeal, and indeed key planning application decisions in Hong Kong.

In addition to the works cited above, the reader may find the journal of the Hong Kong Institute of Planners (HKIP), *Planning and Development*, a useful source of ideas, issues and facts about planning in Hong Kong and in general. Contact the editors of the journal for subscription (PO Box 98341 Tsim Sha Tsui).
Researchers should also consult major planning journals, such as *Progress in Planning, Town Planning Review* and *Environment and Planning* for academic and practice papers about town planning in Hong Kong. These publications can be found in libraries of most universities in Hong Kong.

There is no systematic work on planning practice in Hong Kong other than the Chinese publication of Lo and Chan (1998), which has a number of self-imposed limitations. This book is a modest attempt to provide alternative starting points specifically for practitioners and students who aspire to become practitioners using an international language.

The reader is also urged to consult the bibliography section in *Socio-Economic Development and Urban Development in Hong Kong*, edited by Anthony Gar-on Yeh (1999). It provides systematic starting points for locating research materials on various dimensions of town planning in Hong Kong. The reader should also use electronic resources of universities to attain more updated research outputs of the active researchers identified.

The sole tertiary education of town planners in Hong Kong for accreditation by the HKIP is provided by the Centre of Urban Planning and Environmental Management at the University of Hong Kong. Planning graduates from other countries need to pass requisite examinations to become a member of the HKIP. Members of the HKIP may be statutorily registered as Registered Professional Planners (RPP) by the Planners Registration Board. Members of the HKIP are entitled to vote as members of a functional constituency in Legislative Council elections.

**QUESTIONS**

The following questions require no prior background in town planning. Try to answer them quickly according to your best judgement and revise your answers, if necessary, after you have finished reading this book and reference materials.

1. What are the functions of government town planning in Hong Kong? Name four.
2. What are the benefits of town planning? Name five.
3. Discuss whether government town planning in Hong Kong is successful.
4. What are the educational and professional qualifications required to practise town planning in Hong Kong?
INDEX

LAW/PLANNING APPEAL CASES

Active Keen Ltd. v Smart Business Ltd. [1999] 84, 216
AG v Tang Yuen Lin Magistracy Appeal No. 1300 of 1994 216
AG v Tong Iu [1968] HKLR 60 3 34, 216
Attorney General v Firebird Ltd. [1983] 1 HKC 1, PC 80, 84, 192, 216
Attorney General v Melhado Investment Ltd. [1983] HKLR 327 84, 216
Auburntown Ltd. v Town Planning Board HCMP No. 222 of 1993 216
Cavendish Property Development Ltd. v AG and Another (High Court Miscellaneous Proceedings No. 762 of 1987) 84, 216
CC Tse (Estate) Ltd. v AG HCMP 604/81 84, 216
Croset Ltd. v AG HCMP 409/73 84, 206, 216
Delight World Co. Ltd. v Town Planning Appeal Board [1996] MP No. 197 of 1996 75, 84, 216
Delight World Co. v Town Planning Appeal Board [1997] MP No. 197 of 1996 216
Donald Shields (No. 2) v Mary Chan [1972] HKLR 121 84, 216
Head Step Ltd. v Building Authority [1995] Civil Appeal No. 131 of 1995 75, 84, 216
Henderson Real Estate Agency Ltd. v Lo Chai Wan (for and on behalf of Town Planning Board) [1997] HKLRD 258 84, 94, 216
Hinge Well Co. Ltd. v AG [1998] HKLR 32 84, 216
Keen Lloyd Limited and Cheung Kam Tong v AG [1996] Magistracy Appeal No. 266 99, 188, 216
Kwan Kong Company Ltd. v Town Planning Board [1996] HKPLR 237 75, 85, 216
Lai Sun Development Case (no. 12/94) 196
Lam Kwok Leung v AG [1978] HKLR 145 13, 98, 216
Mexx Consolidated (Far East) Ltd. v Attorney General and Another (High Court Miscellaneous Proceedings No. 2421 of 1986 [1987] HKLR 1210–1220 85, 216
Legislation

Bill of Rights Ordinance
Article 10 84
Building (Administration) Regulations 193
Building (Planning) Regulations 193
Building Management Ordinance 13, 99
Building Regulations 193
Buildings and Nuisances Ordinance 192
Buildings Ordinance 9, 11, 12, 61, 64, 67, 75, 77, 79, 193, 206
s. 16 (1)(g) 67, 68
Buildings Ordinance (Application to the New Territories) Ordinance 193

Cheung Chau (Residence) Ordinance 13, 90, 98
Closed Houses and Insanity Dwelling Ordinance 192
Conveyancing and Property Ordinance 13
Country and Marine Parks Ordinance 63, 71
Country Parks Ordinance 75, 194
Crown Land Resumption Ordinance 191, 206

Distress for Rents Ordinance 191

Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance 83
Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 No. 203, New South Wales 98
European District Reservation Ordinance 191, 192, 194

Hill District Reservation Ordinance 13, 90, 98, 192, 193, 194
Housing Ordinance 63, 193

Insanitary Property Ordinance 192
Interpretation and General Clauses Ordinance 207

Niceboard D. Ltd. v China Light and Power Co. Ltd. [1994] HKDCLR 69 85, 216
R. v Town Planning Board ex parte Real Estate Developers’ Association of Hong Kong MP 2457 of 1995 216
Raider Ltd. v Secretary for Justice CACV 115/1999 (judgment on 7 December 1999) 85, 216
Regina v Helen Transportation Co. Ltd., Liu Ka Sing and Chan Yuk Kwan Magistracy Appeal No. 303 of 1995 99, 216
Regina v Power Straight Ltd., Dragon Friend Ltd. Magistracy Appeal No. 644 of 1995 99, 216
Regina v Tang Ying Yip and Yeung Fook Mui Magistracy Appeal No. 864 of 1994 99, 217
Regina v Way Luck Industrial Ltd. Magistracy Appeal No. 1396 of 1994 99, 217
Rita Enterprises Company Ltd. v District Land Officer [1996] HKC 410 217


Tse Kwei King & Cheung Kam v AG MP No. 1509 of 1993 99, 217

Wah Yick Enterprises Co. Ltd. v Building Authority FACV No. 12 of 1998 85, 217
Watford Construction Co. v Secretary for the New Territories[1978] HKLR 410, CA 85, 217
Wing On Ltd. and Wing On Property and Securities Ltd. v Building Authority MP 1279 of 1996 85, 189, 217
Land (Compulsory Sale for Redevelopment) Ordinance 83
Land Registration Ordinance 13, 83
Land Resumption Ordinance 206
Landlord and Tenancy Ordinance 86
Landlord and Tenant (Consolidation) Amendment Bill amendment to 192
Landlord and Tenant Ordinance 191
Land Development Corporation Ordinance 192, 194
Lands Resumption Ordinance 75

Marine Fish Culture Ordinance 194

New South Wales Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 198
New South Wales Planning and Assessment Act of 1979 89

Peak District (Residence) Ordinance 13, 90, 98, 192, 194
Peak District Reservation Ordinance 193
Prevention of Bribery Ordinance 92
Protection of the Harbour Ordinance 51
Public Health and Buildings Amendment Ordinance 192
Public Health and Buildings Ordinance 192, 193
Public Health Ordinance 192, 193, 194
Public Order and Health Amendment Ordinance 194

Rent Increases (Domestic Premises) Control Ordinance 191
Rent Ordinance 191

Sales Description of Uncompleted Residential Properties Bill April 2000 11, 192, 194
Summary Offences Ordinance 192

Tai Ping Shan Resumption Ordinance 191, 192, 194
Town Planning Bill 30, 32, 74, 194
Town Planning Bill (1996) xi, 100 Consultative Paper on 95
Town Planning Bill (January 2000) xi, 15, 75, 91, 96, 188

Town Planning Ordinance 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 24, 25, 26, 30, 63, 65, 67, 71, 75, 77, 83, 98, 194, 196, 202, 205, 207
1990 amendment 71
Comprehensive Review of 15 section 4A(3) 116

ORGANIZATIONS/GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS/INSTITUTIONS

Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department 71
Architectural Services Department 62

Building Appeal Tribunal 206
Building Authority 63, 64, 85, 189
Buildings Department 63

Centre of Urban Planning and Environmental Management 16
Chief Executive in Council 204
Colonial Office 14
Committee on Research and Conference Grant (CRCG) xi
Country and Marine Park Authority 63
Country and Marine Parks Board 71, 83

Department of Justice 75
District Board 100
District Land Office 5, 53
Officer 85

Environmental Resource Management 50, 190, 210
Executive Council 194

Hinge Marketing Limited 50, 190, 211
Hong Kong Government 28
Hong Kong Government (Colonial) Secretariat 211
Hong Kong Government Buildings and Lands Department 31, 211
Hong Kong Government Planning Department 4, 12, 31, 50, 62, 71, 96, 105, 194, 211
Planning Information and Technical Administration (PITA) Unit 105
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTLINE ZONING PLANS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colony Outline Plan 202, 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft Cheung Sha Wan Outline Zoning Plan No. S/K5/14 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft Kau Lung Hang Outline Zoning Plan No. S/NE-KL 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft Mid-Levels West Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H1 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft North Point Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H8/7 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft Sai Ying Pun and Sheung Wan Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H3/11 134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft Tai Po Outline Zoning Plan No. S/TP/9 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong Outline Plan 202, 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kowloon Tong Outline Zoning Plan No. S/K18/3 169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma On Shan Outline Zoning Plan No. S/MOS/5 139, 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Outline Zoning Plan (S/H14/2) 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheung Wan and Sai Ying Pun Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H3/10 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong Nai Chung Outline Zoning Plan No. S/H7/4 164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEOPLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abercrombie, Sir Patrick 13, 14, 18, 30, 35, 50, 194, 208, 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ackoff, Russell, L. 73, 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander, Ernest R. 30, 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alterman, R. 97, 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barlow, J. 97, 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barron, William 50, 190, 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop, Paul L. 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bracken, Ian 50, 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristow, Roger 12, 14, 74, 209, 210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Index

Burke, Gerald  32, 50, 210
Burton, John  29, 32, 210

Chadwick, Osbert 192
Chan, Bosco Pui Lok  xi, 201
Chan, Christine Suk Han  xiii
Chan, L. T.  12, 16, 31, 212
Chan, L. W. Cecilia  97, 210
Cherry, Gordon  32, 34, 210
Cheung, S. N. S.  210
Christ 34
Chui, Ernst, W. T.  97, 99, 210
Clapp, James A.  32, 210
Coase, Ronald H.  32, 35, 207, 210
Cook, H. S. Alison  50, 210
Cooke, Philip  32, 210
Cupps, D. S.  97, 210

Deng Xiaoping  18
Diamond, D.  32, 210
Dudgeon, David  xiii

Ebenezer, Howard  35
Endacott, G. B.  97, 210
Evans, D. M. E.  32, 210

Fischel, William A.  31, 35, 210
Fishman, Robert  31, 210
Fulton, W.  97, 211
Furubotn, Eirik G.  32, 211

Gates, Jeff  32, 211
Glass, J.  98, 211

Harris, D.  97, 209
Hayek, Frederich von A.  18, 34, 211
Hayward, Tim  32, 211
Hill, M.  97, 209
Ho, Chi-wing Daniel  ix, 12, 14, 35, 74, 83, 212
Ho, Eric Chi Kin  xiii
Hobston, John  29, 31, 211
Hockman, Stephen  29, 31, 211
Home, Robert  12, 98, 211
Hong, Yu-Hung  32

Jim, C. Y.  50, 211
Keeble, L.  50, 211
Ki, Fong v
Kwok, King Wai  xiii
Krauze, Andrzej  33, 213

Lai, Lawrence Wai-chung  v, xi, 12, 14, 15, 18, 25, 31–35, 50, 66, 74, 75, 83, 85, 97–99, 204, 212
Lam, Ken Kwok Hung  xiii
Lasch, Christopher  31, 212
Lea, John P.  v, viii
Lee, Kuan Yew  18
Lee, Kwok Shing  xiii, 201
Lenin, Vladimir Ilyich  18
Leung, Hing-fung  213
Lo, W. M.  12, 16, 31, 212
Lugard, Sir Frederick J. D.  15

Machiavelli, Niccolo  17, 33, 36, 212
Marx, Karl  18
Mole, David  31, 212
More, Saint Thomas  17, 33, 212
Mumford, Lewis  33, 212

Ng, Mee Kam  50, 97, 99, 210
Nissim, Roger  31, 74, 83, 212

Olson, Mancur  31, 36, 212

Pigou, A. C.  29, 207, 213
Plato  17, 18
Popper, Karl  18
Porter, Michael E.  33, 98, 213
Pryor, Edward George  12, 14, 213
Pun, H. C.  98, 215
Pun, K. S.  98, 213

Ratcliffe, J.  31, 213
Richter, Rudolf  32, 211
Roberts, P. J.  25, 31, 35, 74, 83, 213

Sihombing, Judith  12, 74, 213
Skeffington, A. M.  98, 213
Smith, Peter Cookson  12, 15, 213
Sowell, Thomas  31, 98, 213
Spencer, Lloyd  33, 213
Staley, Samuel R.  31, 33, 35, 98, 99, 213
Stein, Jay M.  31, 213
Steinbrecher, Nils  50, 190, 209
Stinchcombe, Paul  29, 31, 211
Tang, Bo-sin 33, 213
Tang, Roger M. H. 33, 213
Taylor, Tony 50, 210
Thomas, J. C. 98, 214
Tod, Ian 31, 50, 214
Tullock, Gordon 32, 33, 36, 98, 214
Van Doren, Charles 33, 214
Vogel, Ezra F. 32, 214
Webster, C. J. 33, 35, 214, 215
Wells, H. G. 19, 32, 215
Wesley-Smith, P. 207, 215
Wheeler, Michael 31, 50, 214
Wilkinson, Michael 12, 74, 213
Willis, K. G. 32, 35, 215
Wong, David W. P. xiii
Wong, Joe W. M. 98, 215
Wong, Tsz Choi xiii
Yeh, Anthony Gar-on 12, 16, 215
Yen, Michael Koon Wai xiii
Yim, Ka Shing xiii
Yuen, C. 98, 215

Fisher Library 197
Fu Tian 187
Happy Valley racecourse 167
Happy View Terrace 167
Harcourt Place 167
Hollywood Road 156
Hong Kong Island 107, 156
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region 34
Hung Hom 119
Island Eastern Corridor 119
Kowloon 107
Kowloon Tong 172
Kwai Chung 119
Kwun Tong Road 150
Lamma Island 81
Leighton Hill Flat 167
Mai Po 187
Man Mo Temple 138
Mount Parker Road 199

New Territories 112, 125
Northeastern 112, 125
rural 9
North Point 119, 156
Peng Chau 81
Prince Philip Dental Hospital 138
Quarry Bay 156, 198
Country Park 199
Queen’s Road Central 156
Queen’s Road West 156
Repulse Bay 173
Richland Gardens 91
Sai Kung 112
Sai Ying Pun 156
San Miguel Brewery 119
Shau Kei Wan 156
Shek O 173
Shenzhen 187
Sheung Wan 156

PLACES

Aberdeen 156
Ap Lei Chau 156
Arbuthnot Road 156

Canal Road 203
Castle Peak Road 69
Causeway Bay 156
Central Police Station 138
Cheung Chau 81, 86
Cheung Kong Centre 143
China 125, 145
Chuk Yu Chung 198
Connaught Road Central 156
Connaught Road West 156

David Trench Rehabilitation Centre 138
Deep Bay 187
Des Voeux Road Central 156
Des Voeux Road West 156
Discovery Bay 79
Disneyland 35, 53, 79
Index

Civic Centre 138
Sheung Wan Divisional Fire Station 138
Shouson Hill 173

Tai Koo Sugar Refinery 198
Tai Po 173
Tai Tam 173
  Country Park 83
  Upper Reservoir 199
Tolo Harbour 51
Tsan Yuk Hospital 138
Tsuen Wan 119
  West 119
Tuen Mun 112, 119
Tung Wah Hospital 138

United Kingdom 29

Upper Levels Divisional Police Station 138
USA 32

Victoria Harbour 51
Victoria Park 197
Victoria Prison 138

Wan Chai 156
Waterfront Divisional Police Station 138
Western District Police Station 138
Western Indoor Games Hall 138
Western Magistracy 138
Wong Nai Chung 173

Yuen Long 112, 125, 173

**TERMS**

Abercrombie Report 13, 194
access 68
  internal road 41
  pedestrian 178
acquisition
  forced 62
  of a company 10
administrator 21
African Leathered Catfish 200
Age of Reason 17
air pollution 119
  regional 187
appeals 203
applicant 93
application
  invalid 179
architect 5, 8, 10, 61
area
  conservation 208
  environmentally sensitive (ESA) 189
  future development 208
  industrial 119
  ground floor 180
  licensed 208
  non-building 64
  planning 38
  rural 107
  special design (SDA) 189
assigned 202
auction 61
Authorized Person (AP) 10, 61, 193
average success rates 106

bad neighbour uses 189
bad precedent 177
balance
  regional 195
  territorial 195
balanced development 195
Banded Southern Loach 200
Bandless Southern Loach 200
barrister 9
base plan 38

**PLANNING AREAS**

Kwun Tong South Planning Area 150
North Point Planning Area (H08) 119
Sai Ying Pun Planning Area 156
Tsuen Wan West Planning Area 119
Wong Nai Chung Planning Area 163, 167
behaviour
human 197
Beijiang Thick-lipped Barb 200
betterment levy 25
bias 85
rule against 27
Black-headed Thick-lipped Goby 200
bodies
professional 36
breeze-ways 51
British colonies 15
Brown Sleeper 200
buffer zone 195
building 24
appeal 10
application 64, 84, 205
blocks 41
bulk 71
contracts 61
covenants 8, 64
development control 25
factory 145
height 68, 71
height restrictions 64, 68
industrial 180
industrial/office buildings 145
office 86
plan 11, 62, 84, 85, 193
submissions 61
restrictions 64
clauses 71
surveyor 10
Building Reconstruction Advisory Committee Final Report 193
bureaucrats 35
cadastral details 52
campaign for the protection of Victoria Harbour 90
capacity 207
capitalism 34
car park plan 25
Central Sewage Screening Plant 138
Certificate of Compliance (CC) 61, 63
certificate of exemption 85
Chadwick Report 194
change in use 9, 10, 62, 79, 99
material 99
channelization 19, 196, 197
Chinese Carps 198
Chinese Catfish 200
Chinese Labeo 200
Chinese Rasbora 200
Chinese Snakehead 201
Cho/Tong 95, 99
cinema 86
city
old core 21
physical orders for 24
civil service 29
coal-fired electricity 187
Coase's parable 36
Colonial Development and Welfare Scheme 14
colour-blindness 37
Common Carp 200
common law 206
Common Mullet 200
Common Tongued Goby 200
Commonwealth planning regimes 29
compensation 9
conditions
general 61
of sale/grant 36, 53, 68
consent 66
scheme 3
constraints 38, 197
consultant 93
reports 93
study 53
consumer 27
poor 197
container
port 125
storage 123
contours 38
contract
freedom of 24
private 24
voluntary 71, 203, 206
contractors 53, 61, 62
convenience 68
Convention of Peking 191, 192, 193
conveyancing 9
covenants
implied restrictive 85
positive 61
costs 8
  of bargaining 208
  of competition 208
  of contract formation 208
  of delineating and enforcing property
  rights 208
  of government town planning 36
  of information 208
  of production 208
  social 36, 207
  transaction 207, 208
counter-proposal 62
country park 63, 82
  areas 63
covenant 82, 98
Crown land
  illegally occupied 48
cul-de-sac 48
Curved-back Rice Fish 200
Cyberport 35, 53
danger
  immediate to the public 67
Dark Sleeper 200
decking over 203
Deed of Mutual Covenant (DMC) 9, 48, 61
deed poll 8
defeasibility of titles 9, 96
definitions of terms used in statutory
  plans 203
democracy 89
density zoning
  administrative 157
derogue from grant 98
design 179, 180
  urban 188
design-disposition-height (DDH) clauses 64
desire lines 38
developer 4, 25, 36, 48, 61
development 14, 168
  balanced 195
  comprehensive 48
  control 11, 24, 67, 196, 205
  cycle 53
designated (DD) 189
  environmental implications of
  proposal 197
excessive intensity of 177
feasibility studies of 61
full 4
interim 205
  areas 205
meaning of 99
piecemeal 48
plan 9
private 202
programme for 41
restrictions 64, 196
ribbon 19
stage 205
statement 63, 72, 196, 208
strategy 53
sustainable 19, 187, 197
unauthorized 179, 205
Development Permission Area (DPA)
  Plan 63, 92, 107, 109, 196
Director
  of Agriculture, Fisheries and
  Conservation 63, 71
  of Buildings 63
  of Buildings and Lands 35
  of Environmental Protection 205
  of Lands 63
  of Planning 63, 67, 202
disposition 179
districts
  'laying out' 64
division of labour 203
Double-barred Stream Goby 200
down-zoning 196
Dr Aryre's Report 194
draft Outline Zoning Plan (draft OZP)
  62, 189, 204
drainage 53, 119, 121, 180
  impact assessment 44
due diligence 10
e-commerce 188
ecology 11
economic impact assessment 44
economics 35, 197
economists 48
economy
  small open 34
eels 198
Elegant Neon Goby 201
Index

of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region 13, 31, 50, 74, 97, 190, 213, 214
gradation 202
grant 61
Grass Carp 200
Great Plague 194
Greater Spiny Eel 201
Gross Floor Area (GFA) 67, 107, 115, 205
Gross Site Area (GSA) 205
Guppy 200

habitat 80
destruction 198
Hainan Stream Goby 200
Half-banded Barb 200
Half-spined Thick-lipped Barb 200
height restriction 168
hierarchy
plan 41
highways 53
Home Ownership Scheme (HOS) 193
home-buyer 11, 26
Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG) 51, 61, 63, 72, 202, 204
Hong Kong Stream Catfish 200
house 85
housing
blocks 41
estates 17
self-contained 48
rental 193
supply 44

ideological
dispositions 34
position 206
immediate danger to the public 67
immediate neighbourhood 64, 67
immigration 187
immorality 197
implementation 89
ease of 67
imprisonment 95
indigenous villager 5, 11, 206
individuals 204
Industrial Revolution 17

industrialization 19, 204
industry 27
high-tech 188
infrastructure 202
insider dealings 197
intellectual
discipline 34
foundations of ‘planning’ 17
intensity 177
interactions 206
interchanges 48
interest
groups 36, 188
lawful 206
private 30, 206
public 24, 29, 30, 36, 205, 206
no or insufficient 179
interim development 205
areas 205
Interim Development Permission Area (IDPA) Plan 9, 11, 67, 71, 92, 95, 202
interventionist ideology 17
investor 25, 27
irrigation water supply 7
Japanese Eel 200
Japanese forces 191, 193, 194
job opportunities 187, 195
judges 24, 35
junction 178
designs 40
jurisprudence 99
justification 179
knowledge 204

laissez-faire
economics 24
mentality 49
land 196
documents 53
new supply of 30
parcels 64
premiums 44
property 202
resumption 24, 36, 179
sale 191, 192, 193
programme 61
surveying 38
surveyors 53
Land Executive 5
land market
unregulated 24
land use 24, 25, 67, 71
allocation 24
compatibility 24
conflicts 40
proposed 115
zone 40, 205
landed property 25
landownership 44, 52
landscape
proposals 44, 115
problems 179
lanes 84
private 67
latrine 98
law
administrative 27
common 206
racially discriminatory zoning 90
lawyer 7, 24
layout 180
plans 53, 62, 72, 202
lease 7, 10, 25, 26, 179
Block Crown (Government) 84–87, 191
condition 25, 65, 203, 205
enforcement 8, 9, 82
cases 61
modification 8, 25, 36, 62, 65, 81, 82
premium 25
unrestricted 86
999-year 19
leasehold
land system 25
land tenure 14
lot 208
legal
profession 26
right 89
titles 6
liability
personal 95
local
employment 44
public traffic 48
road network 119
road system 44
traffic 203, 207
localization 90
location
of planning intention in planning documents 205
problems 178
loop road 48
loss
non-economic 27
lot
agricultural 80
freehold 208
macro-economics
Keynesian 204
managers 96
mandate 89
market 30, 203
economy 36, 51
failure 24, 204
theory of 24
free 34
restriction 197
mass participation 90
master landscape plan 25
Master Layout Plan (MLP) 9, 10, 41, 48, 64, 115, 203
matrimonial home 5
Metroplan 196
Molly 200
monopoly 197, 204
Moon Snakehead 201
Mosquito Fish 198, 200
motor vehicle showroom 86
Mozambique Tilapia 200
Mullet 200
Myer’s Sucker-bellied Loach 200
natural vegetation clearance 178
navigation channels 38
neighbourhood
immediate 64, 67
New Territories Exempted Houses (NTEH) 79
new town 53, 71, 81, 107, 127, 194, 195
development programme 53
announced 193
planning 195
Nile Tilapia 200
noise 119
  mitigation measures 180
  problems 180
non-price allocation 197
  mechanism 30
notice 25
  of appeal 88
  period of 99
  reinstatement 95, 189
nullahs 197, 203
nurses 91
objection 11, 62, 91
  to a draft plan 78
  to the plan 27
occupation permit (OP) 61–63
occupier 93
office
  building 86
  tower 41
onus of proof 203
open society 18
open space 5, 179, 195, 204
open storage of goods 121
options 41
Orange-ocellated Puffer 201
order 67
  hierarchical 202
ordinance 7
organizations
  professional 27
Oriental Sucker-mouth 200
Oriental Swamp Eel 200
Oriental Weather Loach 200
Outline Development Plans (ODPs) 62, 72, 204
Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) 3, 53, 72, 63, 92, 204
owner 93
ownership
  multiple 62
Paradise Fish 201
Paradise Stream Goby 200
parking 68
  on-site 178
  spaces 61
performance pledge 96
petrol-filling station 130
Philosopher King 17
photographs
  admissibility as evidence 96, 188
  aerial 188
plan 30
  concept 38
  hierarchy 41
  forcible superseding of others’ 204
planners 27, 35
planning 30, 35, 204
  administrative policy statements 196
  appeal 10
  application 5, 25, 76, 203
  area 38
  briefs 63
  by contract 35, 66
  comprehensive 52
  condition 11, 25, 65, 85, 205
  constraints 38
  consultant 29, 53
  country 29
  enforcement 205
  legislation 99
environment–land use–transport 21
  gains
  no or insufficient 179
intellectual foundations of 17
intention 24, 26, 76, 84, 121, 163, 201, 205
  against 177
  location in planning documents 205
law 24
long-term 178
permission 6, 44, 62, 65
private 204
schools 18
standards 195
statutory 64
tools 19
Planning Manual 76
plot ratio 9, 11, 64, 67, 68, 71, 85, 88, 168, 195, 205, 206
controls 75
  restriction 68, 87
Pointed-head Sleeper 200
population 195
  growth 187
Port and Airport Development Strategy (PADS) 35
pre-sale 61
precedents 103, 206
  bad 177
  undesirable 177
premises 25, 81
private
  contract 24
  development 202
  infringements of 27
  interest 30, 206
  planning 204
  property rights 188, 197, 205, 206
  treaty grant 52
Private Sector Participation Scheme (PSPS) 193
privatization 34
problems
  ecological 201
  internal road layout 178
  loading/unloading 178
  location 178
  manoeuvring 178
  noise 180
  parking 178
  traffic and vehicular access 178
producers
  inefficient 197
profession 19, 27, 204
  legal 26
  multidisciplinary 21
profit-seeking 206
promenade 5
property
  common 51
  investor 11
  irregular boundaries 44
  latent defects of 10
  management 4
  rights 63, 202
    exclusive 206
    infringements of 27
    private 188, 197, 205, 206
public
  administration 89
  goods 24, 197, 204
  interest 24, 29, 30, 36, 205, 206
    no or insufficient 179
  lorry park 52
  opinion 90
  participation 89, 99
  purpose 24, 25, 36, 206
  relation 90
  sector 48
  square 49
  works 35
    programme 53
publicity 90
purposive construction/interpretation 207
racial segregation 15
rail 19
ranking 123
rationality 17
re-entry 61
realpolitik 27
reasonable steps 96
reasons for rejecting planning applications 177
reassigned 202
reclamation 27, 53, 202
recreation 105, 130, 188
Red-bellied Tilapia 200
redevelopment of Hilton Hotel 143
regional balance 195
Regional Development Strategy (RDS) 71
Registered Professional Planners (RPP) 8, 16, 92
rent
  control 191, 192
    seeking 100
reservoirs 197
resettlement 38
  estates 48
resident
  Chinese 192
  workforce 195
resource
  financial 26
    spending 26
restaurant 86
resumption 9, 38
reviews 203
rezoning proposals 78, 91
ribbon development 19
ridge lines 51
right of ways 64, 67
right
legal 89
for redevelopment 30
to fair hearing 84
river training 19, 197, 201, 207
road 19, 64, 115
general pattern 40
gridiron pattern 48
hierarchy 202, 207
local network 119
local system 44
loop 48
works 178
Rosy Bitterling 200
rule of law 197
safety 68
sale brochure 11
satellite towns 71
scale 177
scenarios 41
school 4, 138
sea-view 3, 11
section 16 planning applications 67, 68, 76
 Secretary for Planning, Environment and Lands 5, 92
security of tenure 192
set-backs 64, 71, 178
sewage 180
sewerage 53, 119
scheme 44
sewers 19
shares of individual units 61
Short-Term Tenancy (STTs) 61
short-term waiver 8
side effects
uncompensated 197
Sino-British Joint Declaration 192
site 84
area 107
classification 9, 11, 64
constraints 178
coverage 64, 71
formation 53, 99, 178, 202
size 179
slopes 64
small home 5
small house 80, 179, 206
policy 192
social
benefits 29
cohesion 89
costs 36, 207
impact assessment 44
scientist 21
worker 21
socio-economic affairs 204
solicitor 8, 9, 61
trainee 3
South China Chub 200
sovereignty
parliamentary 26
Spiky-face Sleeper 200
squatters 48
squatting 188
Staff List 28
state reaction 19
statistics 103
stock market investors 35
stop notice 95
storage yard 5
Striped Asian Chub 200
Striped Lesser Bream 200
Striped Snakehead 201
structures
unauthorized 67
Subregional Development Review 53, 63
Subregional Development Strategy 207
subdivision 65
of land 64
subregions 207
subsidy 197
suburbs 19, 21
sum of private interest of individuals 206
support 64
survey
of the New Territories 191
plans 53
surveyors 8, 27
building 27
estate 27
sustainable development 19, 187, 197
swan mussel 201
Swordtail 200

tax
collector 7
money 24
Ten-Year Housing Programme 193, 194
tenancy agreement 7
tender 61
for engineering works 53
tenements 48
Territorial Development Strategy (TDS) 63, 71, 208
theory
political 34
third party 93
effects 197
innocent 207
participation 100
thoughts
rational 204
Threadfin Mojarra 200
through traffic 48, 207, 208
titles
possessory 86
Top-mouthed Gudgeon 200
tourist 17
town plan 11, 37, 84, 205
administrative 14, 62, 64, 202
contravention of 77
statutory 8, 11, 25, 36, 63, 68, 206, 207
types of 11
town planner 61, 62, 64
grade 27
town planning 13, 16, 29
statutory 14
Town Planning Appeal Board 71, 94, 189, 206
Town Planning Board 25, 51, 63, 64, 67, 71, 75, 76, 85, 103, 109, 115, 205, 206, 214
Paper 93
scheme 194
secretariat 93
Secretary 93
Town Planning Board Guidelines
for Planning Application 5, 73, 154
for Application for Office Buildings in Industrial Zone under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance 160
for Designation of ‘Comprehensive Development Area’ (‘CDA’) Zones and Monitoring the Progress of ‘CDA’ Developments under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance 116
for Development/Redevelopment within ‘Government, Institution or Community’ zone for uses other than ‘Government, Institution or Community’ uses 136
for Planning Application for Development within ‘Green Belt’ Zone under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance 109, 129, 136, 145
for Submission of Master Layout Plan under Section 4(A) of the Town Planning Ordinance 116
trades
noisome 85
offensive 64
traffic 121, 203, 208
and vehicular access problems 178
congestion 19
impact assessment 44
local 203, 207
local public 48
noise 180
through 48, 207, 208
transaction costs 207, 208
transport links 40
treaty grant
private 52
Treaty of Nanking 191, 192, 193
tree felling 178
uncertainty due 26
undertaker 7
up-zoning 208
urban
decay 21
design 188
designers 48
sprawling 19
urbanization 19, 204
Index 235

use
ancillary 85
bad neighbour 189
Column 1 8, 78
Column 2 25, 78, 92
commercial 48, 130
container-related 123
existing 95, 180
higher-value 11, 196
hotel 86, 139
industrial 85
long-term 61
lower-value 196, 208
open storage 86
Other (Composite Redevelopment Area) 115
primary 84
sensitive 91
spatial arrangement of 38
temporary 26, 82, 86, 92
unauthorized 6
user 7, 8, 10, 64, 71, 84
charges 34
restrictions 64
Utopia 36
valid ground for refusing planning permission 75
valuation 8, 14
methods 61
value 196
Variable Platy 200
vehicle detention pound 26
velocity 207
village type house (VTH) 17, 109, 129
visual
blight 180
corridors 51
impact 68
assessment 44, 180
warehouse 123, 130
water supply 180
irrigation 7
portable 19
waterways
artificial 197
welfare economics 29, 197
Pigovian 204
Western countries 204
wetlands 187
without ‘chops’ 76
workers
social 21
voluntary 91
zone 4, 37, 106, 195, 201, 208
buffer 195
commercial/residential (C/R) 139, 151
comprehensive development area 64, 203
delineation of 208
incompatible 195
land use 40, 205
marine fish culture 63
open storage 176
planned 208
residential 105, 130, 157
Residential (Group A) (R(A)) 4, 157
Residential (Group B) (R(B)) 163
Residential (Group C) (R(C)) 168
Residential (Group E) (R(E)) 157
village type development 85
unspecified use 76
zoning 208
administrative 8
density 157
commercial/residential 105, 130
Comprehensive Development Area (CDA) 115, 179
economic analysis of nature 15
Government/Institution/Community (G/IC) 4, 76, 105, 115, 133
Green Belt (GB) 5, 76, 105, 127, 196, 205
Industrial (I) 105, 145
map 26, 73
Open Storage (OS) 81, 105, 121
statutory plan 26
Unspecified Use (U) 105, 109